












## 1. What area are you from?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Asia		21.2%	52
Europe		29.4%	72
Middle East		1.2%	3
<b>North America</b>		<b>44.9%</b>	<b>110</b>
Africa		0.4%	1
Latin America/Caribbean		0.8%	2
Australia/New Zealand/Oceania		2.0%	5
		<b>answered question</b>	<b>245</b>
		<b>skipped question</b>	<b>0</b>

## 2. Approximately how many IETF meetings have you attended (including this one)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
1		12.8%	31
2 - 5		16.0%	39
6 - 10		14.4%	35
>10		<b>56.8%</b>	<b>138</b>
		<b>answered question</b>	<b>243</b>
		<b>skipped question</b>	<b>2</b>

### 3. Are you (check all that apply.)

		Response Percent	Response Count
IESG member	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.7%	5
IAB member	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.3%	6
IRSG Member	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.8%	7
IAOC-Trust member	<input type="checkbox"/>	0.5%	1
Nomcom member	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4%	10
Working Group chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	32.6%	60
Author of active working group draft	<input type="checkbox"/>	63.6%	117
<b>Author of active individual submission draft</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>66.3%</b>	<b>122</b>
Full Time Student	<input type="checkbox"/>	8.2%	15
<b>answered question</b>			<b>184</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>61</b>

### 4. When were you born?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Before 1950	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.7%	9
1950 - 1960	<input type="checkbox"/>	14.5%	35
1961 - 1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	35.3%	85
<b>1971 - 1980</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>37.3%</b>	<b>90</b>
After 1980	<input type="checkbox"/>	9.1%	22
<b>answered question</b>			<b>241</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>4</b>





## 5. Did you attend IETF 82 in Taipei?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes, on a Day Pass		2.0%	5
Yes		96.3%	236
No		1.6%	4
<b>answered question</b>			<b>245</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>0</b>



## 6. Which days did you attend?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Sunday		17.4%	42
Monday		44.8%	108
Tuesday		44.8%	108
Wednesday		44.8%	108
Thursday		42.3%	102
Friday		24.9%	60
All		59.8%	144
<b>answered question</b>			<b>241</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>4</b>





## 7. How long did your travel to the meeting take?

		Response Percent	Response Count
< 5 hours		11.4%	26
5 to 10 hours		6.1%	14
11 to 20 hours		35.5%	81
> 20 hours		46.9%	107
answered question			228
skipped question			17

## 8. Did you have to apply for a visa or permit to attend IETF 82?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		12.7%	29
No		87.3%	200
answered question			229
skipped question			16

### 9. Would you support having the IETF return to Taipei for a future meeting?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly support		50.0%	114
Weakly support		32.0%	73
Only if necessary		12.3%	28
No		5.7%	13
Comments			58
answered question			228
skipped question			17

### 10. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Wireless	0.4% (1)	0.4% (1)	5.3% (12)	18.9% (43)	<b>75.0%</b> <b>(171)</b>	0.0% (0)	4.68	228
NOC	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.3% (3)	10.3% (23)	23.3% (52)	<b>65.0%</b> <b>(145)</b>	4.63	223
Help Desk	0.0% (0)	0.5% (1)	1.4% (3)	8.6% (19)	16.7% (37)	<b>72.9%</b> <b>(161)</b>	4.53	221
Terminal Room	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	5.0% (11)	21.3% (47)	26.2% (58)	<b>47.5%</b> <b>(105)</b>	4.41	221
Comments								22
answered question								228
skipped question								17

**11. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance.  
How would you rate the following?**

	<b>Very Poor</b>	<b>Poor</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Rating Average</b>	<b>Rating Count</b>
Internet service in my hotel room (if poor, ID hotel in comments)	1.8% (4)	2.6% (6)	12.3% (28)	36.6% (83)	<b>38.3%</b> <b>(87)</b>	8.4% (19)	4.17	227
Meeting facilities	0.0% (0)	2.7% (6)	11.1% (25)	<b>44.4%</b> <b>(100)</b>	41.3% (93)	0.4% (1)	4.25	225
Venue Staff	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	8.4% (19)	35.1% (79)	<b>43.6%</b> <b>(98)</b>	12.4% (28)	4.39	225
Food and beverage	3.6% (8)	12.0% (27)	31.1% (70)	<b>33.8%</b> <b>(76)</b>	17.8% (40)	1.8% (4)	3.51	225
						Comments		56
						<b>answered question</b>		<b>227</b>
						<b>skipped question</b>		<b>18</b>

## 12. How would you rate the following?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio visual equipment	0.0% (0)	0.9% (2)	6.6% (15)	<b>50.0%</b> <b>(114)</b>	39.5% (90)	3.1% (7)	4.32	228
Power strips availability	0.4% (1)	0.9% (2)	6.1% (14)	35.1% (80)	<b>56.6%</b> <b>(129)</b>	0.9% (2)	4.48	228
Secretariat staff	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.2% (5)	19.6% (44)	<b>56.0%</b> <b>(126)</b>	22.2% (50)	4.69	225
Registration experience	0.4% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.2% (5)	22.5% (51)	<b>73.1%</b> <b>(166)</b>	1.8% (4)	4.71	227
Letter of Invitation handling	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	0.9% (2)	7.1% (16)	14.6% (33)	<b>77.0%</b> <b>(174)</b>	4.56	226
Visa processing	0.4% (1)	0.4% (1)	1.3% (3)	6.7% (15)	8.0% (18)	<b>83.1%</b> <b>(187)</b>	4.26	225
							Comments	16
							<b>answered question</b>	<b>228</b>
							<b>skipped question</b>	<b>17</b>

## 13. How would you rate the meeting Program Book?

<http://www.ietf.org/meeting/82/meeting-packet.pdf>

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Program Book	0.0% (0)	0.5% (1)	4.1% (9)	<b>41.2%</b> <b>(91)</b>	20.4% (45)	33.9% (75)	4.23	221
							Comments	17
							<b>answered question</b>	<b>221</b>
							<b>skipped question</b>	<b>24</b>

**14. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 82All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 82Attendees@ietf.org list to share info among attendees. How would you rate their usefulness?**

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
NOC Ticket System	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.9% (2)	6.6% (14)	5.6% (12)	<b>86.9%</b> <b>(185)</b>	4.36	213
mtd@ietf.org	0.0% (0)	0.5% (1)	1.4% (3)	4.7% (10)	5.2% (11)	<b>88.3%</b> <b>(188)</b>	4.24	213
82All	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.4% (14)	<b>41.7%</b> <b>(91)</b>	33.5% (73)	18.3% (40)	4.33	218
82Attendees	0.0% (0)	2.7% (6)	14.6% (32)	<b>38.8%</b> <b>(85)</b>	31.5% (69)	12.3% (27)	4.13	219
						Comments		19
						answered question		221
						skipped question		24



**15. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?**

	<b>Very Poor</b>	<b>Poor</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Rating Average</b>	<b>Rating Count</b>
Newcomers Training	0.0% (0)	0.5% (1)	1.4% (3)	5.1% (11)	3.3% (7)	<b>89.8%</b> <b>(193)</b>	4.09	215
Newcomers Training (Mandarin)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.9% (2)	1.4% (3)	1.9% (4)	<b>95.8%</b> <b>(206)</b>	4.22	215
WG Leadership	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.3% (5)	5.6% (12)	5.1% (11)	<b>87.0%</b> <b>(187)</b>	4.21	215
Routing, Bridging, Switching	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.5% (1)	2.8% (6)	7.9% (17)	<b>88.9%</b> <b>(192)</b>	4.67	216
Document Life Cycle	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.9% (4)	7.0% (15)	4.2% (9)	<b>87.0%</b> <b>(187)</b>	4.18	215

Suggestions for Future Tutorials 11

**answered question 217**

**skipped question 28**

**16. How do you rate the Plenaries?**

	<b>Very Poor</b>	<b>Poor</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Rating Average</b>	<b>Rating Count</b>
Monday (Technical)	0.9% (2)	6.9% (15)	16.5% (36)	<b>40.8%</b> <b>(89)</b>	17.0% (37)	17.9% (39)	3.80	218
Wednesday (Administrative)	0.0% (0)	4.1% (9)	16.9% (37)	31.1% (68)	11.4% (25)	<b>36.5%</b> <b>(80)</b>	3.78	219

Comments 14

**answered question 222**

**skipped question 23**

### 17. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

	Response Count
	38
answered question	38
skipped question	207





### 18. Do you plan to attend IETF 83 in Paris hosted by [TBD]

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		77.5%	176
No		0.9%	2
Don't Know		9.7%	22
Undecided		11.9%	27
	answered question		227
	skipped question		18


### 19. Do you plan to attend IETF 84 in Vancouver hosted by Google

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		69.0%	156
No		1.8%	4
Don't Know		17.3%	39
Undecided		11.9%	27
	answered question		226
	skipped question		19



## 20. Do you plan to attend IETF 85 in Atlanta hosted by North American Cable Industry?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		57.7%	131
No		4.0%	9
Don't Know		24.7%	56
Undecided		13.7%	31
<b>answered question</b>			<b>227</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>18</b>

## 21. If you did not attend IETF 82, why not? (Check all that apply.)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Agenda not relevant		0.0%	0
Expense		0.0%	0
Distance		0.0%	0
Location		0.0%	0
Corporate decision		0.0%	0
Could not get a Visa		0.0%	0
Didn't have information on time to make a decision		0.0%	0
<b>Other (please specify)</b>		<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>answered question</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>244</b>


**22. Did you participate in one or more sessions from another location using the Jabber room and/or audio streaming?**

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		0.0%	0
No		100.0%	3
answered question			3
skipped question			242



**23. How would you rate the audio streaming and Jabber rooms in support of your participation**

	Did Not Use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio stream	100.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.00	
Jabber room	100.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.00	
answered question							
skipped question							



**24. Will you be attending IETF 83 in Paris hosted by (TBD)?**

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		100.0%	3
No		0.0%	0
Undecided		0.0%	0
answered question			3
skipped question			242

**25. Will you be attending IETF 84 in Vancouver hosted by Google?**

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		66.7%	2
No		0.0%	0
Undecided		33.3%	1
<b>answered question</b>			<b>3</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>242</b>

**26. Will you be attending IETF 85 in Atlanta hosted by North American Cable Industry?**

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		66.7%	2
No		0.0%	0
Undecided		33.3%	1
<b>answered question</b>			<b>3</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>242</b>



**Page 3, Q9. Would you support having the IETF return to Taipei for a future meeting?**

1	For a meeting in Asia, Taipei is a good choice, but I prefer meetings closer to home in North America.	Dec 5, 2011 9:16 PM
2	Taipei has indoor non-smoking, almost everyone spoke English, and travel to/from was no worse than other places in Asia.	Dec 5, 2011 9:13 PM
3	the meeting hotel too expensive	Dec 2, 2011 11:08 PM
4	But we need a better deal on the hotel price! We should not go back with the same hotel rate.	Dec 2, 2011 4:23 PM
5	I'm speaking from self interest because it took so long to get to Taipei. But I liked the venue a lot better than Beijing.	Dec 2, 2011 12:50 PM
6	Having a separate (from the hotel) meeting area is always slightly disappointing.	Nov 29, 2011 4:26 AM
7	The meeting facilities, venue, and city were all excellent to facilitate doing work during the IETF-82 and sightseeing after the IETF daily sessions. I strongly recommend that another IETF event be conducted in Taipei.	Nov 28, 2011 2:56 PM
8	Convenient location for Asia/Pacific attendees Excellent facilities, easy transport around town Culturally interesting Moderate prices	Nov 23, 2011 6:41 PM
9	There is nothing "wrong" with this site. But also nothing interesting or wonderful about it. When traveling so far, it would be nice to end up some place interesting.	Nov 23, 2011 2:31 PM
10	Taipei was a good venue. Good transport. Near shopping and restaurants. Hotel internet was fair but got better after IETF took over. No filtering before the IETF or after was nice.	Nov 23, 2011 11:23 AM
11	Very good venue in itself, but main hotel prices very high.	Nov 23, 2011 9:46 AM
12	travel takes long time, but no visa issues	Nov 23, 2011 5:47 AM
13	But only if the hotel price were more reasonable.	Nov 23, 2011 2:29 AM
14	Food is good, and environment is nice. Grand Hyatt might be too expensive, but there are other options.	Nov 23, 2011 2:18 AM
15	Taipei is an awesome place. I love it~	Nov 23, 2011 12:00 AM
16	no IPv6 Summit in IETF meeting	Nov 22, 2011 9:59 PM
17	It is a friendly city	Nov 22, 2011 9:20 PM
18	Very good experiences in Taipei.	Nov 22, 2011 9:09 PM
19	Venue sucked for casual conversation, random meet ups. Prauge was best for that in recent memory. Social event was seriously lacking. Meeting rooms for sessions were awesome. Hotel was very nice.	Nov 22, 2011 9:02 PM
20	Taipei is a very hospitable venue. The people are lovely and, in general, things are affordable.	Nov 22, 2011 8:53 PM

**Page 3, Q9. Would you support having the IETF return to Taipei for a future meeting?**

21	Hotel too expensive	Nov 22, 2011 4:32 PM
22	I strongly prefer North America	Nov 22, 2011 1:40 PM
23	Nice venue but really painful to get to.	Nov 22, 2011 1:32 PM
24	Would support it iff the meeting venue is co-located with the hotel	Nov 22, 2011 1:20 PM
25	Once we got there, it was a great meeting.	Nov 22, 2011 1:07 PM
26	Travel was difficult but the meeting venue and facilities were outstanding.	Nov 22, 2011 1:01 PM
27	I'm stronger than "weakly" - I don't have a preference for Taipei over other Asian venues I've been to, but it worked well for IETF 82.	Nov 22, 2011 12:42 PM
28	no direct fly, more than 24h travel duration	Nov 22, 2011 11:57 AM
29	Took a long time to get there. Many regulars did not attend. Not the most useful meeting.	Nov 22, 2011 11:54 AM
30	Only one hotel nearby, too expensive	Nov 22, 2011 11:44 AM
31	Too long trip . No direct connection.	Nov 22, 2011 11:43 AM
32	or other comparable place	Nov 22, 2011 11:42 AM
33	I didn't really like the TICC. No water at head table to speakers...	Nov 22, 2011 11:13 AM
34	Very spacious venue - should have more central meeting area with comfortable seating (+ power)	Nov 22, 2011 11:11 AM
35	The only problem I found was the aggressive use of A/C inside hotels and in the IETF venue.	Nov 22, 2011 11:07 AM
36	Too far from Europe + US Weather awful in November	Nov 22, 2011 11:03 AM
37	Taipei is a great location for an Asian meeting. Facilities are good, there's really good availability of vegetarian food (and, I presume, non-veg). I am culturally very fond of Japan, so it pains me to say this, but Taipei was a much easier place for me to spend a week. It was also much easier than Beijing, although Beijing was certainly interesting.	Nov 22, 2011 11:01 AM
38	Venue was good - Quebec was better (common area for breaks, as opposed to spread out). Long trip from US. I also had personal health problems - stomach did not like something in the local environment/food. Nice city - if IETF returns, I would come back, but this was a rough trip for me.	Nov 22, 2011 10:58 AM
39	Nice place. I especially enjoy the food there!	Nov 22, 2011 10:54 AM
40	It was an excellent site - but the travel was extremely challenging. Also, the hotel costs were quite high.	Nov 22, 2011 10:53 AM
41	Taipei was excellent. But as a general rule, I favor diversity in locations and would prefer having a different location each time.	Nov 22, 2011 10:53 AM



**Page 3, Q9. Would you support having the IETF return to Taipei for a future meeting?**

42	Too far for me.	Nov 22, 2011 10:53 AM
43	28 hours door to door is a long trip, no matter how you look at it (and that was no delays or missed connections).	Nov 22, 2011 10:51 AM
44	My only negative is the long travel.	Nov 22, 2011 10:51 AM
45	venue was good, lots of reasonably-priced local food options. Main complaint was the cost of the primary hotel.	Nov 22, 2011 10:49 AM
46	It's a long trip. I don't mind it, but, if it has to be long trip, let's go to a different place. Note: not a single complaints regarding Taipei.	Nov 22, 2011 10:44 AM
47	Nothing negative about the venue, to the contrary. Everything worked fine. It just takes quite long time to get there. There are more accessible locations in Asia region.	Nov 22, 2011 10:44 AM
48	Too far and not an interesting place to visit	Nov 22, 2011 10:42 AM
49	Long trip, otherwise very good location	Nov 22, 2011 10:41 AM
50	Site worked. Venue had all the essentials (close hotels, nearby food)	Nov 22, 2011 10:39 AM
51	Other close places in Asia would be more preferable, e.g. Hong Kong, Macau or Singapore.	Nov 22, 2011 10:38 AM
52	Not that a short trip from Europe.	Nov 22, 2011 10:32 AM
53	ok location, bit hard to travel	Nov 22, 2011 10:32 AM
54	Too few mainland chinese is the main negative.	Nov 22, 2011 10:31 AM
55	Hotels recently have been expensive. Quebec City was very expensive. Let us keep hotel room costs back to old way - \$120-\$180.	Nov 22, 2011 10:31 AM
56	Not excellent, not poor as a venue.	Nov 22, 2011 10:27 AM
57	Much of the value in IETF is the after-meeting stuff - getting work done in the hallways, over beers, etc. Taipei had nothing to offer except a place to sleep and a place to sit in working groups.	Nov 22, 2011 10:27 AM
58	Very good venue. I would come again in a heartbeat.	Nov 22, 2011 10:27 AM



**Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.**

1	First meeting in a long time where I had zero problems with network access in hotel & meetings.	Dec 5, 2011 9:13 PM
2	I had some problems with the wireless, it was going up and down few times during few sessions. Also it seemed that ietf and ietf-1x networks seemed to have different IPv6 prefix which caused me to loose connection if I happened to roam from ietf-1x to ietf network or vice versa.	Nov 24, 2011 9:22 AM
3	why to have changed the names of terminal room printers?	Nov 23, 2011 12:18 PM
4	IETF network was good. Hyatt Hotel network only fair-poor	Nov 23, 2011 11:23 AM
5	Actually, the wireless was excellent - you didn't need to think about it, it just worked.	Nov 23, 2011 7:58 AM
6	Would have helped if the terminal room printers used more standard drivers; Mac users had problems.	Nov 22, 2011 5:20 PM
7	Service so good that NOC and Help Desk not needed.	Nov 22, 2011 4:32 PM
8	Lot of times DNS seems to be down for web access.	Nov 22, 2011 2:14 PM
9	Is the terminal room even necessary any more?	Nov 22, 2011 1:40 PM
10	OK, I was spoiled by the terminal rooms that had more space, but this one was very serviceable.	Nov 22, 2011 12:42 PM
11	I found the wireless did not work well *outside* the meeting rooms (in the hallways in the ticc), though when I was having trouble, it seemed to be working fine for others. I never had any trouble inside the meeting rooms.	Nov 22, 2011 12:20 PM
12	printer didn't work the one time I tried to use the TR: it kept paper jamming & there was a job ahead of me.	Nov 22, 2011 12:02 PM
13	Terminal room on the 4th floor was a bit dissuasive, instead used the reception area for getting work done	Nov 22, 2011 11:18 AM
14	I suspect my networking problems were caused by my company's VPN, not the IETF network.	Nov 22, 2011 10:58 AM
15	I had some issue in NTP stability during testruns we'd scheduled to be run during the travelling. I used a wire in the terminal root, but I didn't have time to isolate whether the problems were due to my laptop, the network, or the NTP server.	Nov 22, 2011 10:55 AM
16	WiFi access is unstable in some meeting rooms	Nov 22, 2011 10:54 AM
17	Both the wireless and Hyatt hotel wired service was excellent. It was the best I've seen at any meeting. The IPv6 service with Google was unexpected and excellent.	Nov 22, 2011 10:51 AM
18	I used the terminal room to print something, and had trouble getting things to work, for two reasons: (1) there was nothing written explaining how to set up the printers, and nothing telling us that it was necessary to set paper size to A4	Nov 22, 2011 10:42 AM

**Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.**

before printing, and (2) the terminal room help desk staff barely spoke English, and was very hard to communicate with, at least at the time I was in there.

19	Didn't need to use Help Desk or Terminal Room. Network was great!	Nov 22, 2011 10:39 AM
20	No need to contact help desk or use terminal room. That's because wireless worked excellent.	Nov 22, 2011 10:38 AM
21	never had any issues, everything just worked	Nov 22, 2011 10:33 AM
22	Didn't interact the the NOC, help desk or go to the terminal room. (Well, I had beer with NOC folks but that doesn't count:-)	Nov 22, 2011 10:31 AM



**Page 3, Q11. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?**

1	Internet service in hotel was good, but costly.	Dec 7, 2011 4:55 PM
2	i rarely have the in between meeting snacks and would happy to drop it	Dec 2, 2011 12:50 PM
3	I think leveraging the hotels for breakfast rather than trying to provide as part of the IETF on-site facilities was a really good move. I suggest doing that in the future.	Nov 28, 2011 7:41 PM
4	Coffee was VERY poor.	Nov 28, 2011 2:52 PM
5	Cookies not up to the usual standard.	Nov 28, 2011 9:58 AM
6	Missing softdrinks on the first day was really annoying, especially as I really would have needed them on the afternoon jetlag...	Nov 24, 2011 9:22 AM
7	I stayed at AT Boutique hotel.	Nov 23, 2011 10:29 PM
8	Hyatt network only fair to poor. Better during IETF meeting.	Nov 23, 2011 11:23 AM
9	Missed the ice cream on Thursday!	Nov 23, 2011 7:58 AM
10	Hyatt - Wireless did not work at times.	Nov 23, 2011 5:33 AM
11	The breakfast at the hotel was excellent, the food unpayable but the surroundings were ok to compensate.	Nov 23, 2011 2:06 AM
12	Because I am a Muslim and very few items for Muslims	Nov 23, 2011 1:45 AM
13	Hyatt	Nov 22, 2011 9:02 PM
14	It's always nice to have food of the region available in addition to standards.	Nov 22, 2011 8:53 PM
15	Grand Hyatt..	Nov 22, 2011 8:49 PM
16	Pacific Business Center Hotel	Nov 22, 2011 6:34 PM
17	hotel - Hyatt: wifi on my iPhone was unstable	Nov 22, 2011 6:33 PM
18	Hotel: TangoHotel XinYi.	Nov 22, 2011 4:40 PM
19	Water should be made avaialble freely. I had to run down from 3F to get water near 2F. Will help this is addressed in future.	Nov 22, 2011 3:19 PM
20	Hotel: Westin. Reasonable quality but only 2Mbps. The venue was a little average -- some rooms had bright lighting but dull projectors; others were very large. Chairs varied randomly in comfort. Electrical problems noted in a lot of rooms. Biggest issue: cookies were poor and beverage availability (other than water) was quite bad.	Nov 22, 2011 2:53 PM
21	Facilities were good, except for auditorium style rooms - it was difficult to get to the mic, because of structure of seats effectively blocked people in.	Nov 22, 2011 2:53 PM
22	Wifi in Hayatt	Nov 22, 2011 2:17 PM

**Page 3, Q11. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?**

23	Wireless in the Grand Hyatt room was spotty at best. Would lose wireless Internet soon after acquiring it. Wired connection was perfect.	Nov 22, 2011 2:14 PM
24	Howard Plaza hotel	Nov 22, 2011 1:53 PM
25	The chairs in the meeting rooms were nice. Seems there was lots of power - US outlets. There was no good place to just sit in the hallway and talk. Even the lobby of the hotel was not conducive for "hallway" meetings.	Nov 22, 2011 1:40 PM
26	Why provide faux western food rather than real Chinese food?	Nov 22, 2011 1:07 PM
27	I always beg for diet cokes in the morning ... the food this time seemed to be different from what I usually expect, although it got more normal, day by day ...	Nov 22, 2011 12:42 PM
28	The "no food not catered by Ticc may be carried in" rule was annoying, and I violated it once. AFAICT there was no enforcement.	Nov 22, 2011 12:20 PM
29	meeting location not very convenient nor friendly	Nov 22, 2011 11:57 AM
30	Food/beverage was the worst in a long time. Venue was too spread out, hard to find people.	Nov 22, 2011 11:54 AM
31	I know it's not always possible, but I find having a social gathering location (hotel bar) at the center of the venue makes for an ideal meet point.	Nov 22, 2011 11:44 AM
32	no ice cream on Thursday afternoon... :-(	Nov 22, 2011 11:41 AM
33	don't like Chinese food	Nov 22, 2011 11:33 AM
34	The meeting venue did not allow for interaction and 'social networking' very well (at least, not until extra chairs where put in place).	Nov 22, 2011 11:23 AM
35	Hotel Quote	Nov 22, 2011 11:18 AM
36	the food and beverages on the ground floor would run out even before the meeting ended, perhaps more quantity should have been allocated for the ground floors.	Nov 22, 2011 11:18 AM
37	I need chocolate brownies	Nov 22, 2011 11:12 AM
38	Recommend Chinese food at the breaks if we have another meeting there.	Nov 22, 2011 11:06 AM
39	The venue rules on outside food, food and beverage in meeting rooms, and the poor food and beverage choices from the caterer combined to detract from the meetings. I suggest that we be careful to avoid outside food limitations and to understand the specifics of any catered snacks and beverages prior to the meetings.	Nov 22, 2011 11:04 AM
40	Wireless not secured Wired access very slow Howard Plaza Hotel. otherwise excellent	Nov 22, 2011 11:03 AM
41	The snack break food was excellent.	Nov 22, 2011 11:01 AM

**Page 3, Q11. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?**

42	Relogin in the Hyatt hotel's wireless did strike occassionally at bad moments, other than that it was pretty problem free. AC'ing the meeting rooms was beyond arctic. I don't remember any other place where the scale between outside and meeting rooms temperature would have been so ridiculous. At outside t-shirt would have been just fine but in the meeting rooms I had rather cold feeling even with heavier gear I had to carry because of AC.	Nov 22, 2011 10:55 AM
43	Asian cookies leave a lot to be desired...	Nov 22, 2011 10:51 AM
44	Hyatt - internet service was still pretty slow even on wired connection with IETF providing connectivity. Food itself was ok, limited variety, but not bad. Was annoying that you were prohibited from bringing beverages into the meeting rooms.	Nov 22, 2011 10:49 AM
45	More soft drinks would've been nice.	Nov 22, 2011 10:44 AM
46	In terms of services, Asia is the perfect plac.e	Nov 22, 2011 10:44 AM
47	1. Would have preferred to have the meeting network on the room wireless, as well as the room wired. 2. It would have been nice to use the TICC's "Plenary Hall" for the plenary sessions. I thought the room we did use was poor for the purpose, and the Plenary Hall looked great. 3. Not being allowed to bring food/drink (especially drink) into the meeting rooms was a problem (and many people ignored the rule anyway).	Nov 22, 2011 10:42 AM
48	Not enough casual seating in hallways. Break food was only mediocre.	Nov 22, 2011 10:39 AM
49	Hyatt, there were outages, even though I was in the IETF block (11th floor)	Nov 22, 2011 10:38 AM
50	The conference center was a bit too large (but that was ok).	Nov 22, 2011 10:32 AM
51	I stayed at the AT hotel. It was fine. More ad-hoc seating would have been good otherwise the venue was fine.	Nov 22, 2011 10:31 AM
52	Lack of seating made meetings a serious issue at this IETF. More couches would have been a huge help. As it is, I ended up at the Hyatt, away from the conference center for most of my meetings.	Nov 22, 2011 10:31 AM
53	Stayed in hotel away from center of city - Holiday Inn Taipei (10 minute taxi ride) - Internet access was provided free and was good.	Nov 22, 2011 10:31 AM
54	I was in AT Boutique hotel	Nov 22, 2011 10:30 AM
55	I really like the fresh fruit. The cookies totally left something to be desired and some of the sandwiches were horrible.	Nov 22, 2011 10:28 AM
56	Home hotel	Nov 22, 2011 10:27 AM





**Page 3, Q12. How would you rate the following?**

1	I only needed a visa for China, and that was for an overnight stay in transit.	Dec 5, 2011 9:13 PM
2	Power strips distribution was ok but many were downright dangerous for equipment and/or humans	Dec 2, 2011 4:23 PM
3	Secretariat staff continues to be wonderful.	Dec 1, 2011 11:58 PM
4	Power strip availability was very good except for one meeting room where a whole section was without power.	Nov 29, 2011 12:06 PM
5	In a couple of rooms, projectors were placed so that they were blocked by people standing at the audience mikes.	Nov 23, 2011 7:58 AM
6	Some meeting rooms (201DEF, for example) may require more bright projectors as screens were very large (good!).	Nov 23, 2011 2:18 AM
7	Some of the projectors in some rooms were placed in the aisles behind the microphones, making it very difficult to look at the people asking questions, and the people asking questions were blocking the screen.	Nov 22, 2011 5:37 PM
8	The secretariat is always AWESOME ...	Nov 22, 2011 12:42 PM
9	didn't require a visa as travel was exempt free but got quick responses from the local taiwanese embassy which made the process pretty easy.	Nov 22, 2011 11:18 AM
10	There were some reported issues of power related problems in some of the meeting rooms. The power distribution units in the rooms appeared to be prone to miswiring.	Nov 22, 2011 11:04 AM
11	Socket plugs are not compatible with EU ones. I remember in Beijing, the ones in use are compatible with all sorts of plugs.	Nov 22, 2011 10:54 AM
12	Some power strips were broken and/or dangerous.	Nov 22, 2011 10:51 AM
13	multiple power strips in the venue were dead, some in ways that cooked equipment. Venue needs to do a better job of testing them. Also, some of the rooms were not really configured for our style of meeting - mic lines placed in front of projectors, rooms where you could either see the person presenting or the presentation, but not both due to lighting, etc.	Nov 22, 2011 10:49 AM
14	Fair because my PC crashed when I connected to the projector. No idea if this is my PC problem or the projector, so "fair".	Nov 22, 2011 10:44 AM
15	Some mikes were not sensitive enough. Some screens were exceedingly big compared to the size of the room.	Nov 22, 2011 10:32 AM
16	Ugly American speaking: Great that they used the same power plug configuration as the US ;)	Nov 22, 2011 10:28 AM



**Page 3, Q13. How would you rate the meeting Program Book?**  
<http://www.ietf.org/meeting/82/meeting-packet.pdf>

1	I never really look at it	Dec 5, 2011 9:13 PM
2	Maybe we could eliminate the program book to further cut the cost, given everything is online	Dec 2, 2011 11:08 PM
3	Didn't look at it, except for the meeting schedule.	Dec 1, 2011 11:58 PM
4	Didn't look at it.	Nov 29, 2011 11:53 AM
5	I never received one or noticed a link to it in the hundreds of emails.	Nov 23, 2011 6:41 PM
6	Nothing about Airport-venue transportation (fortunately this was in the attendee mailing-list and I came only the Saturday)	Nov 23, 2011 12:18 PM
7	For updating the Agenda we should not have three places to go. All the tools, datatracker, .. once should be merged into 1 that has all the info incl. material, jabber, audio.	Nov 23, 2011 2:06 AM
8	The what?	Nov 22, 2011 4:32 PM
9	mostly didn't use it - just went to online agenda or the wall by the registration desk	Nov 22, 2011 1:08 PM
10	I especially appreciated the packet at the hotel when I checked in ...	Nov 22, 2011 12:42 PM
11	Didn't know there was a meeting program book.	Nov 22, 2011 11:54 AM
12	I do not really need such things. The small agenda that fits into the badge is everything I really need.	Nov 22, 2011 11:26 AM
13	Didn't use, not sure it is worth the effort to product. Better to put effort into the wiki.	Nov 22, 2011 11:06 AM
14	I wish you would stop printing the program book. It's a waste of paper. Of course, presumably mine is a minority opinion, so please don't take this as a criticism, just a statement of my own preference.	Nov 22, 2011 11:01 AM
15	Didn't know about it.	Nov 22, 2011 10:44 AM
16	Didn't use it.	Nov 22, 2011 10:39 AM
17	I did not use the book much this time, but used the iPhone IETFers app a lot instead !	Nov 22, 2011 10:32 AM



**Page 3, Q14. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 82All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 82Attendees@ietf.org list to share...**

1	too much email flooding on small things (like selling a single social ticket)	Dec 2, 2011 11:08 PM
2	Glad that email to 82all was not too overwhelming.	Dec 1, 2011 11:58 PM
3	I found the flood of attendees emails on mostly trivial topics a real PITA. There were some useful/important items buried in there which meant I was obliged to scan them all rather than just bin them. If we could somehow direct all the BS to a separate list (e.g. 82childishchatter) that would be great.	Nov 23, 2011 6:41 PM
4	82 Attendees did not share as much information, especially about nearby restaurants, as in past meetings	Nov 23, 2011 11:23 AM
5	Was unaware of noc@ service.	Nov 23, 2011 9:46 AM
6	Having noc and mtd is very useful if needed, I just did not. The "Fair" on 82Attendees is more because the kids really do not behave like they are allowed to travel alone.	Nov 23, 2011 2:06 AM
7	Did not use the first two, so no comments for them, but would like to use them next time.	Nov 22, 2011 9:09 PM
8	I would love to be on the attendee list but it is usually full of folks ruminating over which ATM to use.	Nov 22, 2011 8:49 PM
9	82attendees filled up with the usual stupid questions from people you'd expect more from. It's like nobody has traveled before.	Nov 22, 2011 2:53 PM
10	Separate email list for social event ticket needs / sales.	Nov 22, 2011 1:34 PM
11	Have you guys considered doing the same mailing list for all IETF meetings? I keep missing stuff on the meeting mailing lists because I keep forgetting to register - I'd stay subscribed, meeting after meeting, if that was possible.	Nov 22, 2011 12:42 PM
12	People still aren't trained to contact the right alias :(	Nov 22, 2011 12:02 PM
13	Attendees list certainly has useful information, but sadly it occasionally is abused as FAQ answering machine by some to query info that the host has already kindly put up to the local host webpage.	Nov 22, 2011 10:55 AM
14	Would be great to have a reminder on how to unsubscribe from a mailer such as 82Attendees towards the end of the week.	Nov 22, 2011 10:44 AM
15	Mixed feelings of the 82Attendees list. Some of info very useful. Most of the discussion with no other than entertainment value: makes one wonder all the worries people have... ;-)	Nov 22, 2011 10:44 AM
16	82attendees list rated "fair" because, as always, there's a lot of silly noise there. But that's as expected, and there's no way to change that, really.	Nov 22, 2011 10:42 AM
17	too much noise on 82Attendees we should charge per message posted :-)	Nov 22, 2011 10:34 AM
18	Less, though still too much, crap on the attendee list this time.	Nov 22, 2011 10:31 AM

**Page 3, Q14. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 82All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 82Attendees@ietf.org list to share...**

19 Any chance we can stop the whining on attendees ;) Nov 22, 2011 10:28 AM

**Page 3, Q15. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?**

1	Document editing; how to be a document shepherd	Dec 5, 2011 9:13 PM
2	I suggest having a training for new working group chairs at IETF 83.	Nov 28, 2011 7:41 PM
3	Perhaps a tutorial on successful research of the IRTF that have had a significant impact on the global marketplace.	Nov 28, 2011 2:56 PM
4	Couldn't able to attend Document Life Cycle class since it was held in parallel to Routing, Bridging, Switching.	Nov 23, 2011 10:43 PM
5	Do you need someone for IETF'83 newcomers in French (I am for France and I have contacts).	Nov 23, 2011 12:18 PM
6	Radia's class was AWESOME! One of the highlights of the week	Nov 22, 2011 9:02 PM
7	- Security, IPSec, SSL etc - DNS, DNSSec	Nov 22, 2011 8:49 PM
8	The non-technical topics are useful. The technical tutorials need to focus on emerging technology that affects multiple WGs and Areas - a more grunty version of the technical plenary, with deep technical content.	Nov 22, 2011 4:32 PM
9	Attended them previously.	Nov 22, 2011 10:38 AM
10	Would attend WG leadership & Document Life Cycle if offered again. Couldn't attend this time due to travel requirements.	Nov 22, 2011 10:33 AM
11	Privacy.	Nov 22, 2011 10:31 AM





**Page 3, Q16. How do you rate the Plenaries?**

1	They were scheduled to go too long.	Dec 5, 2011 9:13 PM
2	I continue to wonder whether we really need both plenaries.	Dec 1, 2011 11:58 PM
3	plenaries need to be shorter.	Nov 28, 2011 2:01 PM
4	I was disappointed with the IOT presentations	Nov 23, 2011 11:23 AM
5	Not getting much value from these.	Nov 23, 2011 9:46 AM
6	The technical plenary was old news for anyone who has her ears open; too many buzzwords, platitudes and ex cathedra statements. On the other hand, the crickets were excellent.	Nov 22, 2011 4:32 PM
7	The tech plenary was awful. The speakers (other than jari) did not make a good point. It was BAD.	Nov 22, 2011 1:40 PM
8	This is the second or third technical plenary in a row that has been a total waste of time. If there's nothing important to tell all of us, don't have one!!	Nov 22, 2011 12:05 PM
9	Too much crap in the plenaries.	Nov 22, 2011 11:54 AM
10	I really don't understand why we spend plenary time reporting administrative statistics. Those could easily be reported on a web page prior to the meeting. Plenary time is better spent on discussion.	Nov 22, 2011 11:04 AM
11	I was way too tired to go to the plenaries.	Nov 22, 2011 11:01 AM
12	Technical plenary suffered from taking a very interesting subject and making it incredibly dry and vaporware-ish.	Nov 22, 2011 10:53 AM
13	there needs to be some content standards for the presentations - the plenary room had smaller-than-normal screens, and the combination of small text and the color schemes (yellow is ALWAYS a bad idea) chosen made some slides difficult or impossible to read. Tech plenaries should consider focusing on facilitating a more interactive discussion on a controversial topic instead of 2 hours of broadcast-only "death-by-powerpoint". The panel discussion was less of a panel and more "a bunch of guys presenting things on quasi-related topics."	Nov 22, 2011 10:49 AM
14	Technical plenary was very bad. What a shame. SmartGrid and sensors are very important. But this was a very poor job. Too much marketing stuff as well. Bad. Very bad.	Nov 22, 2011 10:31 AM



**Page 3, Q17. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.**

1	End a little earlier.	Dec 5, 2011 9:13 PM
2	Not so close to US thanksgiving.	Dec 1, 2011 11:58 PM
3	Do not schedule working group sessions so late in the evening.	Nov 28, 2011 7:41 PM
4	shorter plenary meetings.	Nov 28, 2011 2:01 PM
5	Plentiful softdrinks and water available. It was hard to find at times.	Nov 23, 2011 11:23 AM
6	Pick low cost, easy to get to venues like Minneapolis and Prague (subject to visa constraints)	Nov 23, 2011 9:46 AM
7	Bring back ice cream Thursday!	Nov 23, 2011 7:58 AM
8	ad hoc meeting opportunities with table and power, like in the big hall in Maastricht would be very good	Nov 23, 2011 5:47 AM
9	More chairs outside of meeting rooms. Start at 9:30. No 2nd meetings for WGs.	Nov 23, 2011 2:06 AM
10	Option for more casual WG meetings with lots of lovesacs and tea.	Nov 22, 2011 9:02 PM
11	video (+audio) recording of the meetings	Nov 22, 2011 8:49 PM
12	introduce realtime transcript service at the all meeting	Nov 22, 2011 7:56 PM
13	I think the technical plenary is so often weak that it should be dropped. I don't like the ISOC hijacking a lunch break for a non-IETF blah blah session.	Nov 22, 2011 4:32 PM
14	More meetings in the US. I know we argue about this all the time, but I feel that most of the chairs, ID authors and participants in WGs come from the US. 1-1-1 is awful. Go back to 2 us / 1 or 1.5 outside us. Personally I like having the meeting venue be the hotel. Better for meeting outside WG meetings. The breakfast buffet at this hotel was amazing!	Nov 22, 2011 1:40 PM
15	Not nearly enough places to sit at this venue outside of meeting rooms.	Nov 22, 2011 1:34 PM
16	I think the Friday schedule worked well (except that the IAB-IESG session ran over by like double the scheduled time, because there was no hard stop!)	Nov 22, 2011 12:42 PM
17	Reduce the "Plenary" meetings - a LOT. Recoup 4 hours from them, and then move the Friday meetings to fill those 4 hours, and thus stop having meetings on Friday (like we used to!).	Nov 22, 2011 12:05 PM
18	I would prefer having WG meetings on Monday and Technical plenary on Thursday as it was before	Nov 22, 2011 12:02 PM
19	Less expensive meeting hotel, with a more important block of rooms	Nov 22, 2011 11:57 AM
20	Need a better social event. The IETF social event at Quebec is really good	Nov 22, 2011 11:56 AM
21	Combined plenaries. I do not think the technical plenaries are adding value. I find the lunchtime sessions by ISOC far more informative. Perhaps, the IAB could cover their technical topics during lunchtime. And, actually, maybe the	Nov 22, 2011 11:51 AM

**Page 3, Q17. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.**

	IESG could do the same. Then, have one open meeting that provides the host speech, meeting details such as NOC and nomcom and then have open mic for each of the bodies.	
22	Larger room block at the closest hotels. Better hotel rates.	Nov 22, 2011 11:18 AM
23	More in Asia, few in India	Nov 22, 2011 11:12 AM
24	there should be one central / common space to hang around outside of sessions, to meet (and get seen), ideally with comfortable seating + power. (Ie. couches at Hilton in Prague served that purpose)	Nov 22, 2011 11:11 AM
25	See answers to 11 and 16.	Nov 22, 2011 11:04 AM
26	Less air conditioning. Rooms are far too cold. Not bearable to need a sweater in meeting rooms when its warm outside. Please consider the global warming and local pollution	Nov 22, 2011 11:03 AM
27	I want a pony. I don't understand why we don't have ponies. :)	Nov 22, 2011 11:01 AM
28	It would be helpful for a venue with video screens to post the names of the WGs and where they're meeting on the screens in the lobby area.	Nov 22, 2011 10:58 AM
29	It certainly would be more comfortable if air-conditioning wouldn't be that extreme (I'm prepared to some coldness with heavier gear but even it was not enough for this one), but understandably it is not such thing we have that large influence on.	Nov 22, 2011 10:55 AM
30	I think that there is very little time to actually discuss technical details of some important design issues (in the scope of the WG).	Nov 22, 2011 10:53 AM
31	Less rain ;-)	Nov 22, 2011 10:51 AM
32	I don't feel like the Friday schedule changes improved the level of participation much. Lots of people are still blowing off Friday unless they have a session that they feel they must attend. Probably the best way to ensure participation is to load Friday with sessions that are heavily-attended.	Nov 22, 2011 10:49 AM
33	At the meeting venue it would be beneficial if there were more chairs/other sitting area, where small off line discussions could be conducted. In Taipei these were not that much available.	Nov 22, 2011 10:44 AM
34	better weather - less rain - ha ha ha, like you guys have any control over that!!! Just a joke.	Nov 22, 2011 10:33 AM
35	More seating would be great! :)	Nov 22, 2011 10:31 AM
36	More US venues. Many Chinese couldn't get visa for meetings. Isn't that a reason for holding in asia - but that was not the case in Taiwan. Let us have more US meetings - increase attendance as well. IETF meeting staff was excellent as usual. Let us get cheaper hotels for main hotel What happened to our cheaper hotels. Do a better job at negotiated rate.	Nov 22, 2011 10:31 AM
37	Free beer!	Nov 22, 2011 10:28 AM

**Page 3, Q17. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.**

38 Fewer meetings in Asia

Nov 22, 2011 10:27 AM

**Page 6, Q21. If you did not attend IETF 82, why not? (Check all that apply.)**

1 Got stuck in Tokyo because of passport problems.

Nov 22, 2011 10:33 AM