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Jari Arkko [PRESENT]
Scott Bradner [PRESENT]
Kathy Brown [PRESENT]
Randy Bush [PRESENT]
Chris Griffiths, Chair [PRESENT]
Tobias Gondrom [PRESENT]
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Ray Pelletier, IAD [PRESENT]

Michele Gehrke [SCRIBE]

IAOC Meeting Agenda

===================

Chris called the meeting called to order at 10:02 AM EDT.

1. Operations - 10 Min
   a. Minutes
      2014-09-11
      2014-09-25
      2014-10-09
   b. IAOC Honolulu Schedule
   c. Committee Chairs on IAOC List

2. Finance - 5 min

3. Meetings - 5 min
   a. Honolulu Update
   b. IETF 97 Options – Kathy

4. Tools - N/A
5. Legal - 5 min
   a. Suit Update – Scott

6. RPS - N/A
7. AOB
   a. IETF Protocol Registries Oversight Committee (IPROC) - Russ
1. **Operations**
   
a. **Minutes**
      
      2014-09-11
      2014-09-25
      2014-10-09

   Without objection the minutes as modified on the list for 2014-09-11, 2014-09-25 and 2014-10-09 were approved.

b. **IAOC Honolulu Schedule**

   Ray reviewed the IAOC schedule for the meeting in Honolulu:

   **IAOC-IAD Office: South Pacific 3**

   **Sunday**
   5:00 - 7:00 Welcome Reception - Great Lawn
   9:00 - I* Leadership & AMS Social TBD

   **Monday**
   6:30 - 6:50 Office Hours South Pacific 3
   6:50 - 7:50 Tech Plenary Coral 3

   **Tuesday**
   6:00 - 10:00 PM Social Luau Great Lawn

   **Wednesday**
   7:30 - 9:00 AM IAOC Meeting South Pacific 3
   4:50 - 5:10 Office Hours South Pacific 3
   5:10 - 7:40 Admin Plenary Coral 3

   **Thursday**
   Nothing Scheduled

   **Friday**
   9:00 - 10:00 AM Post Meeting Review South Pacific 3

   c. **Committee Chairs on IAOC List**

   Randy had noted on the list that all of the IAOC committees were chaired by IAOC members except one, the Meetings Committee, and asked whether all IAOC Committee Chairs should be on the IAOC List.

   After some discussion the members agreed that they should.

   Ray asked as a follow-up to Randy’s question whether IAOC Committee Chairs
should be invited to IAOC calls when there are committee action items to be taken up by the IAOC?

The members also agreed that they should be invited to the meetings.

There was a discussion about the need to maintain confidentiality for those items that required it, and the members felt that they were all bound by that and it must also be understood by non-IAOC member committee chairs.

Ray said he would get in touch with the Meetings Committee chair and let him know about the list, meetings, and the need to maintain confidentiality when appropriate.

2. Finance

An e-vote was held to adopt the IASA 2015 Budget and 2016 – 2017 Budget Projections. The vote and the results are recorded in these minutes in accordance with the IAOC Administrative Procedures.

Upon the request of the IAOC Chair an official e-vote was called on 15 October 2014 to approve the 2015 Budget and 2016 - 2017 Budget Projections.

The voting was closed on 17 October 2014 with all members of the IAOC having voted on the following resolution:

Resolution:
The IAOC hereby adopts the IETF 2015 Budget and 2016 -2017 Budget Projections dated 14 October 2014, as attached, and requests the Internet Society to include and approve this Budget within its budget approval process.

The vote results are as follows:
Jari Arkko [YES]
Scott Bradner [YES]
Kathy Brown [YES]
Randy Bush [YES]
Tobias Gondrom [YES]
Chris Griffiths [YES]
Bob Hinden [YES]
Russ Housley [YES]

The vote to adopt the IETF 2015 Budget and 2016 – 2017 Budget Projections dated 14 October 2014, passes with a unanimous Yes vote.
3. Meetings

a. Honolulu Update

Ray gave an update on the upcoming IETF meeting in Honolulu:

1. Hilton Hawaiian Village and Doubletree are fully booked.

2. Numbers
   Registered: 834
   Paid: 454
   The paid attendance is trending with IETF 88 in Vancouver at which there were 1,155 paid attendees with total registration revenues of $743K. The budget for Honolulu forecast 1,200 paid attendees with registration revenue of $754K.

3. Break Down by Country

Ray reviewed the registered and paid numbers for the highest seven countries registrations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Registered</th>
<th>Paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ray noted the differences in the paid attendees at this point, especially China, and expressed concern that it was possible that delays in processing visa applications may be responsible for their low number at this time. Ray said that as a result of a request from the NomCom Chair he was involved in trying to have the visa applications of three members from China processed expeditiously. Kathy said she would ask Sally Wentworth to get involved as well.

Tobias noted that of the listed countries only China has stricter Visa requirements for USA and asked whether other "Visa-countries' participants" display a similar "Registered/Paid" pattern like China and whether we need to look into how we can help their visa applications as well.

b. IETF 97 Options

Ray said that IETF 97 was designated by the IAOC to be an IETF meeting in Asia, that there had been a site qualification visit to two cities in two different countries and
six different venues recently, and the site visit team had recommendations for the Meetings Committee.

Kathy said she had received an expression of interest from an individual from one of the countries advising that he believed in-kind support for the venue and the NOC were available for one of three venues in the country; some sponsorship support was available for a second venue; but no sponsorship support was available for the third venue; and he was interested in pursuing the matter further. Kathy said we needed to explore these possibilities for sponsorships and the qualifications of the venues.

After some discussion it was agreed that further investigation was needed on the sponsorship support and the venue qualifications. It was discussed that should the venue fall somewhat short, but sponsored and having favorable cost advantages to the IETF and attendees, the matter should be discussed with the community to see if they were okay with those tradeoffs of less expense, but perhaps additional travel and not in the center of a city - a little isolated or remote.

4. Tools – N/A

5. Legal
Glassey v Microsemi Inc., et al

Scott reported there was nothing new to report and at this time we were waiting for results of the November 20, 2014 court date.

6. RPS – N/A

7. AOB

Russ reported on the feedback from the IAB about IANA SLA and the need for changes to be consistent with RFC 6220. In particular, Russ noted the need for both the IAOC and the IAB to approve the annual SLA before execution.

Chris adjourned the meeting at 10:42 AM.