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IAOC Meeting Agenda

==================================

Chris called this meeting to order at 10:02 AM EST.

1. Operations - 5 min
   a. Minutes
      2014-11-12
   b. Retreat - dates, location
   c. IAOC Calendar
2. Finance
   a. Honolulu Update
3. Meetings
   a. Dallas Update
   b. 2015 Registrations
   c. IETF 99 Site Visit Update
   d. IETF 100 Update
4. Tools
   a. RFC Editor DOI Project
   b. RFC Editor Website Revamp Project
   c. IETF Website Revamp Update
   d. IDIQ RFP
   e. IETF Servers
5. Legal
a. Glassey v Microsemi
6. RPS
7. AOB
   a. IANA SLA - Russ

1. Operations

a. Minutes (2014-11-12)

Without objection the minutes as modified on the list for 2014-11-12 were approved.

b. Retreat, dates and location

Ray said the IAOC typically has a two-day retreat after the new IAOC is formed in March. The poll of the IAOC shows that 28 – 29 April 2015 would work for the Retreat. There were no objections to those dates.

Ray recommended the IAOC Retreat be held in London because so many IAOC members would be attending the IESG and IAB retreats the next week. The IAOC agreed. Ray said he would work with AMS to find a suitable venue.

c. IAOC Calendar

Ray reviewed the 2015 IAOC, Trust and Committee calendar. There was no objection to the calendar and the calendar was adopted.

2. Finance

a. Honolulu Update

Ray reported that the registration revenue for the Honolulu meeting was $66K below budget expectations. The Actual was $688K while the Budget was $754K. The Budget expected 1,200 paid attendees but there were only 1,068 paid attendees.

Sponsorships were up $18K however; Actuals at $423K, while the Budget was $405K.
We won’t see final Expenses numbers until January or February as it takes awhile to reconcile the Master Account with the hotel.

Ray reported on remote participation based upon numbers from Meetecho.

In London Meetecho broadcast 62 sessions; Toronto, 100; and Honolulu, 135 sessions. In London there were 2 presentations remotely; Toronto, 5; and in Honolulu, 31. Ray said he thought the increase in remote presentations demonstrated the usefulness of the Meetecho platform.

The “Remote Attendance” numbers represents the total number of remote session attendees. The number does not include those who were on site but who also “attended” a session by accessing the Meetecho session link.

The Remote Attendance count is determined as follows: Session A might have 6 remote attendees; Session B, 10; and Session C, 4, for a total of 20 remote attendees even though the an individual, or individuals may have attended more than one of the sessions.

Comparing Remote Attendance numbers for 2014, in London Remote Attendance was 369; Toronto, 555; and Honolulu, 1,292. There has been significant growth over the course of the year.

A discussion ensued about whether to charge a fee for remote participation. Ray reminded everyone that Chris has said that we wouldn’t even consider that until we had a reliable, functioning service.

3. Meetings

a. Dallas Update

Ray reported that to date, 165 people have registered, including 30 paid. He noted that a total of 36 Letters of Invitation have been issued, including 27 requests from China and 4 from India.

b. 2015 Registrations

1. Prague and Yokohama
Ray reported that the registration and receipts process for Prague are being adapted to incorporate the collection of VAT and provide attendees with a receipt that can be used to recover the VAT, if they qualify. He said we are currently working with BC&A regarding the VAT. Note: BC&A is a VAT management firm that was retained by ISOC for the IETF 87 in Berlin. We used their German VAT ID to file the correct forms with the tax authorities and to file for the recovery of VAT where possible, and will do the same for the meeting in Prague.

Ray noted that a preliminary investigation of visa application rules for Prague indicate that the Czech Republic wants applications filed within 3 months of the entry into the country. It appears, he said, that the goal of opening registration early, in this case, does not seem to be a solution that will provide more time for people to obtain their visas.

Ray said they that he, along with AMS, were also investigating the Yokohama visa application process through online research and discussions with Scott MacDonald's.

c. IETF 99 Site Visit Update

Ray said that there was going to be a site visit to Europe in December to qualify possible venues for IETF 99 in July 2017.

d. IETF 100 Update

Ray informed the committee that efforts were continuing with the investigation and qualification of venues in Asia-Pacific for IETF 100 in November 2017, and that there was going to be a site qualification trip during the first quarter of 2015.

4. Tools

   a. RFC Editor DOI Project

Ray reported that an RFP was issued for Digital Object Identifier services for the RFC Editor on 31 October and that two proposals were received by 26 November. The proposals were from AMS and Standcore.

Ray stated the Technology Management Committee reviewed both proposals and recommends Standcore because of its better
understanding of the task, approach, faster delivery and cost.

Ray said that funding existed in the 2015 Capital Investment budget for this project.

**Resolution**

The IAOC awards the RFC Editor Digital Object Identifier project to Standcore at a cost of <confidential> and requests the Internet Society to enter into such agreements to effect this award.

Scott moved and Bob seconded the resolution.

Jari Arkko [YES]
Scott Bradner [YES]
Kathy Brown [YES]
Tobias Gondrom [YES]
Chris Griffiths [YES]
Bob Hinden [YES]
Russ Housley [YES]

The vote to award the RFC Digital Object Identifier project to Standcore passes with a unanimous Yes vote.

b. RFC Editor Website Revamp Project

Ray said an RFP was issued for the RFC Editor Website Revamp on 31 October and two proposals were received by 26 November; one from AMS, the other from Aegis.

Ray noted that the Technology Management Committee had reviewed both proposals, engaged in Qs & As with each bidder, and held conference calls with each bidder on 15 December. Ray stated that the TMC recommends AMS because of its approach, lower risk, greater understanding of the requirements, and specificity.

He said funding exists in the 2015 Capital Investment budget for this project.
**Resolution**
The IAOC awards the RFC Editor Website Revamp project to AMS at a cost of <confidential> and requests the Internet Society to enter into such agreements to effect this award.

Russ moved and Scott seconded the motion to approve the resolution.

Jari Arkko [YES]
Scott Bradner [YES]
Kathy Brown [YES]
Tobias Gondrom [YES]
Chris Griffiths [YES]
Bob Hinden [YES]
Russ Housley [YES]

The vote to award the RFC Editor Website Revamp project to AMS passes with a unanimous Yes vote.

- **c. IETF Website Revamp Update**

Ray reported that contract discussions with Torchbox were underway.

- **d. IDIQ RFP**

Ray said that a Software Development IDIQ RFP is scheduled to be issued December 22, 2014.

- **e. IETF Servers**

Russ reported that the IETF servers are extremely sluggish and that the Technology Management Committee was working the issue now through Henrik. It could be a memory issue or a software problem.

5. **Legal**

- **a. Glassey v Microsemi Inc., et al**

Scott provided an update explaining that the Defendants and Plaintiffs were ordered by the Court to submit a joint briefs by December 19, 2014 explaining why the second amended complaint should not be
stricken, and that all the Defendants were ordered to file a joint brief why the second amended complaint should be stricken by the same date.

6. RPS
   No activity to report.

7. AOB
   a. IANA SLA

Russ said that the IAB is comfortable with the directions in the IANA Plan to the IAOC.

Chris adjourned the meeting at 10:47 AM.