

View Summary

Filter Responses

Download Responses

Browse Responses »

PAGE: MEETING PLANS

1. I attended IETF 78 in Maastricht

	Response Percent	Response Count
In person, for week	74.8%	258
In person, for less than a week	11.6%	40
In person, on a Day Pass	2.6%	9
Remotely	3.8%	13
No	7.2%	25
	answered question	345
	skipped question	2

2. Are you planning on attending IETF 79 in Beijing hosted by Tsinghua University?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	46.7%	162
Very likely	13.0%	45
Unlikely	14.1%	49
No	17.3%	60

Undecided	8.9%	31
answered question		347
skipped question		0

3. If No, Unlikely or Undecided- Why? Check as many as apply

	Response Percent	Response Count
Too hard to get there for me	37.1%	52
Too expensive to get there for me	55.0%	77
Business or personal conflict	30.7%	43
Personal reasons	13.6%	19
Other	16.4%	23
	Other (please specify) Hide replies	30

1. Very close to the RIPE meeting	Wed, Sep 1, 2010 4:24 AM	Find...
2. won't go to china	Wed, Sep 1, 2010 4:00 AM	Find...
3. Been to China, just don't fancy getting knackered for 2 weeks from jetlag. Attending IETFs in person is not really necessary to get most work done, but nice to go if convenient to get to (unlike Maastricht!).	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 7:08 PM	Find...
4. Funding limitations (not specific to venue)	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:35 AM	Find...
5. I am not sure if I will be able to get the expenses approved for traveling to this meeting.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 5:01 PM	Find...
6. Visa issues	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 9:20 AM	Find...

7. Political reasons	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 8:19 AM	Find...
8. Our project needs to develop further before it will be worthwhile (apart from the meet & greet of course) to proceed.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:25 AM	Find...
9. No specific compelling reason to join	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 12:10 PM	Find...
10. Political concerns, human rights concerns, air quality concerns, food sickness concerns, remoteness of venue	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 8:35 AM	Find...
11. Depends on the work I have been able to do, that gives me a valid reason to go	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:02 AM	Find...
12. Feel that support of CN (political) system is opportunistic; don't want to be an opportunist.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:41 PM	Find...
13. Depends on work to be done and alternative ways to get to a result.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:52 PM	Find...
14. It's in the People's Republic of China	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:51 PM	Find...
15. dislike communists.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:42 PM	Find...
16. Concerns over issues raised that resulted in cancellation of previously planned event last fall.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:40 PM	Find...
17. very rarely attend conferences outside of north america	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:35 PM	Find...
18. Cost issue.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:05 PM	Find...
19. Not key that I personally go to every meeting	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:55 PM	Find...
20. It's too far, and I am not hot in attending a meeting there with the issue of freedom of speech.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:51 PM	Find...
21. Object to IETF being held in China or any other extremely oppressive nation.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:47 PM	Find...
22. Cost of getting there versus amount of business I need to transact does not make it worthwhile.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:38 PM	Find...
23. I didn't make it to Maastricht due to schedule conflict but I will not be attending Beijing as traveling to China is too much of a hassle primarily due to information security concerns my employer has.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:23 PM	Find...
24. Political	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:23 PM	Find...
25. I must attend INCITS T10 meeting which is the same week.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:23 PM	Find...
26. project funding for travel uncertain	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:22 PM	Find...

- Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:22 PM
[Find...](#)
- Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:21 PM
[Find...](#)
- Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:17 PM
[Find...](#)
- Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:15 PM
[Find...](#)
- Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:13 PM
[Find...](#)

50 responses per page

answered question **140**

skipped question **207**

4. Are you planning on attending IETF 80 in Prague?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	50.0%	173
Very likely	36.1%	125
Unlikely	3.5%	12
No	2.3%	8
Undecided	8.1%	28

answered question **346**

skipped question **1**

5. If No, Unlikely or Undecided- Why? Check as many as apply.

	Response Percent	Response Count
Too hard to get there for me	12.5%	6
Too expensive to get there for me	35.4%	17
Business or personal conflict	35.4%	17
Personal reasons	10.4%	5
Other	27.1%	13
	Other (please specify) Hide replies	15

1. Funding TBD	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:35 AM	Find...
2. Too early to decide.	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 4:52 AM	Find...
3. See previous item.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:25 AM	Find...
4. No specific compelling reason to join	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 12:10 PM	Find...
5. Not so involved in standars work	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:34 PM	Find...
6. very rarely attend conferences outside of north america	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:35 PM	Find...
7. Haven't even considered it yet. Bad time of year in that climate. Will have already blown my oversea travel budget two weeks before IETF 80 by attending IEEE 802 in Singapore.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:19 PM	Find...
8. Conflict is the combination of travel time, etc. ... for me, IETF is a one day affair and I'm self funded.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:01 PM	Find...
9. 1. I am not sure how my agenda will look like then 2. I have my MBA classes to attend to 3. I am working on a few national initiative, and I am not sure how mature they will look like by the time of the IETF Prague meeting; i.e. the maturer they get, the higher the chances that I will need more ideas	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:47 PM	Find...

and thoughts, thus encouraging me to attend the IETF Prague meeting

- 10.**
Unsure of relevance at that time
Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:38 PM [Find...](#)
- 11.**
Since US economy is not doing well, it is hard to get travel approval for international conferences. I cannot plan ahead what the budget situation might be at that time. I'd prefer at least 2 IETFs take place in US/Canada.
Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM [Find...](#)
- 12.**
No reason to go (yet).
Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:25 PM [Find...](#)
- 13.**
project funding for travel uncertain
Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:22 PM [Find...](#)
- 14.**
Don't know whether the WGs I'm interested in will need to have face-to-face meetings there.
Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:21 PM [Find...](#)
- 15.**
Family medical constraints
Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:13 PM [Find...](#)

25 responses per page

answered question **48**

skipped question **299**

PAGE: MEETING PREFERENCES

1. It is important to me to have the meeting venue be easy to get to:

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very unimportant	12.1%	39
Slides	3.1%	10
Unimportant	6.2%	20
Neutral	26.6%	86
Important	40.6%	131

Very important	11.5%	37
answered question		323
skipped question		24

2. Do you prefer a meeting in a gateway city, such as New York, Chicago, Los Angeles; London, Frankfurt, Amsterdam; Tokyo, Beijing, Singapore – or – a secondary city, such as Minneapolis, Orlando, Vancouver; Maastricht, Barcelona, Prague; or Hiroshima, Hainan, Puket?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Gateway city only	6.8%	22
Secondary city only	3.4%	11
Preference for gateway city	37.0%	119
Preference for secondary city	17.1%	55
No preference	35.7%	115
	Comments Hide replies	80

1. I can go either way, but all other things being equal, it's nice to skip that last airline or train hop. Sun, Sep 5, 2010 3:47 PM [Find...](#)
2. Actually Minneapolis is a great venue, while Maastricht is a pita to get to even for a European (quicker to get to Washington!). We should hold all IETFs in Minneapolis - huge hotel, good food/beer, no distractions, gets work done :) Tue, Aug 31, 2010 7:19 PM [Find...](#)
3. Secondary city with airport is okay. Rail access only is annoying. Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:48 PM [Find...](#)
4. Getting back to the Maastricht case and related Tue, Aug 31, 2010 10:13 AM [Find...](#)

discussions on the train issues, I think it should be pointed out for secondary city in Europe that the gateway city of the 'secondary city country' is not always the easiest and fastest way to reach the premises. For instance, many people would have gained in going through Brussels than from Amsterdam to reach Maastricht.

5.	Minneapolis is a gateway for me, so is very high on my list. After that, Amsterdam, London, Frankfurt, Atlanta.	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 4:27 AM	Find...
6.	Not all secondary cities are equal. Getting to Minneapolis is not hard, though it may be multiple hops; getting to Maastricht was an ordeal.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 10:26 PM	Find...
7.	Secondary city is fine if it has good air connections, such as Vancouver and Minneapolis.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:06 PM	Find...
8.	It really depends on the location, facilities, decent hotels and restaurants nearby (for some definitions of nearby, it might include short public transport ride)	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 4:08 PM	Find...
9.	combination	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:09 PM	Find...
10.	I prefer the secondary cities but only those that are relatively close to major airports. So I'd consider MSP or MCO over Maastricht by that definition.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 11:54 AM	Find...
11.	I like going to different places	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 9:25 AM	Find...
12.	Hmmm. I can directly go from Frankfurt to Vancouver, but no to Maastricht. So I do not understand the classification...	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 9:10 AM	Find...
13.	Travel options are important, but also more important that gatewayness is size: Minneapolis or San Francisco are big enough to have good facilities such as public transport (Maastricht wasn't really) without becoming too unwieldy like Vancouver felt to me and Beijing and New York certainly are.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:08 AM	Find...
14.	From the viewpoint of far east resident, generally, meeting cities in US or Europe are enough far. Gateway or secondary issue is just last one hop. Rather, network environment is important.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:53 PM	Find...
15.	Very slight preference for a gateway city, but other reasons might outweigh that.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 6:08 PM	Find...

- | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| <p>16. Maastricht was terrible from a travel perspective. Hiroshima was equally bad, in that respect.</p> | Sun, Aug 29, 2010 3:40 PM | Find... |
| <p>17. Depends on the infrastructure not on locality. If the venue is well organised one hop by airline is not an issue.</p> <p>But there is a strong demand to have appropriate infrastructure. Hotels, internet in hotels, food in the area ...</p> | Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:30 PM | Find... |
| <p>18. I think you really have three tiers of cities here. (a) gateway cities, (b) cities that have good air access, (c) cities with reasonable train / car access. IMO there is a significant difference between having to change modes of transportation. I prefer (a), am okay with (b) and am strongly against (c). BTW Anaheim and Maastricht are in (c).</p> | Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:58 AM | Find... |
| <p>19. I don't consider Minneapolis and Maastricht to be in the same bucket. You can fly, in a jet plane, to Minneapolis. Airports work the same way all over the world, and most of us understand them. For Maastricht, it was necessary to figure out a different country's rail system. For Hiroshima, it was possible to fly if you were able to get one of the few flights per day. Both Maastricht and Hiroshima were poor locations for that reason.</p> | Sun, Aug 29, 2010 8:30 AM | Find... |
| <p>20. Maastricht is NOT a secondary city and is NOT of the same quality as the Barcelona, Vancouver, Orlando, Prague, Minneapolis.</p> | Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:00 AM | Find... |
| <p>21. Maastricht does not count as secondary city due to lack of proper airport.</p> | Sun, Aug 29, 2010 6:14 AM | Find... |
| <p>22. I like secondary cities.</p> | Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:36 AM | Find... |
| <p>23. Note that Maastricht and Hiroshima are significantly harder to get to than Minneapolis, Orlando, and Vancouver, even coming from Europe or Asia. Phuket seems to be even more expensive and harder to get to.</p> | Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:16 AM | Find... |
| <p>24. There are other non-gateway cities that have good "connectivity" e.g. Barcelona, Orlando.</p> | Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:38 PM | Find... |
| <p>25. Do not mind secondary city if there are reasonable (preferably less than 2-hour) connections to from the gateway cities</p> | Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:02 PM | Find... |
| <p>26. Beijing is a dead end, not a gateway city. Let's</p> | Sat, Aug 28, 2010 1:13 PM | Find... |

never go there again.

- | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 27. Whether gateway or secondary is not important to me. What IS important is that you (1) need not rent a car and (2) that the meeting venue is in a walkable city center with lots of food and hotel choices within a VERY short walk. | Sat, Aug 28, 2010 8:44 AM | Find... |
| 28. Please please use gateway cities. Maastricht was ridiculously hard to get to! | Sat, Aug 28, 2010 8:43 AM | Find... |
| 29. It is nice to vary it, and so long as it is varied I don't have a preference for the kind of city it is. | Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:33 AM | Find... |
| 30. Preference is based on ability to travel there with minimum changes. Since I am in Europe, this includes Vancouver and Minneapolis. | Sat, Aug 28, 2010 4:00 AM | Find... |
| 31. I want meetings in places where it's very easy to get to/from hotels to the meeting place. | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:46 PM | Find... |
| 32. gateway cities are usually expensive. Cost of getting there plus cost of being there added together matter. | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:28 PM | Find... |
| 33. If a city is reachable by air, no problem. Maastricht was not easy to get there for many people. | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:23 PM | Find... |
| 34. Minneapolis is a Delta hub and has direct flights to both Europe and Asia. Your definition of "gateway city" seems a bit too strict in my opinion. Many of the IETF decision makers seems to have a United/Star Alliance bias due to being based in either the bay area or DC. There are other airlines/alliances out there. | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 10:54 PM | Find... |
| 35. but the list of cities is wrong, most of those that you call secondary city can't compare to Maastricht, for example Prague, Barcelona or Hiroshima, they all have direct flight and good train connections | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 9:26 PM | Find... |
| 36. A lot depends on how hard it is to get from the gateway to the location. Maastricht and Quebec City are hard to get to by air. Minneapolis, Vancouver, and Prague are easy to reach by air. | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:27 PM | Find... |
| 37. I don't consider Maastricht to be a secondary city, as one *COULDN'T" easily fly into that city -- which is markedly different than to most on your list (Minneapolis, Orlando, Vancouver; Barcelona, Prague) that DID have direct flights into that city. Therefore, I consider your definition of what a | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:14 PM | Find... |

"secondary city" is needs to be discussed. In fact, of your list of secondary cities -- Minneapolis, Orlando, Vancouver; Barcelona, Prague -- each can be reached internationally, yet Maastricht could not be.

- | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 38. I think a moderate mix is reasonable and trust the venue committee to weigh relative costs appropriately. I do not think we need to go to resort venues that are difficult to reach, nor do we need to stick to the 7 major world air capitals. Egress re Maastricht was fine. | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:26 PM | Find... |
| 39. Gateway cities are boring in the sense that big cities in the world are almost the same. In the evening I would like to tour(?) and secondary cities are better. | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:12 PM | Find... |
| 40. A secondary is OK, but it really needs to be secondary via a well connected flight. San Diego is OK, but Hiroshima with the need to cross the city to catch a flight, or the long train journey is a difficult journey. | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:47 PM | Find... |
| 41. For me I can have direct flights to Barcelona and Prague while Singapore, Tokyo and Chicago require a connection. This is why I do not care since the definition is based on view from other countries. | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:35 PM | Find... |
| 42. Anywhere with decent international flights - it doesn't have to be a gateway city. I can fly from the UK direct to Minneapolis, Orlando, Vancouver, Prague, but not to Hiroshima, and probably not to Hainan or Phuket. | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:30 PM | Find... |
| 43. WTF? Minneapolis IS a gateway city. Maastricht is in the middle of nowhere, and is hardly comparable with Vancouver/Barcelona or Prague. | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:13 PM | Find... |
| 44. Coming from .nz it makes little difference. For example, Hiroshima was one of the easier trips I've had, and Maastricht was fairly easy too. A city big enough to have a usable venue most likely has reasonable transport connections as well. | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:58 PM | Find... |
| 45. This is not a balanced question. Maastricht and Hiroshima had no easy flight options, whereas Minneapolis and Vancouver do. | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:53 PM | Find... |
| 46. Airport transfers get lost in the noise | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:48 PM | Find... |

- | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| <p>47. Getting TO the city is one thing, but being IN the city is another. Comparing Maastricht to Barcelona, Prague, or Hiroshima is laughable. Maastricht is tiny, and the meeting hall was completely isolated. That's not the case in the other cities.</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:43 PM | Find... |
| <p>48. I do not believe that your classification of secondary cities is at all equivalent. I think you need to break this down into secondary cities that have an international airport, hotels in the city center near the meeting venue, restaurants nearby, etc. Maastricht does NOT meet any of those most basic requirements, whereas the majority of the others do except perhaps Orlando (which is a bad choice for other reasons IMHO). So, IMHO, this question is NOT going to give accurate results. If you really do classify Maastricht as a secondary city, then PLEASE change my preference to "Gateway City Only"</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:35 PM | Find... |
| <p>49. This question as posted does probably not give you the answers you want. Maybe an answer in the form ordered list of properties (such as Travel time, costs, hotel prices, food availability, proximity to city center, ...) would be more helpful</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:28 PM | Find... |
| <p>50. My preference really doesn't fall along these lines-- Orlando was a *horrible* place to have an IETF, for example. Modulo cost, New York would be a *great* place.</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:24 PM | Find... |
| <p>51. SMALLER gateway cities - Amsterdam is about perfect! the really huge ones aren't all that helpful...</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:21 PM | Find... |
| <p>52. Occasional secondary city is OK, maybe once in 2 years...</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM | Find... |
| <p>53. A secondary city with good public transportation from a gateway city can be less expensive due to lower hotels. The inexpensive trains to Maastricht is a good example. The secondary cities are usually a much more pleasant stay. Minneapolis is a good place for IETF. US Eastern corridor, accessible by Amtrak or other rail, might be better than NY, DC or Boston.</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM | Find... |
| <p>54. A major cost is lost time as well as extra transport</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:09 PM | Find... |

	to a secondary location this far outweighs possible savings on hotel or conference venues.		
55.	My focus is on attending the meeting and learning as much as I can so that I can reflect the knowledge gained on national initiatives within my country	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:03 PM	Find...
56.	Preference is slight. The key attribute is a commercial airport within 45 minutes of the venue. In other words: several airplane legs is okay. Multiple train legs isn't, as their unpredictability makes return flights difficult to plan.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:54 PM	Find...
57.	don't like Minneapolis.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:49 PM	Find...
58.	As long as there are good directions how to get there easily and safely, I am OK with it. Maastricht was not convenient for people who are not used to traveling in trains in Europe. The journey was not safe either. I myself lost my wallet while boarding the train. I know at least another person who also lost his wallet at the boarding time. It is a horrifying experience in a foreign country. Also Maastricht main hotel was outrageously expensive (more expensive than many international quality hotels in Amsterdam) without any nearby food/cafe area. An easy bus/train ride for 1-2 hrs from the arrival airport is fine w/me in the future.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:48 PM	Find...
59.	Other factors, like local facilities and amen	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:45 PM	Find...
60.	you classification of gateway cities vs secondary cities seems flawed. Maastricht, Hiroshima, Hainan, have minimal or no international flights. Vancouver is a gateway city, and the rest have good frequency in and out. Phuket is ok, as its a vacation destination similar to orlando, so it has a fair amount of easy connectivity.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:42 PM	Find...
61.	Gateway or not, I want an airport (I'd rather not use the train - like Maastricht required).	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:41 PM	Find...
62.	not a strong preference, but it is certainly easier and quicker to make the travel connections. Overall cost (i.e. extra travel cost but savings on local accommodations) and variety mean secondary city is sometimes a good choice too.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:39 PM	Find...
63.	Hmm, I was going to punch "Preference for gateway city", but Hiroshima was just wonderful in its own special way (despite the hallways being too	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:36 PM	Find...

crowded) and Minneapolis has been just great every time (is it 4? I've lost count). I think we should return to Minneapolis.

64. You meant Phuket right ;)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
65. Secondary cities are OK as long as there is an international airport nearby. (Minneapolis/Orlando/Vancouver/Prague are OK, but Maastricht is not). It was really difficult to get to Maastricht. I don't want to do that again. I also have strong concerns about visiting China, Russia, etc. (both human rights and laptop privacy/security)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
66. There are very accessible "secondary" city: meaning by airplane and by train	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
67. Cost (including cost of food etc.) is the biggest factor	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
68. Not all "secondary" cities are equally secondary in ease of access.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:31 PM	Find...
69. IETF is often a week long committment. While I appreciate the opportunity to experience alternate meeting venues and host cities, if this adds to the transportation time (e.g. Maastricht), I'd rather stay in a gateway city.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:31 PM	Find...
70. Secondary cities are of course acceptable. My first choice would be a gateway city.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
71. Gateway is important outside of North American. Secondary in North American is acceptable.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
72. Very slight preference, but exclude truly small (Maastricht is not comparable to Minneapolis or Barcelona or Prague for transport!)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
73. For budget and time restrictions, I can not "tourist" during or around the meetings. So I strongly privilege a place easy to arrive, comfortable to work, and being able to tele-work in my job. So a gateway city is ok, but a secondary is ok if meets this requirements. Maastricht was a bad place.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:29 PM	Find...
74. Just a preference for the gateway city but some of the other options like Prague are fine. Also have a preference for europe over asia destinations (due to language barrier issues).	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...

[Find...](#)

75. Although many NA "secondary" cities are reasonably easy to get to for me, since I am centrally located.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:27 PM	
76. A secondary city is fine, so long as it can be reached by air easily from North America. (Barcelona or Prague are fine, Maastricht was not.)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...
77. balance of factors - cost, travel, venue, etc.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...
78. Transportation and reliable information about it to get to the secondary city is very important.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:25 PM	Find...
79. Would prefer a city in USA.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:18 PM	Find...
80. does not matter to me, apart from basic criteria. pros and cons for each venue. usually large cities cost higher. small cities are nicer, cheaper.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:18 PM	Find...

100 responses per page

answered question 322

skipped question 25

3. Do you prefer going back to venues or trying new venues?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Going back to venues	26.9%	78
Trying new venues	73.1%	212
	Comments Hide replies	84

1. Don't really care - going back makes finding alternative hotels easier.	Wed, Sep 22, 2010 10:46 AM	Find...
2. There's no "no preference" option for this one. I really don't care, as long as the venue it suitable:	Wed, Sep 15, 2010 9:33 PM	Find...

easy to get to, choices of reasonable hotels and restaurants right there.

3.	no preference on this as long as we can do the business	Tue, Sep 7, 2010 8:17 AM	Find...
4.	Unless we find a venue that works very well for us. Minneapolis seems to fit that bill ... but of the recent meetings, probably only the Hilton in San Francisco also merits a return visit.	Sun, Sep 5, 2010 3:47 PM	Find...
5.	Going back to good ones.	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 7:19 PM	Find...
6.	going back up to five times, then it gets boring.	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 4:27 AM	Find...
7.	If a venue worked well, we should do it again. Minneapolis was a great and inexpensive venue. Why not return?	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 10:26 PM	Find...
8.	Don't care about new vs old, but do care about easy to get to and centrally located versus hard to get to and scattered or on outskirts.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:06 PM	Find...
9.	repeat of some of the places that worked well in the past are fine including Vancouver, Minneapolis, Prague, Toronto; exploring the world is NOT priority; pleasant surprises are nice like Hiroshima, Maastricht	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:00 PM	Find...
10.	A bit of both, returning to Vancouver might be nice, going to new places can be interesting as well.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 4:08 PM	Find...
11.	No preference here. If the venue is good, i don't mind going back.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:39 PM	Find...
12.	I don't have a preference. I like seeing new places, but I also like going back to places that know how to handle us well (e.g. Minneapolis!)	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 11:54 AM	Find...
13.	I am willing to do some returning to old venues, but I also want to try new places.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 9:25 AM	Find...
14.	don't care	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 9:22 AM	Find...
15.	A mix	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 9:14 AM	Find...
16.	Quality of the venue is more important! Trying something new is secondary to that.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:08 AM	Find...
17.	(but coming back to good previous venues is OK as well)	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 6:52 AM	Find...

18.	This really isn't an either-or question IMHO and it depends on the region. E.g., I'd always like to go back to Vancouver and Minneapolis or Prague are fine, too, but there should always be room for new venues in Europe or Aisa, or even North America once in a while.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 1:00 AM	Find...
19.	Visiting new venues is good experience and good chance to refresh. this would be good motivation to let people join the meetings.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:53 PM	Find...
20.	No preference	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:50 PM	Find...
21.	Doesn't really matter. A mix of the 2 is fine.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:42 PM	Find...
22.	Either way is fine.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 6:08 PM	Find...
23.	both are OK with me, so long as the venue is easy to reach	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 3:40 PM	Find...
24.	A city that is (a) easy to travel to and (b) has many services/restaurants close by is most important to me. I don't come to the IETF to be a tourist. Also we should avoid the "high season" for a particular location.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:58 AM	Find...
25.	but don't mind going back to venues that WORKED	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 8:40 AM	Find...
26.	Don't care: venues that work, whether old or new.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 8:30 AM	Find...
27.	Both are fine with me.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:00 AM	Find...
28.	Not every new conference will need to be a new venue, but it will give new people a chance to come to the ietf too, like in my case.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:36 AM	Find...
29.	I strongly prefer venues that work well.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:16 AM	Find...
30.	But also going back to successful ones.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:38 PM	Find...
31.	But I do care about getting to new communities. So if we meet in the US, *please* select Minneapolis. If we don't, please go to an important country/area like Brazil.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:22 PM	Find...
32.	Depends of which venues; going back is OK to some!	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 2:16 PM	Find...
33.	No preference.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 11:06 AM	Find...
34.	No preference. IAOC should select the best venue, where this might be one variable of many. Cost and sponsor is more important.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:13 AM	Find...
35.	I strongly support venues that are cost effective	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 4:00 AM	Find...

and efficient - Minneapolis was one such venue with a central hotel; cheaper surrounding hotels and easy airport to reach (at least from the Amsterdam hub).

36.	We're lucky to travel the world for IETF meetings (ok, sure my company pays for it). So I want to discover new venues & cultures. Try to have the nicest venues for summer, so that I bring my family and extend for my vacation.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:14 AM	Find...
37.	neutral.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:28 PM	Find...
38.	It does not matter.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:23 PM	Find...
39.	New vs. old is not important; ease of travel, usability of venue, Internet access, and ability to breathe (smoking, severe air pollution) are important.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:27 PM	Find...
40.	BUT ONLY IF THE VENUES FULFILL OUR NEED (for eating and internet access and most attendees being in the same facility!!!).	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:14 PM	Find...
41.	A moderate mix is reasonable to serve access by many different communities.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:26 PM	Find...
42.	Going back to venues as long as they work -- I found Minneapolis worked, but I also found that Salt Lake City worked, even if it wasn't the easiest place to get to. A large hotel, and cold weather outside!	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:21 PM	Find...
43.	In the evening I would like to tour(?) and therefore new venues are much better.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:12 PM	Find...
44.	don't care	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:35 PM	Find...
45.	this question needs more options. I've been to 10 IETFs, and I'd rather go back to a known successful site, than try a new one that doesn't work.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:30 PM	Find...
46.	No real preference here so far as the actual meeting goes.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:58 PM	Find...
47.	No problem occasionally trying a new venue, but not often	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:48 PM	Find...
48.	Go back if it works, like Minneapolis or Vancouver	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:46 PM	Find...
49.	going back to good venues is ok, but i don't like the idea of a fixed list. new ones should be explored	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:41 PM	Find...


once in a while.

50. going back to the good ones only. logistics are important when dealing with travel and meals.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:40 PM	Find...
51. I would prefer to go back to past venues that meet the basic requirements that lead to an efficient meeting. Direct flight connections (or just one hop - including train hops) for the majority of participants, meeting in city center where everything (restaurants, shops, hotel, etc.) is within reasonable walking distance - i.e., < 15 minutes. There are enough venues that have the conference center co-located with a hotel that it should not be difficult to add that restriction, as well.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:35 PM	Find...
52. Easy to get to new venues is fine too	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:31 PM	Find...
53. No preference	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:30 PM	Find...
54. not really of most importance	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:28 PM	Find...
55. it's a slight preference - i don't mind one or two new venues a year, it's just when we have several in a row...	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:21 PM	Find...
56. The novelty of a new venue is a leveler among attendees, reducing a newcomer's sense of being an outsider. IETF meetings are unusual in that most content is irrelevant for most attendees. Attendees therefore spend a good bit of time in unstructured settings. Thus, attendees have an unusually high exposure to the non-conference environment. As a personal choice, I prefer to experience new environments.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:14 PM	Find...
57. Doesn't matter.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:11 PM	Find...
58. Going back to venues that worked well.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM	Find...
59. With IETF visit, not really that much time to learn an area so returning builds on the investment.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:06 PM	Find...
60. BUT it depends on the venue really - I'd like to go to Dublin or Adelaide multiple times, for example, but not Minneapolis.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:03 PM	Find...
61. New venues are always interesting since they add a extra new flavor to the meeting specially to those	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:03 PM	Find...

who have been attending IETF meetings for quite some time now

<p>62. I'm actually somewhat ambivalent on this question. Once we go somewhere, we know what kinks there are, and have worked most of them out. For example, the MSP meetings have gone exceedingly well from a logistics perspective.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:54 PM	Find...
<p>There is something to be said for variety, though.</p>		
<p>63. mostly neutral on this - returning to GOOD venues is fine.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:45 PM	Find...
<p>64. Like Johannesburg or Perth</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:41 PM	Find...
<p>65. I think we should go back to venues that worked exceptionally well, because they are likely to work exceptionally well again. Minneapolis and San Diego come to mind. Vancouver is probably worth returning to (even though almost anywhere else in Canada would be a shorter trip for me). We should also try new venues. So a mixture of both is the right thing.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:36 PM	Find...
<p>66. New or old; I'm fine with either.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
<p>67. no strong preference</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
<p>68. I believe we should do both: do around two new venues a year while we return to one venue. however, I think it is only useful to return to venue if the cost is significantly lower for the IETF if we do so.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
<p>69. Excuse to see new cities is welcomed!</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
<p>70. Prefer going back only to venues that have proven to be good ones.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:31 PM	Find...
<p>71. There are enough previous venues in gateway (or easily accessible cities) that they should be the preference. Fewer unknowns for both organizers and attendees.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:31 PM	Find...
<p>72. Depends on the specific venue. I like going back to Minneapolis, I do not like going back to San Diego</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
<p>73. Not opposed to trying new venues, but also don't consider optimizing for that rational. Continuing contracts that cut costs at venues that are known to work well have a lot going for them.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...

74. Bad question: I like going to new venues because I like to see new places, but I believe that repeating venues makes for better meetings.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
75. Really needed an either choice here...	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
76. Why does this matter?	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
77. I don't really have a preference here and don't care option should be there.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
78. I'm neutral but would be happy to go back to some places where things went very well. Of course new places that work well is preferred (but hard to predict).	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
79. I actually like a combination of both.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:27 PM	Find...
80. I personally enjoy visiting new places, but IETF is so big and has such unique needs that I think it makes more sense to return to venues that we know can handle us.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...
81. both	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...
82. No preference.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:22 PM	Find...
83. please, no boring cities like Minneapolis (sorry; comment not targetted to the people)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:18 PM	Find...
84. don't care either way.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:17 PM	Find...

100 responses per page 

answered question **290**

skipped question **57**

4. Travel costs are important to me

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very unimportant	5.9%	19

Unimportant	6.5%	21
Neutral	29.0%	94
Important	49.4%	160
Very important	9.3%	30
	answered question	324
	skipped question	23

5. Would you be willing to pay a higher registration fee to have the meeting in a gateway city?

	Response Percent	Response Count
No, the registration fee is too expensive already	37.0%	118
Possibly, but it depends on the magnitude of the reduction in my travel expense / fee increase tradeoff	53.0%	169
Yes	10.0%	32
	answered question	319
	skipped question	28

6. If Yes or Possibly, what is the most you would be willing to pay?

	Response Percent	Response Count
\$700	21.8%	41
\$800	39.9%	75
\$995	14.4%	27
\$1000	18.6%	35
\$1200	2.7%	5
\$1500	2.7%	5
	Comments Hide replies	42

1. if the overall costs are lower and travel time is reduced, higher fees are acceptable, but 1000\$ seems kind of a psychological threshold hard to exceed at this point in time

Tue, Sep 7, 2010 8:17 AM [Find...](#)
2. Airline fares can often be lower to secondary locations than to gateway cities, even if there's another hop involved, so paying a higher registration fee for a gateway city won't always trade off.

Sun, Sep 5, 2010 3:47 PM [Find...](#)
3. It costs \$700 more to hold it in Washington than Maastricht?

Tue, Aug 31, 2010 7:19 PM [Find...](#)
4. My preference on question 5 is "no"... not because I think the fee is too expensive, but that I am not willing to pay more to meet in a gateway city. I don't mind going to the secondary city. I would pay a higher fee for other reasons, but not for the "gateway" city reason.

Tue, Aug 31, 2010 6:57 AM [Find...](#)
5. The registration fee is already the most expensive item often - comparable with or greater than air fare

Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:14 AM [Find...](#)
6. Don't want our finance people to have a fit, but otherwise OK.

Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:06 PM [Find...](#)
7. Higher registration fees limit those on a budget who are creative at ways to lower their other costs, so I don't like raising them too high.

Mon, Aug 30, 2010 9:25 AM [Find...](#)

- | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 8. I would pay whatever the fee is, but believe that increasing the fee is a bad idea. Increasing beyond 1K US is likely to discourage independents from attending. | Mon, Aug 30, 2010 9:22 AM | Find... |
| 9. No N/A option??? Note also that hotels are even more expensive in gateway cities so higher registration so we get to pay more for a hotel doesn't make immediate sense. | Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:08 AM | Find... |
| 10. Policy of my company is to limit the overall travel budget for standardisation meetings. A lower sum of transport/hotel/meeting means more IETF participants from the company I work for. That statement is qualitative only, I can't check any of your boxes. | Mon, Aug 30, 2010 3:57 AM | Find... |
| 11. This question makes no sense; for "Possibly", you have to know how much the trade-off is. And my personal preferences have to be balanced against other's. If a meeting were local to me, that would take thousands off my costs, and I'd happily pay a larger fee. That only makes it more expensive for everyone else. | Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:50 PM | Find... |
| 12. Costs to Maastricht were among the highest I've *ever* paid for an IETF. (In > 50 meetings.) I don't understand why a gateway city presumes higher cost. | Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:58 AM | Find... |
| 13. The question is completely wrong: the key thing is the TIME it takes to get to a place and back. Compared to the personal (and if one does economic calculation properly) also the company expense is the time you spend. | Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:00 AM | Find... |
| 14. This is very hard to answer. I could probably, at the moment, get away with paying even more, but I do not think it would be good for the community, and would have been hard for me in times past. | Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:16 AM | Find... |
| 15. Keep it less than \$1000 (including the social event). Take into account that having it in a gateway may increase the number of attendees (spreading the cost over more people) | Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:02 PM | Find... |
| 16. Question 5 & 6 are badly phrased: I would be willing to pay a higher fee, but not for the benefit of a gateway city. Actually hotel costs in gateway cities are higher and these take up equal share of the | Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:44 AM | Find... |

overall costs. So potentially any savings in flight costs will be eaten up by higher hotel costs.

<p>17. What matters to me is the price of the meeting in total - as a Chair, I would like to travel to meetings, even when I have no "sponsor" for particular work - but the budget I can acquire is fixed. In last year and next this means attending 2/3 IETF meetings. I don't work for a University, not a company, and I chair in the transport area - where I think many issues relate to the "health" of the Internet and fewer to promoting new products. Cost is an issue.If I am going to attend though, I do need a hotel close to the meeting venue so that I can spend time with people and make it an effective meeting.</p>	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 4:00 AM	Find...
<p>18. It's actually a total cost that counts: hotel + trip + food + registration.</p>	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:14 AM	Find...
<p>19. Less?</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 9:11 PM	Find...
<p>20. If it is too expensive it is harder to get corporate approval.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:27 PM	Find...
<p>21. My company would probably tolerate higher fees, because we pass these costs on to clients. I'm more concerned that increased registration would freeze out other participants.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:53 PM	Find...
<p>22. I will actually pay what it takes, but feel the need to be responsible with the companies money</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:47 PM	Find...
<p>23. Very soft preference here... it would not make a great deal of difference.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:58 PM	Find...
<p>24. Question should mention the current fee. I had to look it up on the IETF 79 registration page. :)</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:53 PM	Find...
<p>25. gateway cities also have higher hotel costs, so that would have to be taken into account.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:46 PM	Find...
<p>26. \$1000 is the boondoggle level - keep below it whatever happens</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:46 PM	Find...
<p>27. can't say, it's up to my employer</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:41 PM	Find...
<p>28. I assume that this is for the registration in total, not extr</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:39 PM	Find...
<p>29. This series of questions doesn't allow for an "I don't care" response. I personally don't care of it is a gateway or secondary city, or care about the fee.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:38 PM	Find...

[Find...](#)

30. Please consider that traveling off season can save most folks \$100s of dollars on airfares - e.g., \$800 to Europe from NA rather than \$1200, etc. Thus, for many of us the airfare savings more than compensates for a higher meeting fee. Also, restaurants, etc. are less crowded thus our time overall is spent far more efficiently.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:35 PM	
31. Really up to my employer	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:26 PM	Find...
32. The issue really is that paying a whole week fee is already a higher than reasonable fee given I only get value from 1 or two days.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:06 PM	Find...
33. At or above \$1,000, I'm going to run into resistance getting expenses approved.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:54 PM	Find...
34. I'd be willing to pay the increase in the meeting fee if I could expect an equivalent reduction in air fare. So the options given don't allow me to answer this question. And since there was no comment box after question 4, I pay my own way to IETF meetings, so I feel the costs directly, but in any case, keeping costs down so that participation can be higher is important. It seems my costs to attend IETF meetings have been creeping up lately, in particular Hiroshima and Maastricht were not bad, but were both expensive to get to, and Beijing and Prague are also going to be expensive to get to, so this is four expensive-to-get-to meetings in a row, and now your asking about willingness to pay more in IETF registration fee.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:36 PM	Find...
35. So long as my employer pays, I don't care. But even the current fee is too high if I'm paying out of pocket - - raising it at all lessens the chance of me registering if I'm paying out of pocket.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
36. I pay the reduced student fee and would be happy to have it increase to \$200-250 (assuming my sponsor is still happy to cover it...)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
37. The issue would be total expense.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
38. It doesn't matter. If I'm not paid to go, I won't go.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
39. This is going to depend on my employer, and may change over time.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:27 PM	Find...
40. this is just a guess; it's really up to my boss	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...
41. willing to pay higher registration, not tied to being in a gateway city - poorly formed questions	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...

42. Can't really say, depends on employer.

Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:21 PM [Find...](#)
 50 responses per page

answered question **188**

skipped question **159**

7. Currently, we strive to have a 6-meeting geographic location target of having 3 meetings in North America, 2 meetings in Europe, and 1 meeting in Asia. Would you prefer:

	Response Percent	Response Count
Keep the 3 (NA) – 2 (Europe) – 1 (Asia) over two years	47.6%	137
1 (NA) – 1 (Europe) – 1 (Asia), per year	52.4%	151
Other (please specify) Hide replies		60
1. 1 NA, 1 Europe, 1 Asia/Pac, which is loosely defined to the Pac Rim (including Vancouver, Hawaii, NZ, Australia, etc.)		Sun, Sep 5, 2010 3:47 PM Find...
2. or more in europe		Wed, Sep 1, 2010 4:02 AM Find...
3. I would love to go to South America and Africa some day		Tue, Aug 31, 2010 10:13 AM Find...
4. No preference...		Tue, Aug 31, 2010 6:57 AM Find...
5. The selfish answer is all Europe/NA, but the current is OK.		Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:42 AM Find...
6. European meetings preferred.		Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:14 AM Find...
7. Ideally, it should be based on attendance, but attendance is biased by meeting location, so it is hard to establish rules based on past attendance, especially if the meeting is to be in a "new" country.		Mon, Aug 30, 2010 4:08 PM Find...

8.	2(NA)-3(Euro)-1(Asia)	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:09 PM	Find...
9.	I'm in NA, so I'm biased towards travel being here.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 11:54 AM	Find...
10.	Let's try something like 2 (NA) - 1 - 1 for a while. Travel to NA for Europeans or the other way around is pretty easy and NA has the language advantage, we shouldn't through those advantages away without good evidence that Asia works well.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:08 AM	Find...
11.	3 (NA) - 2 (EU) - 2 (Asia) - 2 (Africa/Latinamerica/Oceania)	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 5:04 AM	Find...
12.	I think this misses the distinction between the US and Canada: I'd prefer the 3-2-1 schedule if the "3" meant more meetings in Canada	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 1:00 AM	Find...
13.	Latin America, Oceania and Africa should be included on the list, at least one meeting each two/three years.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:44 PM	Find...
14.	2-1-1. Yes, this means the rotation isn't clean on a year boundary.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:34 PM	Find...
15.	not sure if we need to go from 3-2-1 all the way to 1-1-1, but a bit less emphasis on NA would be appropriate, like 2-1-1	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 8:40 AM	Find...
16.	Either way is fine for me.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:00 AM	Find...
17.	I have no oversight to have an opinion. Perhaps in time, meetings should be spread more equally.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:36 AM	Find...
18.	I would suggest considering 2:1:1 in part because it matches the data better, and in part because statistical error will still keep us on a vaguely reasonable behavior. (on 1:1:1, I can see us ending up with 4 or even 5 meetings in a row outside of North America.)	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:16 AM	Find...
19.	2:1:1	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:18 PM	Find...
20.	Minimize the US component of NA.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:22 PM	Find...
21.	No need to be strictly either of the two options, but something in between works just fine. That is, if other important meeting selection factors speak for going to either direction in any (two year?) period that should be counted. too.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 12:03 PM	Find...
22.	No preference.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 11:06 AM	Find...
23.	Preference Europe.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:37 AM	Find...

<p>24. Let's face it, the IETF is changing. http://www.arkko.com/tools/stats/d-countryeudistr.html However, I'm not sure it correlates with the number of paying attendees.</p>	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:14 AM	Find...
<p>25. 2 (NA) - 1 (Europe or Asia) per year</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:23 PM	Find...
<p>26. Even being an NA resident, it strikes me that--by any metric one may choose--other regions of the world are underrepresented. Therefore, I would prefer an approach closer reflecting the realities (and not so much the personal preferences of the incumbent/graybeard minority :-)</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:48 PM	Find...
<p>27. Well, "currently" we're on a yearly asia basis, so don't pretend that the top choice is being fulfilled now.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:14 PM	Find...
<p>28. Mapping to attendance stats seems most reasonable insofar as they can be gleaned.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:26 PM	Find...
<p>29. Non-plussed.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:21 PM	Find...
<p>30. How about 2(NA) - 1 (E) - 1 (A)</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:51 PM	Find...
<p>31. The 2:1:1 proposal made on the list seems more balanced than these.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:53 PM	Find...
<p>32. 2(NA) 2(Europe) 1(Asia)</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:48 PM	Find...
<p>33. 2:1:1</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:42 PM	Find...
<p>34. Pls do consider other continents as well</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:35 PM	Find...
<p>35. 2-1-1</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:30 PM	Find...
<p>36. The recent attendance figures support 3 - 2 - 2. There is no reason this has to add up to a multiple of 3.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:08 PM	Find...
<p>37. Asian meetings are the easiest to get to from .nz and .au</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:58 PM	Find...
<p>38. While I realize it is not practical, I would prefer 0 meetings in North America, or at the very least 0 meetings in the USA. It's just too unfriendly for anyone not living in the US. Failing that 1 - 1 - 1 is a good alternative.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:53 PM	Find...
<p>39. Erm, yet a third option: 4NA 4EU 1Asia per 3 year.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:47 PM	Find...
<p>40. Yes, but "NA" means Canada, Mexico, and Cuba,</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:46 PM	Find...

	not the US.		
41.	3-1-1	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:30 PM	Find...
42.	a 1-1-1 distribution is the only fair way to go. The reason why so many participants are from North America is a) historic (as many meetings used to be in North America) b) North Americans normally are native English speakers (no language handicap) So the number of attendants / draft editors / contributor is not the only measure (i.e. native English speakers tend to be over-represented due to "home field advantage")	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:28 PM	Find...
43.	Europe only	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:23 PM	Find...
44.	2-1-1 is a suitable alternative	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:06 PM	Find...
45.	Geographical diversity is very important so that the attendees are as diverse as possible; the work of the IETF improves with more participants and should never rely on a certain number of folks	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:03 PM	Find...
46.	3 (NA) - 2 (Europe) - 2 (Asia)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:01 PM	Find...
47.	I believe the 3/2/1 schedule accurately represents the current makeup of participants. As this mix changes, the frequency of meetings in each location should be reevaluated.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:54 PM	Find...
48.	I think it should be 2 - 1 - 1, though honestly I'd prefer 1 - 1 where the 1 and 1 are the US and Canada	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:42 PM	Find...
49.	2 NA a year. 1 asia or europe a year. North america is the easiest place to travel to. and is the most affordable.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:42 PM	Find...
50.	USA based prejudice. I certainly understand and can accept more meetings outside NA recognizing the shift in IETF attendee demographics.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:39 PM	Find...
51.	However, I prefer a more relaxed approach: the 1:1:1 should only be a guideline and doing things differently occasionally because of a lowcost venue should be justified as well	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
52.	Indifferent re these two options -- living in Europe, the options are equivalent (2/3 of meetings involve long haul travel). Would naturally prefer more in Europe!	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...

- 53.**
Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:31 PM
[Find...](#)
While we strive for this, the next two years appear to be heavily lopsided away from North America, which will limit attendance.
- 54.**
Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM
[Find...](#)
Why did you not ask about 2-1-1, which is also being discussed on the list? My preference would be 2-1-1
- 55.**
Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM
[Find...](#)
Whatever the relative number of document authors and WG chairs justifies.
- 56.**
Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM
[Find...](#)
2 NA 1 EU 1 Asia...and considering this option was a major choice in the thread recently, not including it seems like a rather biased oversight...
- 57.**
Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:29 PM
[Find...](#)
My country (Chile) is sufficiently far away from everything, so it didn't matter between these tree :)
- 58.**
Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM
[Find...](#)
Give me a reason to attend first...
- 59.**
Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:27 PM
[Find...](#)
It is likely that my employer will take issue with the long run travel costs of 1-1-1.
- 60.**
Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:18 PM
[Find...](#)
4(NA) - 1(Europe) - 1(Asia)

100 responses per page

answered question 288

skipped question 59

8. Would you attend if we held the IETF in Africa?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	39.8%	123
Yes, if in a major city such as Johannesburg, Cairo, or Marrakesh	35.0%	108

Yes, if in a venue specializing in meetings, such as Sharm el Sheikh	7.8%	24
No	17.5%	54
Comments		74
Hide replies		
1. Most probably not, unless the location is completely safe and accessible from all countries, including Israel.	Sun, Sep 5, 2010 3:47 PM	Find...
2. Don't know	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:42 AM	Find...
3. Please make it easy to get to and in a place that works well for IETF. Central hotel large enough for everyone, good stuff close by.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:06 PM	Find...
4. Mediterranean region could work well for Europeans, and probably not much worse for most Africans than South Africa	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:00 PM	Find...
5. Would love to, if it could be approved.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 5:05 PM	Find...
6. Not sure	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 9:25 AM	Find...
7. Only is safety is good and convenience reasonable, though.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:08 AM	Find...
8. For me meeting location is not the issue, other factors are more important	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 3:01 AM	Find...
9. I've selected "Yes" but I believe the alternatives aren't well chosen: Johannesburg is a questionable choice re: security and travel distance (for most everybody), the question of visa issues isn't addressed, neither is the question of overall cost reduction for African participants	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 1:00 AM	Find...
10. But it depends on travel cost.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:53 PM	Find...
11. High travel costs and time might make this difficult/less likely.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:50 PM	Find...
12. let's stick with safe cities. Cairo does not meet that criterion!	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 3:40 PM	Find...
13. Really depends on infrastructure	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:30 PM	Find...
14. Would likely not attend a "boondoggle" location such as Sharm el Sheikh	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:58 AM	Find...

15.	without any particular vaccinating policy. I don't want to schedule vaccins for IETF meetings	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:51 AM	Find...
16.	We should have meetings take place where there are strong contributors. The IETF is not about doing a world tour to see many places but to get work done effectively while spreading the time and budget burden. If it makes sense to go to Africa for a meeting, fine. But don't go there just to balance.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:00 AM	Find...
17.	It would depend very heavily on the city, venue, and political situations. I would have to consider carefully.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:16 AM	Find...
18.	Language is another barrier. In a major city is more common to be able at least to communicate in English	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:38 PM	Find...
19.	Keep in mind - visa restrictions from Israel!!	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:02 PM	Find...
20.	Only in a really major city. I have health issues and being close to a decent hospital is pretty important. Actually Joberg is probably the only city in Africa that I would attend an IETF in.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 1:13 PM	Find...
21.	MUST be in a safe country. Some of those countries would either not be allowed by my company for security reasons.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 8:44 AM	Find...
22.	Though this should be a very rare exception under the current participant ratios and much later then we hold one in South America	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:44 AM	Find...
23.	I do not know, it depends on total cost.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 4:00 AM	Find...
24.	I am not sure that anywhere in Africa is physically safe for attendees. I can deal with pickpockets, but don't want to take a bullet. It is also horridly difficult to get to.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:28 PM	Find...
25.	Safety is a major concern, by I have attended standardization meetings in Sham el Sheikh as well as in Marrakesh and had no problems.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:48 PM	Find...
26.	Hard to get flights; smoking is impossible to avoid.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:27 PM	Find...
27.	travel costs will be huge -- but some place like Cairo would seem to be close enough to Europe to get flights directly there from more "gateway" cities in Europe as a connection from the US. I've been to Jo-burg, and the flights are incredibly long for everyone, and therefore fairly expensive. BTW - my vote if	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:14 PM	Find...

	going to SA, get us into Cape Town instead. It has significantly less crime for attendees!!		
28.	I'm sorry to have to say "it depends". If costs are whopping, that, and travel time can be a barrier. Moderate cost increases in money and time are always tractable.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:26 PM	Find...
29.	No aversion to going to Africa, but company policies make long-haul (from Europe) more difficult to justify. I realise that isn't a useful contribution. :-)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:21 PM	Find...
30.	As long as I can get there and its not too cost prohibitive	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:51 PM	Find...
31.	Possibly yes, depends on costs	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:51 PM	Find...
32.	Please be respectful of the restrictions that our Israeli colleges have Safely is paramount.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:47 PM	Find...
33.	I may have problem entering some of the countries	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:35 PM	Find...
34.	I go to ICANN meetings -- I've done my bit.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:13 PM	Find...
35.	This would be interesting; safety would be a concern, but large cities are not necessarily safer.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:58 PM	Find...
36.	But... why? It will be expensive for everyone to get there, instead of just half the participants.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:53 PM	Find...
37.	It depends on travel costs	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:48 PM	Find...
38.	I participated in ICANN Nairobi at the beginning of the year. Complete farce, very low attendance, lots of security concerns, poor infrastructure, horrendous travel issues. Oh, and I was born and raised in South Africa so I wasn't biased against the continent.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:47 PM	Find...
39.	I don't know what Sharm el Sheikh is. It would depend upon total cost.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:46 PM	Find...
40.	I need a "Maybe" option.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:46 PM	Find...
41.	Travel time would be far too much.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:43 PM	Find...
42.	yes, if my company paid for it	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:41 PM	Find...
43.	Unclear. There are many issues that complicate having a meeting in Africa, general unfamiliarity, additional travel hassle, personal safety and being in an area where language is more of a problem. Costs	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:30 PM	Find...

	are also likely to be an issue. The North African Coast would be my most likely candidate.		
44.	as long as personal safety is not an issue (applies to any venue). Would be great!	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:28 PM	Find...
45.	Depends on the price of travel (from Austria) and hotel	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:24 PM	Find...
46.	But please, not in Dubai.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:24 PM	Find...
47.	Depends on where in Africa	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:17 PM	Find...
48.	My attending/not attending a meeting is more a matter of when my subject matter matures, not about location.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:14 PM	Find...
49.	Mostly, any place safe and relatively reasonable to get to.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:11 PM	Find...
50.	Safety is a concern.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM	Find...
51.	I'd do Sharm el Sheik too.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:03 PM	Find...
52.	Yes, I would consider Africa bearing in mind that the city is safe such as Cairo, Marrakesh, Carthage, Cape Town, or even Johannesburg. Sharm Al-Sheikh would not be a problem too. However, I would suggest that Africa be considered less frequently (once every 2 years maybe)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:03 PM	Find...
53.	The venue must meet all the normal criteria. No special cases! Can you point to a country or region of Africa from where we have a dozen IETF participants? The nature of the IETF is that participation is cheap and easy (it is electronic, ASCII, and remote). Do not get caught by the idea that participation from Africa is limited because we do not meet there. If you want to increase the participation from Africa, then work on that issue.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:01 PM	Find...
54.	That would be a really expensive meeting... like maximizing the cost for everybody. Also, security would be a MAJOR concern.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:00 PM	Find...
55.	Yes if not in the back of beyond.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:53 PM	Find...
56.	but why?	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:45 PM	Find...
57.	Only in a city I consider safe	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:44 PM	Find...
58.	Only if its in South Africa or Morocco	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:42 PM	Find...

- | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| <p>59. Easy airport to meeting transport (Stockholm was OK - 1 short train ride, Maastricht was not - long train ride with multiple transfers). Maastricht was nice, but after an exhausting overnight air, the long train ride with multiple transfers wasn't nice. I'd do again now that I've done it once, but I didn't like it the first time.</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:41 PM | Find... |
| <p>60. but again I imagine this would be yet another "expensive to get to" meeting for me.</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:36 PM | Find... |
| <p>61. I'm happy to go to Africa, but I'm leery of many of venues mentioned because of cultural issues. I heard about ICANN meeting materials being seized by government authorities in Marrakesh, for instance. The extra travel time and hassle is also an issue.</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM | Find... |
| <p>I'd be happy to attend, BUT my employer might not appreciate the cost, and travel time/hassle becomes a major issue.</p> | | |
| <p>62. Obviously, it has to be safe and not on the US State Dept no go zone.</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM | Find... |
| <p>63. This would be VERY HARD to justify. I have concerns about personal security, health issues, and the difficulty of traveling there.</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM | Find... |
| <p>64. and also: Yes, if in a venue specializing in meetings, such as Sharm el Sheikh</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM | Find... |
| <p>65. North Africa preferred as it's less remote for most people</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM | Find... |
| <p>66. Some countries are openly hostile to LGBT people, and should be excluded from consideration.</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:31 PM | Find... |
| <p>67. Ray, you keep pushing us to places that we don't have significant participation from. Why? If the meeting were in Africa, I would attend, as I have a business requirement to attend and I have a leadership position. I would also sign a recall letter for the IAOC that sent us there.</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM | Find... |
| <p>68. I do not believe that participation in Africa justifies this.</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM | Find... |
| <p>69. Depends on reasons for attending (regardless of location).</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM | Find... |
| <p>70. This really depends a lot on the current political climate and security</p> | Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:27 PM | Find... |

- 71. South Africa (World Cup anyone?) was a long way to get to already..... Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:25 PM [Find...](#)
- 72. probably... Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:21 PM [Find...](#)
- 73. both "yes, if" are applicable Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:20 PM [Find...](#)
- 74. maybe. Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:17 PM [Find...](#)

100 responses per page

answered question **309**

skipped question **38**

9. Would you attend if we held the IETF in South or Central America?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	44.8%	138
Yes, if in a major city.	35.1%	108
Yes, if in a venue specializing in meetings.	9.1%	28
No	11.0%	34
	Comments Hide replies	51

- 1. Again, safely is a major issue. A tourist bar was recently firebombed in Cancun, which was thought to be safe. Sun, Sep 5, 2010 3:47 PM [Find...](#)
- 2. Probably not Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:42 AM [Find...](#)
- 3. Please make it easy to get to and in a place that Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:06 PM [Find...](#)

	works well for IETF. Central hotel large enough for everyone, good stuff close by.		
4.	probably harder than (Mediterranean) Africa; not sure whether Caribbean offers compromise...	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:00 PM	Find...
5.	This depends on the safety of the location. As a blond woman traveling on my own, this is a major consideration. I have traveled to Peru and would go back there, but might not be interested in too many locations in Columbia.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 5:05 PM	Find...
6.	Buenos Aires, Argentina meets the above criteria.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 8:07 AM	Find...
7.	Only is safety is good and convenience reasonable.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:08 AM	Find...
8.	For me meeting location is not the issue, other factors are more important	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 3:01 AM	Find...
9.	Again, the question is why to go there. Cancun or Mexico City might both qualify under either "if" as might Sao Paulo, any of which would raise concerns	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 1:00 AM	Find...
10.	It depends on travel cost.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:53 PM	Find...
11.	High travel costs and time might make this difficult/less likely.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:50 PM	Find...
12.	Really depends on infrastructure	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:30 PM	Find...
13.	I think south america, e.g., Brazil, is a more relevant location than Africa to the mission of the IETF.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:58 AM	Find...
14.	Same comment as above.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:00 AM	Find...
15.	I am from there, so this is a bit biased. =)	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:38 PM	Find...
16.	Only in a really major city. I have health issues and being close to a decent hospital is pretty important. Actually Joberg is probably the only city in Africa that I would attend an IETF in.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 1:13 PM	Find...
17.	MUST be in a safe country. Some of those countries would either not be allowed by my company for security reasons.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 8:44 AM	Find...
18.	Perhaps.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 4:00 AM	Find...
19.	I believe that much of South America is physically safe. I don't know how difficult it would be to get to from Asia or Europe.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:28 PM	Find...
20.	Safety is a major concern; would probably prefer resorts over megacities. ITU SG16's meeting in Porto Seguro, Brasil, was working out just fine.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:48 PM	Find...

21.	Depends on venue.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:27 PM	Find...
22.	Only if it's a major city (outside of Mexico), otherwise I guarantee I won't attend (even as a WG chair). I personally don't feel safe in much of CA or SA.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:14 PM	Find...
23.	...as above, but might be willing to chip in some of my own cash and take a holiday at the same time. :)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:21 PM	Find...
24.	As long as I can get there and its not too cost prohibitive	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:51 PM	Find...
25.	Possibly yes, depends on costs	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:51 PM	Find...
26.	Same as above.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:53 PM	Find...
27.	It depends on travel costs; and several countries are quite out of the question.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:48 PM	Find...
28.	I need a "Maybe" option.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:46 PM	Find...
29.	Si!	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:41 PM	Find...
30.	It would be OK with me but many cities in this region have significant personal safety issues. There is no thrill in going somewhere if it isn't safe to wander around.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:30 PM	Find...
31.	as long as personal safety is not an issue (applies to any venue) Would be great! My personal preference Santiago de Chile	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:28 PM	Find...
32.	Too far away for me.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:24 PM	Find...
33.	It really depends on the city.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:24 PM	Find...
34.	Ditto.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:14 PM	Find...
35.	Safety is a concern.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM	Find...
36.	Again, I would suggest that South or Central America be considered less frequently (once every 2 years maybe)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:03 PM	Find...
37.	The venue must meet all the normal criteria. No special cases! Can you point to a country or region of Africa from where we have a dozen IETF participants? The nature of the IETF is that participation is cheap and easy (it is electronic, ASCII, and remote). Do not get caught by the idea that participation from S/CA is limited because we do not meet there. If you	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:01 PM	Find...

want to increase the participation from S/CA, then work on that issue.

38.	Security is a concern in some countries.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:00 PM	Find...
39.	Yes if not in the back of beyond.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:53 PM	Find...
40.	Only in a city I consider safe	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:44 PM	Find...
41.	I would prefer this (south america) to Africa.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:36 PM	Find...
42.	and also: Yes, if in a venue specializing in meetings.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
43.	If a "safe" country	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
44.	Ray, you keep pushing us to places that we don't have significant participation from. Why? If the meeting were in Latin America, I would attend, as I have a business requirement to attend and I have a leadership position. I would also sign a recall letter for the IAOC that sent us there.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
45.	I do not believe that participation in South or Central America justifies this.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
46.	Of course, and I know a lot of local people that will attend.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:29 PM	Find...
47.	Depends on reasons for attending (regardless of location).	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
48.	Also depends on current political climate and security. I would probably not attend Mexico right now, even though it is close for me.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:27 PM	Find...
49.	Also depends on if I can get there (travel through US might be a problem)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:21 PM	Find...
50.	too far and too expensive to travel. otherwise I would be happy to	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:20 PM	Find...
51.	maybe.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:17 PM	Find...

100 responses per page 

answered question **308**

skipped question **39**

10. What are the top 5 cities where you would like to meet in Europe?

		Response Count
	Hide replies	260
1. London Munich Hamburg Prague Oporto	Wed, Sep 22, 2010 10:46 AM	Find...
2. Helsinki, Madrid, Berlin, Copenhagen, Brussels	Thu, Sep 16, 2010 5:56 AM	Find...
3. Paris, Prague, London, Frankfurt, Berlin	Wed, Sep 15, 2010 9:33 PM	Find...
4. Barcelona, Milan, Munich, Athene, Paris	Tue, Sep 7, 2010 2:05 PM	Find...
5. Budapest, Munich, Amsterdam, Stockholm, Vienna	Tue, Sep 7, 2010 12:49 PM	Find...
6. Paris, London, Berlin, Prague, Barcelona	Tue, Sep 7, 2010 8:17 AM	Find...
7. Madrid, Amsterdam, Paris, Rome, Stockholm	Mon, Sep 6, 2010 10:04 AM	Find...
8. London, Rome, Munich, Amsterdam, Zurich	Sun, Sep 5, 2010 3:47 PM	Find...
9. Paris, Milano, Berlin, Madrid, Rome	Sun, Sep 5, 2010 5:50 AM	Find...
10. Barcelona London Zurich Berlin Helsinki	Wed, Sep 1, 2010 9:14 AM	Find...
11. Rome, Munich, Madrid, Milan, Florence	Wed, Sep 1, 2010 3:59 AM	Find...
12. Stockholm Amsterdam Oslo Prague	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 7:19 PM	Find...
13. Rome, Athens, Lyons,	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:48 PM	Find...
14. berlin, vienna, prague, rome, paris madrid	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 12:29 PM	Find...
15. Copenhagen	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 10:13 AM	Find...

	Paris Madrid Rome Helsinki		
16.	Helsinki, Sankt-Peterburg, Oslo, Moskva, Budapest	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 7:57 AM	Find...
17.	Dublin, Rome, Stockholm, Prague, Paris (not really strong preferences)	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 6:57 AM	Find...
18.	Barcelona, Vienna, Budapest, Zurich	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:58 AM	Find...
19.	London (although actually somewhere like Birmingham might be better), Paris, Amsterdam, Brussels, anywhere in northwest Germany	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:42 AM	Find...
20.	London, Madrid, Rome, Paris, Munich, Barcelona	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:35 AM	Find...
21.	London, Paris, Berlin, Madrid, Rome	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:09 AM	Find...
22.	Vienna, London, Athens, Barcellona, Berlino	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:06 AM	Find...
23.	Berlin, Zurich, Prague, Oslo	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:03 AM	Find...
24.	London, Edinburgh, Berlin, Athens, Istanbul	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:01 AM	Find...
25.	Barcelona, Berlin, Budapest, Amsterdam, Nice	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 4:36 AM	Find...
26.	London, Frankfurt, Lyon, Amsterdam, Berlin	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 4:27 AM	Find...
27.	Brussels, Ghent, Antwerp, Ostend, Bruges	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:50 AM	Find...
28.	London, Paris, Berlin, Vienna, Florence	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:40 AM	Find...
29.	London, Amsterdam, Brussels, Frankfurt, Munich	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:14 AM	Find...
30.	1. Prague 2. Stockholm 3. Rome 4. Paris 5. Madrid	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 10:26 PM	Find...
31.	London, Stockholm, Rome, Oslo, Berlin	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:06 PM	Find...
32.	Prague, Berlin, Warsaw, Budapest	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:00 PM	Find...
33.	Budapest Rome Berlin Milan Lisbon	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 5:59 PM	Find...
34.	Nice, Geneva, Edinburgh, Münster, Oslo	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 4:08 PM	Find...

35.	Madrid Paris London Athens Berlin	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:39 PM	Find...
36.	barcelona, rome, madrid, lisboa, cardiff	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 12:01 PM	Find...
37.	Barcelona Porto Istanbul Vilnius Malta	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 10:59 AM	Find...
38.	Barcelona, Madrid, Paris, Prague, Lisbon	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 10:20 AM	Find...
39.	London, Paris, Barcelona, Rome	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 9:22 AM	Find...
40.	Paris, London, Munich, Stockholm, Copenhagen	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 9:10 AM	Find...
41.	Amsterdam Barcelonna Munich Rome London	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 8:41 AM	Find...
42.	Amsterdam Paris Barcelona London	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 8:23 AM	Find...
43.	Vienna, Lisbon, Tallin, Prague, St. Petersburg	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 8:07 AM	Find...
44.	Stockholm	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:56 AM	Find...
45.	Barcelona Copenhagen Munich Florence Lisbon	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:33 AM	Find...
46.	The Hague, Reykjavik, Berlin, Geneva/Zurich, Copenhagen	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:08 AM	Find...
47.	helsinki, geneva, stockholm, estonia, athens	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:00 AM	Find...
48.	Hamburg, Berlin, Frankfurt, Prague, Amsterdam	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 6:52 AM	Find...
49.	Vienna Mailand Amsterdam Barcelona Athens	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 6:29 AM	Find...

50.	Paris, London, Madrid, Lisbon, Edinburgh	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 6:21 AM	Find...
51.	London, Barcelona, Budapest, Stockolm, Athens	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 6:09 AM	Find...
52.	Roma, Berlin, Lisboa, Budapest, London	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 5:04 AM	Find...
53.	Anywhere	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 4:36 AM	Find...
54.	Amsterdam Berlin Roma Madrid Lisboa	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 4:04 AM	Find...
55.	That depends on the overall travel expenses(transport, hotel, meeting) - Locations in the middle of Europe are preferred.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 3:57 AM	Find...
56.	Stockholm, Ljubeljana, Prague, Munich, Copenhagen	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 3:21 AM	Find...
57.	Paris, London, Brussels, Munich, Rome	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 3:06 AM	Find...
58.	Rome, Madrid, Edinburgh, Helsinki, Reykjavik	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:59 AM	Find...
59.	Vienna, Athens, London, Berlin, Moscow	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:52 AM	Find...
60.	Venice, London, Budapest, Lisbon, Berlin	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:31 AM	Find...
61.	Berlin Paris Moscow Warszawa Barcelona	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:25 AM	Find...
62.	Stockholm Oslo Helsinki Reykjavik	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:24 AM	Find...
63.	Amsterdam Stockholm Prague Paris Maastricht	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 1:00 AM	Find...
64.	Paris, London, Prague, Florence, Milan	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 10:37 PM	Find...
65.	Paris, London, Madrid, Amsterdam, Berlin	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:59 PM	Find...
66.	monaco, napoli, Copenhagen, Zurich, Berlin	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:53 PM	Find...
67.	berlin, athens, london, paris, madrid	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:42 PM	Find...

68.	London, Kiev, Talin, Riga, Minsk	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:41 PM	
69.	rome, madrid, oslo, frankfurt, prague	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:32 PM	Find...
70.	London, others	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:50 PM	Find...
71.	Paris, Frankfurt, Vienna, Prague, Stockholm	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:42 PM	Find...
72.	Edinburgh, Geneva, Amsterdam, Barcelona, Munich	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 6:08 PM	Find...
73.	Madrid, Berlin, Athens, Rome, Krakow	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:56 PM	Find...
74.	London, Frankfurt, Amsterdam, Barcelona, Paris	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:44 PM	Find...
75.	Dublin	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:09 PM	Find...
76.	Paris, Frankfurt/Munich, Amsterdam, Rome, Barcelona/madrid	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 3:40 PM	Find...
77.	paris, london, berlin, munich, milano	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:59 PM	Find...
78.	London, Berlin, Paris, Barcelona, Helsinki	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:34 PM	Find...
79.	Prague, London, Amsterdam, Barcelona, South of France	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:30 PM	Find...
80.	Helsinki, Edinburgh, München	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 11:32 AM	Find...
81.	London Paris Frankfurt Amsterdam Brussels	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:58 AM	Find...
82.	Paris, Rome, Frankfurt, Barcelona, Milan	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:51 AM	Find...
83.	London Munich Zurich Rome Stockholm	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 8:40 AM	Find...
84.	I have no specific cities in mind.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 8:30 AM	Find...
85.	Berlin, Stockholm, Prague, London, Paris	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:00 AM	Find...
86.	No strict preference for cities, but an observation: Meetings tend to be in smaller European countries. For instance, there hasn't been a meeting in Germany for quite some time. For instance, Berlin could be a reasonable choice.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 6:11 AM	Find...

87. Any of the secondary cities really.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:36 AM	Find...
88. Paris Rome Barcelona Berlin Amsterdam	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 4:21 AM	Find...
89. Munich, Berlin, London, Paris, Madrid	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 4:20 AM	Find...
90. London, Paris, Frankfurt, Stockholm, Edinburgh	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:16 AM	Find...
91. London Paris Madrid Lisbon Amsterdam	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:38 PM	Find...
92. Madrid, Rome, Paris, Frankfurt, Copenhagen	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:18 PM	Find...
93. London, Barcelona, Berlin, Helsinki, Paris	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:40 PM	Find...
94. Rome Prague Oslo Madrid	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:30 PM	Find...
95. Berlin, Madrid, Prague, Helsinki, Frankfurt	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:22 PM	Find...
96. Amsterdam, London, Dublin, Barcelona, Helsinki	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 4:50 PM	Find...
97. Paris, London, Budapest, Madrid, Brussels	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:02 PM	Find...
98. Moscow, Cannes, Berlin, Wien, London	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:00 PM	Find...
99. Frankfurt, London, Stockholm, Munich, Barcelona	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 2:16 PM	Find...
100. Budapest, Bucarest, Kiev, Prag, Milano	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 2:16 PM	Find...
101. Paris, Stockholm, Rome, Amsterdam, Berlin	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 1:13 PM	Find...
102. Thessaloniki Athens Barcelona Valencia Dubrovnik	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 12:45 PM	Find...
103. Helsinki, Madrid, Barcelona, Rome, Oslo	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 12:03 PM	Find...
104. No preference.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 11:06 AM	Find...
105. Barcelona, Berlin, Rome, Munich, Budapest	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 8:44 AM	Find...
106. London, Prague, Paris, Stockholm, Helsinki	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 8:43 AM	Find...

107.	Berlin, Prague, Copenhagen, Bologna, Edinburgh	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:13 AM	Find...
108.	Maastricht, Münster, Stockholm, Vienna, Rome	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:48 AM	Find...
109.	Stockholm, Amsterdam, Prague, Paris, Rome	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:47 AM	Find...
110.	London, Paris, Munich, Oslo (but not in Winter), Kopenhagen (Denmark)	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:44 AM	Find...
111.	Berlin, Budapest, Helsinki, Warsaw, Rome.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:33 AM	Find...
112.	Barcelona, Rome, Paris, Bruxelles, Stockholm	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:13 AM	Find...
113.	Barcelona, London, Stockholm, Budapest, Roma	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:43 AM	Find...
114.	Paris, Rome, Madrid, Athens, Vienna	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:39 AM	Find...
115.	London, Berlin, Paris, Oslo, St. Petersburg	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:28 AM	Find...
116.	Paris London Barcelona Amsterdam Rome	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:04 AM	Find...
117.	Amsterdam Paris London Berlin Edinburgh	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 4:00 AM	Find...
118.	Brussels, Paris, Nice, Berlin, Dublin	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:59 AM	Find...
119.	Munich, Nice, Frankfurt, Hamburg, Budapest	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:37 AM	Find...
120.	Helsinki	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:25 AM	Find...
121.	Helsinki, Olso, somewhere in Italy, Paris. Note that Switzerland is well connected from all over Europe (Zurich for examples)	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:14 AM	Find...
122.	Amsterdam, Berlin, Barcelona, Edinburgh, Athens	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:06 AM	Find...
123.	Paris, Rome, Berlin, Athens, Lisbon	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:46 PM	Find...
124.	Copenhagen Berlin Geneva Zürich den Hague	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:39 PM	Find...
125.	Paris Barcelona London	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:35 PM	Find...

	Lisbon Prague		
126.	London. Amsterdam. Reykjavik. Dublin. Possibly somewhere in Spain.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:28 PM	Find...
127.	Prague, Paris, Madrid, Frankfurt, Brussels	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:23 PM	Find...
128.	Amsterdam, London, Copenhagen, Brussels, Berlin	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 10:54 PM	Find...
129.	barcelona, athens, rome, paris, wien	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 10:54 PM	Find...
130.	Florence, Milan, Barcelona, Paris, Prague,	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 9:56 PM	Find...
131.	Rome, Oslo, Madrid, Barcelona, Lisbon	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 9:26 PM	Find...
132.	Paris, London, Berlin, Rome, Amsterdam	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 9:09 PM	Find...
133.	Munic, Berlin, Barcelona, Madrid, maybe a place like Mallorca or Cyprus.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:48 PM	Find...
134.	Rome Barcelona Budapest Athens Madrid	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:39 PM	Find...
135.	London, Stockholm, Rome, Oslo, Berlin.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:27 PM	Find...
136.	Lisbon, Munich, Copenhagen, Rome, (then outside Europe, but still in the Med -- Cairo)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:14 PM	Find...
137.	Anywhere with roughly Maastricht-or-better egress is fine. Europe is so easy to access that this is an opportunity to give us pleasant touristic opportunities and variety with little cost. Go for it!	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:26 PM	Find...
138.	Copenhagen, Stockholm, Brussels	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:21 PM	Find...
139.	Cities in Eastern Europe.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:12 PM	Find...
140.	Prague, Stockholm, Paris, London, Geneva	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:11 PM	Find...
141.	Paris, Oslo, Amsterdam, Munich, Stockholm	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:51 PM	Find...
142.	Madrid, Munich, Amsterdam, Zurich, Brussels	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:42 PM	Find...
143.	Stockholm, Helsinki, London, Paris, Berlin	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:11 PM	Find...
144.	Stockholm/Helsinki Rome St. Petersburg/Moscow Berlin Madrid	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:10 PM	Find...

145.	Barcelona Frankfurt Stockholm Dublin London	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:54 PM	Find...
146.	Prague Paris Rome London Stockholm	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:53 PM	Find...
147.	London Amsterdam Berlin Budapest Brussels	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:51 PM	Find...
148.	Paris, Hamburg, Kopenhagen, Dublin, Prague	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:48 PM	Find...
149.	London, Amsterdam, Madrid, Paris, Frankfurt	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:47 PM	Find...
150.	Moscow, Warsaw, Berlin, Madrid, Budapest	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:47 PM	Find...
151.	London, Paris, Frankfurt, Zurich, Munich	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:42 PM	Find...
152.	Paris, Barcelona, Rome, Berlin, Amsterdam	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:35 PM	Find...
153.	Barcelona Athens Copenhagen Oslo London	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:30 PM	Find...
154.	Krakow, Saragossa, Bologna, Vienna, Sofia	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:25 PM	Find...
155.	Copenhagen, London, Paris, Amsterdam, Frankfurt	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:23 PM	Find...
156.	Do you mean *IN* as opposed to in the same country (as in "Dublin")? Berlin, Edinburgh, Barcelona, London, Prague	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:13 PM	Find...
157.	London, Oslo, Edinburgh, Munich, Paris	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:08 PM	Find...
158.	Madrid, París, Berlin, Finland, London	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:07 PM	Find...
159.	Rotterdam, Antwerp, Nice, Darmstadt, Milan	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:05 PM	Find...
160.	Budapest	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:01 PM	Find...
161.	Frankfurt, Madrid, Lisbon, Rome, Paris	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:57 PM	Find...
			Find...

162.	Amsterdam, Berlin, Stockholm, Barcelona, Copenhagen	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:53 PM	
163.	Prague, Barcelona, Stockholm, Zurich, Budapest	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:51 PM	Find...
164.	Barcelona,heraklion,sevilla,reijkjavik, florence	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:47 PM	Find...
165.	Amsterdam, Paris, Brussels, London (?), Zurich	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:47 PM	Find...
166.	anywhere if not in the height of summer. I'm happy to meet in north Sweden or Finland in the winter.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:46 PM	Find...
167.	Wrong question. Meet the airport/venue criteria.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:46 PM	Find...
168.	Paris, London, anywhere in Spain	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:41 PM	Find...
169.	london prague amsterdam stockholm munich	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:40 PM	Find...
170.	Prague, Vienna, Budapest, Florence, Athens	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:38 PM	Find...
171.	London, Paris, Munich, Rome, Madrid	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:35 PM	Find...
172.	ROME, MADRID, BERLIN, BARCELONA, PARIS	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:33 PM	Find...
173.	London, Paris, Prague, Zurich, Vienna	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:31 PM	Find...
174.	London, Amsterdam, Stockholm, Munich, Istanbul	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:31 PM	Find...
175.	Geneva, Dublin, Lisbon, Barcelona, Prague, Budapest, Warsaw	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:30 PM	Find...
176.	Helsinki, Zurich, Frankfurt, Oslo, Vienna, Rome, Madrid, Barcelona, Brussels, Berlin	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:28 PM	Find...
177.	London, Athens, Rome, Venice, Milano	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:26 PM	Find...
178.	Vienna Munich Prague Amsterdam Berlin	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:24 PM	Find...
179.	London, Paris, Stockholm, Maastricht, Munich	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:24 PM	Find...
180.	Geneva, Zurich, Paris, Munich, London	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:23 PM	Find...
181.	Stockholm, Oslo, Vienna, Prague, Amsterdam	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:21 PM	Find...
182.	Berlin, Roma, Madrid, Helsinki, Barcelona	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:20 PM	Find...
183.	Vienna	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:17 PM	Find...

	London Madrid Frankfurt Rome		
184.	Paris, Vienna, Geneva, Barcelona, Amsterdam	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:16 PM	Find...
185.	Berlin Copenhagen Budapest Vienna Bordeaux	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:15 PM	Find...
186.	London Budapest Brugge/Bruges Milan Vienna	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:14 PM	Find...
187.	Venice, Vienna, Bruegge, Munich	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:11 PM	Find...
188.	Oslo, London, Stockholm, Helsinki, Arhus	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:11 PM	Find...
189.	London, Stockholm, Oslo, Paris, Amsterdam	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM	Find...
190.	London, Birmingham, anywhere else	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM	Find...
191.	Any major city in western Europe. Prefer scandanavian countries.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM	Find...
192.	London - cheaps air fare, Amstedam - easy to get to, Munich, Rome	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:09 PM	Find...
193.	Berlin Copenhagen Budapest Vienna Bordeaux	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:08 PM	Find...
194.	Berlin, Vienna, Copenhagen, Budapest, Zurich	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:07 PM	Find...
195.	Stockholm, Amsterdam, Munich, Paris, Brussels	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:06 PM	Find...
196.	London, Paris,	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:06 PM	Find...
197.	Rome, Munich, London, Paris, Geneva	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:03 PM	Find...
198.	1. Warsaw 2. Copenhagen 3. Berlin 4. Rome 5. Lisbon	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:03 PM	Find...
199.	I don't go to IETF meetings because of the cities	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:01 PM	Find...

they are in. I can go on vacation in my own time, thanks.

The venue resources and facilities are far more important. No more meetings in conference centres, please!

200.	Paris. London. Geneva. Berlin. Prague.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:00 PM	Find...
201.	London, Paris, Frankfurt, Copenhagen, Berlin	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:00 PM	Find...
202.	London, Paris, Amsterdam, Berlin, Lisbon	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:57 PM	Find...
203.	London, Prague, Stockholm, Oslo, Vienna	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:56 PM	Find...
204.	Reykjavik, Stockholm, Reykjavik, Frankfurt, and Reykjavik.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:54 PM	Find...
205.	Barcelona Paris Madrid Dublin	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:52 PM	Find...
206.	Istanbul, Antalya, London, Barcelona, Oslo	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:50 PM	Find...
207.	Viena, Paris, Prague	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:49 PM	Find...
208.	Munich, Zurich, Amsterdam, London, Prague	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:48 PM	Find...
209.	Münster Maastricht	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:46 PM	Find...
210.	Madrid, Barcelona, Amsterdam, Paris, Edinburgh/Glasgow	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:45 PM	Find...
211.	Paris, London, Munich, Madrid, Bruxelles	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:44 PM	Find...
212.	stockholm, berlin, london, barcelona, helsinki	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:43 PM	Find...
213.	London, Frankfurt	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:42 PM	Find...
214.	London, Amsterdam, Helsinki, Frankfurt, Paris	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:42 PM	Find...
215.	Cologne Cagliari Krakow Copenhagen St. Petersburg	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:41 PM	Find...
216.	Athens, Oslo, Reykjavik, Glasgow, Edinburgh, Geneva, Krakow, Warsaw, Moscow	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:41 PM	Find...
217.	Madrid Barcelona Warsaw	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:40 PM	Find...

	Paris London Madrid Barcelona Warsaw		
218.	Rome, Venice, Edinburgh, Paris, Krakow	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:39 PM	Find...
219.	Helsinki, Budapest, Rome, Moscow, Warsaw	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:38 PM	Find...
220.	Moscow Lisbon Warsaw Sarajevo Bucharest	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:37 PM	Find...
221.	Helsinki Barcelona Edinburgh Lisbon Rome	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:37 PM	Find...
222.	Barcelona, Madrid, Rome, Frankfurt, Berlin, Wien	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:36 PM	Find...
223.	London, Helsinki, Warsaw, Madrid, Stockholm	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:36 PM	Find...
224.	Prague, Paris, Rome, Amsterdam, Lisbon (not in summer!)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
225.	Amsterdam, London, Madrid, Rome, Barcelona	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
226.	Paris Rome Oslo Nice	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
227.	amsterdam, brussels, berlin, prague, madrid	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
228.	Paris Stockholm London Prague Frankfurt	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
229.	Paris Roma Milan Moscow Munich Brussels Berlin Geneva	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
230.	Budapest, Saint Petersburg (in summer), Berlin, Rome, Athens	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
231.	Cambridge, Edinburgh, Brussels, Paris, Hamburg	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...

232.	Copenhagen, Stockholm, Berlin, Oslo, Frankfurt	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:31 PM	Find...
233.	London, Frankfurt, Paris, Madrid, Rome	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:31 PM	Find...
234.	Paris, London, Madrid, Rome, Oslo	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
235.	Prague Berlin Edinburgh London Lisbon	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
236.	Frankfurt, Paris, Munich, Barcelona, Nice/Cannes, Prague. Yes, that's six.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
237.	Frankfurt, Madrid, London, Brussels, Paris	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
238.	Anywhere in Germany, Helsinki, Reykjavik, Copenhagen, Lisbon	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
239.	Bremen Berlin Amsterdam Barcelona Paris	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:29 PM	Find...
240.	Paris, London, Berlin, Barcelona, Roma.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:29 PM	Find...
241.	Doesn't matter	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
242.	Amsterdam, Paris, Stockholm, Oslo, Prague	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
243.	amsterdam, brussels, rome, paris, stockholm, munich	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
244.	Brussels, Madrid or Barcelona, Geneva, Somewhere in Germany, Somewhere in itally	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:27 PM	Find...
245.	Paris, Berlin, Amsterdam, London, Prague	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:27 PM	Find...
246.	Paris, Rome, Athens, Barcelona and Lisbon	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...
247.	Berlin, Paris, London (or nearby), Barcelona, Dublin (but actually IN Dublin)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...
248.	Vienna, Istanbul, Prague, Helsenki, Rome	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...
249.	Paris, Prague, Madrid, Dublin, Frankfurt	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:25 PM	Find...
250.	Berlin Rome Lisboa Paris Zurich	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:24 PM	Find...

answered question 260

skipped question 87

11. What are the top 5 cities where you would like to meet in North America?

Response
Count

Hide replies 255

- | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Vancouver
Ottawa
Montreal
Seattle
San Francisco | Wed, Sep 22, 2010 10:46 AM | Find... |
| 2. New York, Washington, Boston, Miami, Honolulu | Thu, Sep 16, 2010 5:56 AM | Find... |
| 3. San Francisco, Chicago, Philadelphia, Miami, DC | Wed, Sep 15, 2010 9:33 PM | Find... |
| 4. Boston, Chicago, Mexico city, New jersey, Hawaii | Tue, Sep 7, 2010 2:05 PM | Find... |
| 5. New Orleans, Chicago, Memphis, San Francisco, Washington | Tue, Sep 7, 2010 12:49 PM | Find... |
| 6. New York, San Francisco, Boston, Seattle, Denver | Tue, Sep 7, 2010 8:17 AM | Find... |
| 7. San Francisco, Minneapolis, Seattle, Boston, DC | Mon, Sep 6, 2010 10:04 AM | Find... |
| 8. San Francisco, Minneapolis (it works so well), San Diego, New York (Manhattan), Washington DC | Sun, Sep 5, 2010 3:47 PM | Find... |
| 9. San Francisco, Atlanta, Las Vegas, Denver, Huston | Sun, Sep 5, 2010 5:50 AM | Find... |
| 10. Boston
Chicago
San Francisco | Wed, Sep 1, 2010 9:14 AM | Find... |

	Toronto Vancouver		
11.	New York City, San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, Boston	Wed, Sep 1, 2010 3:59 AM	Find...
12.	Minneapolis San Francisco Washington Montreal Boston	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 7:19 PM	Find...
13.	New Orleans	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:48 PM	Find...
14.	Vancouver Montreal New York San Francisco Chicago	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 10:13 AM	Find...
15.	Toronto, Ottawa, Las Vegas, New Orleans, Miami	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 7:57 AM	Find...
16.	Toronto, Washington DC, San Francisco, Minneapolis, New York	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 6:57 AM	Find...
17.	Chicago, San Diego, Orlando, Boston	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:58 AM	Find...
18.	Preference for east over west and Canada has advantages over USA	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:42 AM	Find...
19.	Boston, Toronto, Vancouver, San Francisco, Seattle	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:35 AM	Find...
20.	NYC, San Francisco, Boston, Quebec, Vancouver	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:09 AM	Find...
21.	Hawaii (any island), Miami, Orlando, San Diego, Boston	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:06 AM	Find...
22.	Minneapolis, San Francisco, Toronto, Atlanta, Philadelphia	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:03 AM	Find...
23.	Ottawa, Vancouver, New York, Boston,	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:01 AM	Find...
24.	Montreal, Boston, San Francisco, Chicago, New Orleans	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 4:36 AM	Find...
25.	Minneapolis, Atlanta	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 4:27 AM	Find...
26.	New York, Los Angeles, Orlando, San Francisco	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:50 AM	Find...
27.	San Francisco, Seattle, Chicago, Toronto, Atlanta	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:40 AM	Find...
28.	Boston, NY, Montreal, Toronto, Philadelphia	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:14 AM	Find...

29.	1. Minneapolis 2. Vancouver 3. Washington, DC 4. Chicago 5. Orlando	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 10:26 PM	Find...
30.	Vancouver, San Francisco, Chicago, Hawaii (any city), Minneapolis (in July)	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:06 PM	Find...
31.	Vancouver, Toronto, Minneapolis, San Francisco	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:00 PM	Find...
32.	Boston New Orleans Calgary Cancun Puerto Vallarta	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 5:59 PM	Find...
33.	Montreal, Vancouver, Quebec, Toronto, Calgary	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 4:08 PM	Find...
34.	Honolulu Los Angeles New York Miami Montreal	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:39 PM	Find...
35.	new york, baltimore, toledo, ottawa, seattle	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 12:01 PM	Find...
36.	Vancouver, San Francisco, Chicago, New York, Toronto, Montreal	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 10:20 AM	Find...
37.	Montreal, Minneapolis, Seattle, Chicago, San Francisco	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 9:22 AM	Find...
38.	Vancouver, San Francisco, Washington, Chicago, Los Angeles	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 9:10 AM	Find...
39.	New York Boston Seattle Portland Baltimore	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 8:41 AM	Find...
40.	Montreal Mexico New York Los Angeles	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 8:23 AM	Find...
41.	Anaheim, San Francisco, Quebec City, Toronto, Seattle	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 8:07 AM	Find...
42.	Minneapolis San Diego	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:56 AM	Find...
43.	Columbus, Ohio	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:33 AM	Find...

	Toronto Las Vegas San Antonio Orlando		
44.	Boston, Toronto, Denver, San Francisco, Seattle	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:08 AM	Find...
45.	NY, LA, Vegas, san diego, vancouver	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:00 AM	Find...
46.	Vancouver, Toronto, San Diego, San Francisco, Washington D.C.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 6:52 AM	Find...
47.	Houston Vancouver Toronto Pittsburgh Chicago	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 6:29 AM	Find...
48.	New York, San Francisco, Seattle, Boston, Vancouver	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 6:21 AM	Find...
49.	New York, Boston, Washington, San Francisco, Miami, San Diego	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 6:09 AM	Find...
50.	New York, Boston, Salt Lake City, Toronto, Mexico City	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 5:04 AM	Find...
51.	Anywhere	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 4:36 AM	Find...
52.	New York Denver LA Portland New Orleans	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 4:04 AM	Find...
53.	East Coast loactions and then again, the overall travel expenses (transport, hotel, meeting) should be low.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 3:57 AM	Find...
54.	Montreal, New York, Vancouver, Boston	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 3:21 AM	Find...
55.	New York, Boston, San Franscisco, Montreal, Vancouver	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 3:06 AM	Find...
56.	San Francisco, Orlando, New Orleans, Vancover, Boston	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:59 AM	Find...
57.	Miami, San Diego, Olrando, Boston, Washington	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:52 AM	Find...
58.	New York, Miami, Chicago, Dallas, Nashville	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:31 AM	Find...
59.	Washington Vancouver Toronto	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:25 AM	Find...

	Boston Minneapolis :)		
60.	Vancouver Anchorage Quebec Montreal	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:24 AM	Find...
61.	Vancouver Quebec City Toronto Montreal Boston	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 1:00 AM	Find...
62.	New York, Chicago, LA, Vancouver	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 10:37 PM	Find...
63.	New York, San Francisco, Washington DC, Honolulu, Seattle	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:59 PM	Find...
64.	San Jose area, Seattle, Honolulu, Vancouver, portland	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:53 PM	Find...
65.	vancouver, toronto, Washington DC, Chicago, San Francisco	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:42 PM	Find...
66.	New Orleans, Indianapolis, Denver, Oklahoma City, Houston	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:41 PM	Find...
67.	new york, chicago	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:32 PM	Find...
68.	San Francisco, Seattle, others	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:50 PM	Find...
69.	San Diego, San Francisco, Minneapolis, Orlando, Honolulu	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:42 PM	Find...
70.	New York, Boston, San Francisco, Vancouver, Montreal	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 6:08 PM	Find...
71.	San Francisco, Chicago, Atlanta, Austin, Miami	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:56 PM	Find...
72.	Boston, Phoenix, Miami, Dallas, San Francisco	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:44 PM	Find...
73.	New York, LA/SF, Denver, New Orleans, Seattle	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 3:40 PM	Find...
74.	San francisco, san diego, Washington DC, Miami, Dallas	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:59 PM	Find...
75.	Vancouver, San Francisco, Boston, Miami, Chicago	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:34 PM	Find...
76.	DC area Silicon valley Twin cities Chicago Houston	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:30 PM	Find...

77.	Dallas, Seattle	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 11:32 AM	Find...
78.	Washington DC Boston Minneapolis San Francisco/San Jose Montreal	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:58 AM	Find...
79.	Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Washington, Chicago	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:51 AM	Find...
80.	NY SF LA Seattle Boston	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 8:40 AM	Find...
81.	I have no specific cities in mind.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 8:30 AM	Find...
82.	Bay area, Boston, Los Angeles (but again near Disneyland), Minneapolis, Chicago	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:00 AM	Find...
83.	Honolulu, Miami, New York, Seattle	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 6:14 AM	Find...
84.	Any city will do.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:36 AM	Find...
85.	Newyork San Franciso Chicago Los Angeles Miami	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 4:21 AM	Find...
86.	Chicago, LA, San Diego, Boston, San Francisco	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 4:20 AM	Find...
87.	Minneapolis, Washington DC, Boston, San Francisco, Atlanta	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:16 AM	Find...
88.	Miami Dallas San Francisco Washington New York	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:38 PM	Find...
89.	Minneapolis, New York, San Francisco, Denver, New Orleans	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:18 PM	Find...
90.	SFO, NY, Toronto, Seattle, Miami	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:40 PM	Find...
91.	Minneapolis Boston Washington New Orleans	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:30 PM	Find...

92.	Minneapolis, Toronto, Vancouver, Montreal, Boston, New York (Oops, that's six)	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:22 PM	Find...
93.	San Francisco, Boston, Ann Arbor, Pittsburgh, New Orleans	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 4:50 PM	Find...
94.	Chicago, Washington DC, Toronto, Boston, Atlanta	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:02 PM	Find...
95.	Boston, San Francisco, New York, Toronto, Vancouver	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 2:16 PM	Find...
96.	Miami, NY, LA, San Francisco, Boston	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 2:16 PM	Find...
97.	Chicago, Vancouver, Toronto, Minneapolis, Detroit	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 1:13 PM	Find...
98.	Boston San Francisco New Orleans Tampa Vancouver	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 12:45 PM	Find...
99.	San Diego, LA, Miami, Orlando area, New York	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 12:03 PM	Find...
100.	No preference.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 11:06 AM	Find...
101.	Philadelphia, Austin, San Francisco, New York, Vancouver	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 8:44 AM	Find...
102.	San Francisco, Minneapolis, Chicago, manhattan, las vegas	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 8:43 AM	Find...
103.	San Feancisco, Vancouver, Toronto, Montreal, Quebec	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:13 AM	Find...
104.	New York, San Francisco	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:48 AM	Find...
105.	New York, Los Angeles, Miami, Huston, Las Vegas	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:47 AM	Find...
106.	Toronto (CA), San Francisco, Vancouver (CA), Chicago, Montreal (CA)	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:44 AM	Find...
107.	San Francisco, Boston, Washington DC, Chicago, Philadelphia.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:33 AM	Find...
108.	Boston, New York, Washington, Chicago, Miami	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:13 AM	Find...
109.	San Francisco, Los Angeles, New York, Boston, Montreal	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:43 AM	Find...
110.	New York, San Francisco, Washington, Boston, Chicago	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:39 AM	Find...

111.	San Francisco, New York, Los Angeles, Washington, Boston	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:28 AM	Find...
112.	New York Chicago Los Angeles Seattle	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:04 AM	Find...
113.	Minneapolis Boston Chicago Vancouver San Diego	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 4:00 AM	Find...
114.	San Francisco, Seattle, Boston, Las Vegas, Minneapolis	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:59 AM	Find...
115.	San Jose, San Francisco	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:37 AM	Find...
116.	Actually, I always prefer to go to Canada than to the US.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:14 AM	Find...
117.	New York, San Fransisco, Washington, Texas, Miami	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:06 AM	Find...
118.	New York, San Francisco, Las Vegas,	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:46 PM	Find...
119.	Danvers Boston Mew Jersey Washington	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:39 PM	Find...
120.	San Francisco/San Jose Los Angeles San Diego Las Vegas New Orleans	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:35 PM	Find...
121.	Vancouver. Toronto. Montreal. Boston. San Francisco. (I also like Minneapolis.)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:28 PM	Find...
122.	San Francisco (San Jose), Orlando, Los Angeles, Wasington D.C., Las Vegas	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:23 PM	Find...
123.	Atlanta, Denver, San Francisco, Washington DC, Seattle	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 10:54 PM	Find...
124.	vancouver, new orleans, seattle, chicago, denver	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 10:54 PM	Find...
125.	Vancouver, San Francisco, Chicago, New York, Orlando	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 9:56 PM	Find...
126.	San Francisco, LA, Miami, Chicago, Boston	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 9:26 PM	Find...

127.	Chicago, San Francisco, NY, LA, LV	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 9:09 PM	Find...
128.	Vancouver, Toronto, NYC, San Francisco, San Jose	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:48 PM	Find...
129.	Phoenix Seattle New Orleans Austin Tampa	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:39 PM	Find...
130.	Vancouver, Minneapolis, Honolulu, Chicago, San Francisco	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:27 PM	Find...
131.	Buffalo, Denver, Vancouver, Tampa, Albuquerque	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:14 PM	Find...
132.	Same as per Europe, above.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:26 PM	Find...
133.	Vancouver, Minneapolis, San Francisco, SLC	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:21 PM	Find...
134.	San Francisco, San Diego, Montreal, Toronto, Atlanta	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:11 PM	Find...
135.	Montreal, Vancouver, Seattle, Portland, San Francisco	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:51 PM	Find...
136.	Boston, New York, Toronto, San Francisco, Seattle	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:42 PM	Find...
137.	Vancouver, Toronto, Montreal, SFO, ORG	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:11 PM	Find...
138.	San Francisco New York Boston Washington Toronto Vancouver	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:10 PM	Find...
139.	Chicago Atlanta Dallas Denver San Diego	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:54 PM	Find...
140.	Washington, DC Vancouver San Francisco Chicago Montreal	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:53 PM	Find...
141.	Montreal, Boston, Washington, Quebec, Minneapolis	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:48 PM	Find...

142.	Los Angeles, New York, Chicago, Vancouver, San Francisco	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:47 PM	Find...
143.	Seattle, St Louis, Houston, Denver, Phoenix	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:47 PM	Find...
144.	Toronto, DC, Chicago, Seattle, San Francisco	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:42 PM	Find...
145.	New York, Toronto, San Francisco, Boston, Washington DC.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:35 PM	Find...
146.	Seattle San Francisco Denver Chicago Minneapolis	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:30 PM	Find...
147.	San Francisco, Chicago	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:25 PM	Find...
148.	Minneapolis, Las Vegas, San Francisco, Chicago, Washington DC	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:23 PM	Find...
149.	D.C., Minneapolis, Chicago, Toronto, San Diego. Minneapolis was (i) easy to get to, (ii) had a good bus service, (iii) many food possibilities, and (iv) hotels close to the main [hotel] venue. It's my preference if going for just the IETF.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:13 PM	Find...
150.	Boston, Minneapolis, San Francisco, Orlando, Vancouver	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:08 PM	Find...
151.	Chicago, Washington, Miami, Las Vegas, Montreal	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:07 PM	Find...
152.	Ottawa, Montreal, Vancouver, Boston, Atlanta	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:05 PM	Find...
153.	Miami	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:01 PM	Find...
154.	Vancouver Bay Area	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:58 PM	Find...
155.	Toronto, Dallas, Chicago, Washington DC, Las Vegas	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:57 PM	Find...
156.	San Francisco, Montreal, Vancouver, Toronto, Mexico City	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:53 PM	Find...
157.	Minneapolis, Vancouver, Chicago, Philadelphia, San Diego	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:51 PM	Find...
158.	Minneapolis, Toronto, Montreal	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:48 PM	Find...
159.	Miami, Washington	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:47 PM	Find...
160.	NY, Boston, Las Vegas	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:47 PM	Find...
161.	Vancouver, Toronto, Montreal, Philadelphia,	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:46 PM	Find...

Atlanta

162.	Wrong question. Meet the airport/venue criteria.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:46 PM	Find...
163.	Atlanta, Minneapolis, Denver, Richmond, Lansing	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:41 PM	Find...
164.	los angeles san diego san francisco chicago atlanta	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:40 PM	Find...
165.	Vancouver, San Francisco, New Orleans, Quebec, Chicago, Boston	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:38 PM	Find...
166.	Minneapolis, San Francisco, Chicago, Vancouver, San Diego (IF you have the meeting at the convention center or closer to the downtown area and NOT the Hilton), Philadelphia (the Marriott was a great location)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:35 PM	Find...
167.	SAN FRANCISCO,VANCOUVER, DALLAS, WASHINGTON, ATLANTA	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:33 PM	Find...
168.	New York City, Boston, Washington DC	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:31 PM	Find...
169.	Washington DC, San Francisco, Boston, Vancouver, Miami	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:31 PM	Find...
170.	Vancouver, Austin, Ottawa, Denver, Cleveland	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:30 PM	Find...
171.	Montreal, Toronto, Vancouver, Calgary, Ottawa	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:28 PM	Find...
172.	Chicago, Boston, Minneapolis, Orlando, Salt Lake City	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:26 PM	Find...
173.	Montreal Vancouver Ottawa	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:24 PM	Find...
174.	Montreal, New York, Seattle, San Francisco, Minneapolis	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:24 PM	Find...
175.	Montreal, Vancouver, Ottawa, Toronto, Quebec	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:23 PM	Find...
176.	Vancouver, Minneapolis, Montreal, Calgary, San Francisco	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:21 PM	Find...
177.	San Francisco, New York, Miami, Dallas, Seattle	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:20 PM	Find...
178.	San Diego Phoenix Minneapolis Cincinnati	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:17 PM	Find...

	Washington, D.C.		
179.	Vancouver, Seattle, San Francisco, San Diego, Miami	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:16 PM	Find...
180.	Washington Portland Oregon Boston Vancouver	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:15 PM	Find...
181.	Portland, OR Santa Fe Pittsburgh New Orleans San Juan, PR	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:14 PM	Find...
182.	Vancouver, Santa Fe, Ottawa	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:11 PM	Find...
183.	Vancouver, Dallas, Atlanta, Montreal, San Francisco	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM	Find...
184.	Boston, San Jose, New Orleans, San Antonio, Minneapolis, Montreal	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM	Find...
185.	Any major US or Canadian city.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM	Find...
186.	Chicago, Miami	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:09 PM	Find...
187.	Washington D.C. Portland (Oregon) Boston Vancouver Philadelphia	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:08 PM	Find...
188.	Vancouver, Toronto, Montreal, Quebec, Halifax	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:06 PM	Find...
189.	San Jose, San Francisco, Chicago, Dallas, Vancouver, Boston	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:06 PM	Find...
190.	Lihue (Kauai), San Francisco, Los Angeles, Orlando, Wash DC	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:03 PM	Find...
191.	1. Toronto 2. New York 3. Vancouver 4. Chicago 5. California	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:03 PM	Find...
192.	I don't go to IETF meetings because of the cities they are in. I can go on vacation in my own time, thanks. The venue resources and facilities are far more important. No more meetings in conference centres, please!	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:01 PM	Find...

193.	Washington, San Francisco, Chicago, Santa fe, Vancouver.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:00 PM	Find...
194.	San Francisco, San Diego, Las Vegas, Portland, Vancouver	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:00 PM	Find...
195.	San Francisco, Los Angeles, Chicago, Minneapolis, Denver	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:57 PM	Find...
196.	SF, LA, Minneapolis, Vancouver, Toronto	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:56 PM	Find...
197.	Seattle, Denver/Boulder, San Francisco/Bay Area, Austin, Nashville	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:54 PM	Find...
198.	Montreal San Francisco Philadelphia San Diego San Juan	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:52 PM	Find...
199.	Vancouver, Victoria, Hawaii, San Diego, Toronto	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:50 PM	Find...
200.	Vancouver, Washington D.C, Dallas, Toronto	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:49 PM	Find...
201.	Any safe city.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:48 PM	Find...
202.	Vancouver Boston	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:46 PM	Find...
203.	Montreal, Vancouver, Toronto, San Juan PR, Boston	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:45 PM	Find...
204.	Montreal, Quebec City, Vancouver, Toronto, Boston	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:44 PM	Find...
205.	san francisco, new york, boston, washington dc, houston	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:43 PM	Find...
206.	Denver, Chicago, WDC, SF, NY	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:42 PM	Find...
207.	San Francisco, New York, Chicago, Seattle, Washington DC	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:42 PM	Find...
208.	Boston Chicago San Jose St. Louis Miami	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:41 PM	Find...
209.	Salt Lake City, Phoenix, El Paso, Denver, Seattle, San Diego	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:41 PM	Find...
210.	Mexico	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:40 PM	Find...

	Boston Montreal Toronto Ottawa		
211.	Austin TX, San Antonio TX, New York Metro Area, Chicago, Menlo Park CA.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:39 PM	Find...
212.	Hawaii, Toronto, Seattle, Boston, Miami	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:38 PM	Find...
213.	Key_West Anchorage San_Juan Acapulco	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:37 PM	Find...
214.	Honolulu Seattle Las Vegas Toronto	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:37 PM	Find...
215.	San Francisco, Vancouver, Montreal, Boston, Calgary	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:36 PM	Find...
216.	Minneapolis, San Diego, Atlanta, Chicago, WashingtonDC	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:36 PM	Find...
217.	Toronto, Vancouver, Denver, DC, Seattle	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
218.	Washington DC, New Orleans, Tampa, Phoenix, Vancouver	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
219.	Denver/Boulder Boston Miami Montreal Seattle	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
220.	portland(or), san francisco, new york, vancouver, montreal	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
221.	Montreal San Francisco Minneapolis Vancouver Philadelphia	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
222.	Coastal LA SJC NYC DC Hawaii	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
223.	Denver, Phoenix, Portland, Saint Louis, New Orleans	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
224.	no preference	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...

225.	Minneapolis, Chicago, Vancouver, Ottawa, New York	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:31 PM	Find...
226.	Boston, Chicago, Los Angeles, San Francisco, Washington, DC	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:31 PM	Find...
227.	New York, Chicago, Miami, Seattle, San Francisco	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
228.	Vancouver Toronto Atlanta Boston Denver/Boulder	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
229.	LA, Minneapolis, Denver, San Francisco/San Jose, Boston	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
230.	Montreal, Toronto, Vancouver, San Francisco, Boston	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
231.	San Jose, Calgary, Phoenix, Seattle, Anchorage	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
232.	New York Los Angeles Boston Chicago	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:29 PM	Find...
233.	I don't know.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:29 PM	Find...
234.	Doesn't matter	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
235.	San Francisco, Vancouver, Toronto, Chicago, LA	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
236.	san diego, san francisco, new orleans, portland, las vegas	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
237.	San Antonio or Austin, SF Bay area, San Diego (but a more centrally located venue), Chicago	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:27 PM	Find...
238.	SF, Chicago, Austin, Seattle, Vancouver	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:27 PM	Find...
239.	San Francisco, Dallas, Chicago, Seattle, Orlando	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...
240.	Chicago, Denver, Boston, NYC, Seattle	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...
241.	New Orleans, Seattle, Minneapolis, Bay Area, Boston area	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...
242.	Toronto, Montreal, San Diego, Vancouver, Washington DC	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:25 PM	Find...
243.	New York San Francisco	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:24 PM	Find...

	Las Vegas Miami Los Angeles		
244.	Austin, New York, Dallas, Washington, Miami	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:22 PM	Find...
245.	Minneapolis, Toronto, Seattle, Miami, Santa Fe	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:22 PM	Find...
246.	Boston, Chicago, San Francisco, San Diego	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:21 PM	Find...
247.	Anywhere but USA or that needs me to travel through USA. Already missed Anaheim because of US Visa problems. Suspect that this will occur more and more as they keep stacking on extra rules every few months.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:21 PM	Find...
248.	San Francisco Minneapolis Miami Chicago Washington, DC	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:20 PM	Find...
249.	the less the better	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:20 PM	Find...
250.	Minneapolis Chicago New York	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:18 PM	Find...

< 1 >

250 responses per page

answered question 255
skipped question 92

12. What are the top 5 cities where you would like to meet in Asia-Pacific?

Response
Count

[Hide replies](#) 233

1. Beijing Wed, Sep 22, 2010 10:46 AM [Find...](#)

Shanghai Seoul Sydney Auckland			
2.	Shanghai, Hong Kong, Sydney, Auckland, Singapore	Thu, Sep 16, 2010 5:56 AM	Find...
3.	Tokyo, Sydney, Singapore	Wed, Sep 15, 2010 9:33 PM	Find...
4.	Hongkong, Munbai, Singapore, Hanoi, Bangkok	Tue, Sep 7, 2010 2:05 PM	Find...
5.	Tokyo, Hong Kong, Singapore, Kuala Lumpur, Shanghai	Tue, Sep 7, 2010 12:49 PM	Find...
6.	Tokyo, Seoul, Singapore, Hong-Kong, Mumbai	Tue, Sep 7, 2010 8:17 AM	Find...
7.	KL, Sydney, melbourne, Taipei and any city in Japan	Mon, Sep 6, 2010 10:04 AM	Find...
8.	Singapore, any city in New Zealand, any city in Australia, Hong Kong, Honolulu (loosely defined)	Sun, Sep 5, 2010 3:47 PM	Find...
9.	Hong Kong, Bombei, New Delhi, Bangkok, Seul	Sun, Sep 5, 2010 5:50 AM	Find...
10.	Tokyo Kyoto Taipeh Seoul	Wed, Sep 1, 2010 9:14 AM	Find...
11.	Beijing, Shanghai, Xi'an, Tokyo, Seoul	Wed, Sep 1, 2010 3:59 AM	Find...
12.	None.	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 7:19 PM	Find...
13.	Sydney (or other Australia), any India, Kyoto, Honolulu	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:48 PM	Find...
14.	Tokyo Bangkok Hong kong Sydney Wellington	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 10:13 AM	Find...
15.	Sydney, Melbourne, Hong Kong, Taipei, Bangkok	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 7:57 AM	Find...
16.	Sydney, Melbourne, (anywhere in Australia or New Zealand), Tokyo,	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 6:57 AM	Find...
17.	Hong Kong, Singapore, Mumbai	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:58 AM	Find...
18.	Don't know	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:42 AM	Find...
19.	Tokyo, Osaka, Hiroshima, Shanghai, Hong Kong	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:35 AM	Find...
20.	Tokyo, New Delhi, Kuala Lumpur, Sydney,	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:09 AM	Find...

	Jakarta		
21.	Shanghai, Singapore, Kuala-Lumpur, Bangkok, Kyoto	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:06 AM	Find...
22.	Bangkok, Singapore	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:03 AM	Find...
23.	Hong Kong, Tokyo, Sydney, Melbourne, Delhi	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:01 AM	Find...
24.	Sydney, Kyoto, Bangkok, Singapore	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 4:36 AM	Find...
25.	none won't meet outside Europe America	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 4:27 AM	Find...
26.	Bangkok, Hanoi, Kuala Lumpur, Tokyo	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:50 AM	Find...
27.	Sydney, Kuala Lumpur, Tokyo, Seoul, Hong Kong	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:40 AM	Find...
28.	Singapore, Osaka, Seoul, Tokyo, Hiroshima	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:14 AM	Find...
29.	1. Singapore 2. Sydney 3. Tokyo 4. Seoul 5. Hong Kong 4. Sydney	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 10:26 PM	Find...
30.	Sydney, Hong Kong, Auckland, Singapore, Tokyo/Yokohama	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:06 PM	Find...
31.	Sydney Melbourne Hong Kong Shanghai Singapore	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 5:59 PM	Find...
32.	Tokyo, Osaka, Singapore, Hanoi, Bangkok	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 4:08 PM	Find...
33.	Honolulu Tokyo Sydney Auckland HongKong	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:39 PM	Find...
34.	bankok, ho chi minh, phillippines, thailand, dubai	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 12:01 PM	Find...
35.	Shanghai Kuala Lumpur Bangkok Jakarta	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 10:59 AM	Find...
36.	Hong Kong, Beijing, Tokyo, Kuala Lumpur, Hiroshima	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 10:20 AM	Find...
37.	Melbourne, Sydney	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 9:22 AM	Find...

38.	Shangai Hong Kong New Delhi Sungapore Taipei	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 8:41 AM	Find...
39.	Bangkok Tokyo Seoul Sydney	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 8:23 AM	Find...
40.	Singapore, Hiroshima, Seoul, Shanghai, Sydney	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 8:07 AM	Find...
41.	Tokyo	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:56 AM	Find...
42.	Honolulu Melbourne Tokyo Wellington	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:33 AM	Find...
43.	no idea	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:08 AM	Find...
44.	taiwan, singapore, bangkok, hong kong, tokyo	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:00 AM	Find...
45.	Tokyo, Kyoto, Sapporo, Hiroshima, Kobe	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 6:52 AM	Find...
46.	Bankok Dailan Taipeh Singapur Hongkong	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 6:29 AM	Find...
47.	Tokyo, Sydney, Auckland, Delhi, Bangkok	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 6:21 AM	Find...
48.	Tokyo, Shangai, Seoul, New Delhi, Singapore	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 6:09 AM	Find...
49.	Kuala Lumpur, Manila, Hongkong, Taipeh, Delhi	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 5:04 AM	Find...
50.	Bejing Hong Kong Kuala Lumpur Singapore Mumbai	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 4:04 AM	Find...
51.	No prefernces, too many time zones away. I'm picky here and I respect that others argue against my continent the same way and I respect that IETF can't solve this problem (I'm the one who should adapt). I've been on meetings "too many time zones" away already, but I'm not regulary going there.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 3:57 AM	Find...
52.	HongKong, Chennai, Yerevan	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 3:21 AM	Find...

53.	Tokyo, Kyoto, Seoul, Honk Kong, Hanoi	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 3:06 AM	Find...
54.	Sydney, Singapor, Tokyo, New Delhi, Wellington	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:59 AM	Find...
55.	Shanghai, Singapore, Kuala Lumpur, Bangkok, Kyoto,	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:52 AM	Find...
56.	Sydney, Perth, Hong Kong, Taipei, Mumbai	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:31 AM	Find...
57.	Pyongyang Sidney Seoul Tokyo Osaka	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:25 AM	Find...
58.	No particular pref	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 2:24 AM	Find...
59.	Dubai Seoul Hiroshima	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 1:00 AM	Find...
60.	Hangkong, Singapore, Shanghai, Tokyo, Sanya	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 10:37 PM	Find...
61.	Tokyo, Kyoto, Osaka, Seoul, Busan	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:59 PM	Find...
62.	Melbourne, Singapore, Naha(okinawa), tokyo, Noumea	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:53 PM	Find...
63.	hong kong, taipei, sydney, singapore, manila	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:42 PM	Find...
64.	Sanaa, Doha, Islamabad, Thimphu, Bandar Seri Begawan	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:41 PM	Find...
65.	Tokyo, Singapore, macao	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:32 PM	Find...
66.	Melbourne, Auckland, others	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:50 PM	Find...
67.	Singapore, Osaka, Hiroshima, Seoul, Taipei	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:42 PM	Find...
68.	Tokyo (or around), Kyoto, Hong Kong, Shanghai, Singapore	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 6:08 PM	Find...
69.	Shanghai, Bangalore, Bangkok, Taipei, Hong Kong	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:56 PM	Find...
70.	Yokohama, Kyoto, Daejeon, Hong kong	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:44 PM	Find...
71.	Taipei, Bangkok (when it's safe), Hong Kong, Singapore, Sydney	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 3:40 PM	Find...
72.	no preference	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:59 PM	Find...
73.	Hong Kong, Sydney, Osaka, Seoul, Kuala Lumpur	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:34 PM	Find...

74.	Sydney Tokio, Kuala Lumpur Shanghai Seoul	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:30 PM	Find...
75.	Sydney, Melbourne, Auckland	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 11:32 AM	Find...
76.	Tokyo Seoul Honolulu Sydney Osaka	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:58 AM	Find...
77.	Kyoto, Shangai, Taipei, Tokyo, Seoul	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:51 AM	Find...
78.	Tokyo Hong Kong Shanghai Singapore Seoul	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 8:40 AM	Find...
79.	I have no specific cities in mind.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 8:30 AM	Find...
80.	no pref.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:00 AM	Find...
81.	Any city will do.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:36 AM	Find...
82.	Shanghai Taipei Seoul Tokyo Beijing	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 4:21 AM	Find...
83.	Hong Kong, Tokyo, Seoul, Beijing, Singapur	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 4:20 AM	Find...
84.	Tokyo, Honolulu, Hong Kong, Sydney, Singapore	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 1:16 AM	Find...
85.	Sidney Hong Kong Kuala Lumpur Brisbane Singapore	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:38 PM	Find...
86.	Hong kong, Singapore, Melbourne, Tokyo, Shanghai	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:40 PM	Find...
87.	Hiroshima Christchurch, NZ Melborne	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:30 PM	Find...
88.	Seoul, Tokio area, Bangkok, Taipeh, Singapore	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:22 PM	Find...
89.	Melbourne, Bangalore, Xian, Honolulu,	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 4:50 PM	Find...

Christchurch

90.	Shanghai, Singapore, Hong Kong, Seoul, Tokyo	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:02 PM	Find...
91.	Tokyo, Singapore	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 2:16 PM	Find...
92.	Bangkok, Phuket, Kuala Lumpur, Singapore	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 2:16 PM	Find...
93.	Tokyo, Hong Kong, Honolulu, Shanghai	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 1:13 PM	Find...
94.	Medan Jakarta Melbourne Auckland Chennai	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 12:45 PM	Find...
95.	Sydney, Singapore, Hong Kong, Shanghai, any city in Japan	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 12:03 PM	Find...
96.	No preference.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 11:06 AM	Find...
97.	Tokyo, Melbourne, Hong Kong, Kyoto, Aukland	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 8:44 AM	Find...
98.	None for me	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 8:43 AM	Find...
99.	Beijing, Taipei, Gold Coast, Adelaide, Tokyo	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:13 AM	Find...
100.	Melbourne, Sydney, Auckland, Hong Kong, Tokyo	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:48 AM	Find...
101.	Tokyo, Hong Kong, Sidney	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:47 AM	Find...
102.	Singapore, Hong Kong, Tokyo, Taipei (Taiwan), Seoul (South Korea)	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:44 AM	Find...
103.	Tokyo, Singapore, Sydney, Kuala Lumpur, Mumbai	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:39 AM	Find...
104.	Tokyo, Bangkok, Kuala Lumpur, Hong Kong, New Dehli	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:28 AM	Find...
105.	Somewhere in Singapore Shanghai Hokkaido	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:04 AM	Find...
106.	Tokyo, Hong-kong, Hiroshima, Singapore, Taipei	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:59 AM	Find...
107.	Seoul. Somewhere in India would be cool (Bangalore, Chennai, etc...) even though I've never been there	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:14 AM	Find...
108.	No preference	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:06 AM	Find...
109.	Bangkok, Perth, Delhi, Denpasar, Hong Kong	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:46 PM	Find...
110.	Pukhet	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:39 PM	Find...

111.	Auckland Brisbane Sydney Melbourne Singapore	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:35 PM	Find...
112.	Sydney. Honolulu. Taipei. Somewhere in Japan.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:28 PM	Find...
113.	Tokyo, Osaka, Seoul, Taipei, Sydney	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:23 PM	Find...
114.	Tokyo, Nagoya, Seoul, Hong Kong, Taipei	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 10:54 PM	Find...
115.	singapore, nusa dua (Bali), bangkok, taipei, kyoto	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 10:54 PM	Find...
116.	San Ya (China), Qing Dao(China), Hokkaido, Tokyo, Singapore City,	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 9:56 PM	Find...
117.	Tokyo, Hong Kong, Sydney, Bangkok, Singapore	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 9:26 PM	Find...
118.	Beijing, Hongkong, Dehli, Singapore, Tokyo	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 9:09 PM	Find...
119.	Tokyo, Osaka,	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:48 PM	Find...
120.	Bangkok Saigon Phuket Phnom Penh Chiang Mai	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:39 PM	Find...
121.	Sydney, Hong Kong, Auckland, Singapore, Yokahama	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:27 PM	Find...
122.	Sydney, Melbourne, Shanghi, Hong Kong, Adelaide	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:14 PM	Find...
123.	I think this is a potentially complex equation and would expect the venue committee can navigate this well based on well-understood criteria. I'd support their decision(s) unless impressively bonkers.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:26 PM	Find...
124.	Adelaide, KL, HK, Singapore	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:21 PM	Find...
125.	Beijing, Bangalore, Singapore, Sydney, Nagoya	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:11 PM	Find...
126.	Bangkok, Adelaide, Hiroshima, Sydney, Kyoto	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:51 PM	Find...
127.	Tokyo, Kyoto, Seoul, Beijing, Hongkong	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:42 PM	Find...
128.	Hiroshima, Tokyo, Seoul, ?, ?	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:11 PM	Find...
129.	Sidney Hong Kong Kuala Lumpur Singapore	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 6:10 PM	Find...

	Tokyo/Osaka		
130.	Hong Kong Sydney Bangkok Kuala Lumpur Hiroshima	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:54 PM	Find...
131.	Tokyo (although Hiroshima was great) Kuala Lumpur Singapore Not Beijing	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:53 PM	Find...
132.	Osaka, Hong Kong, Seoul, Ho Chi Minh City, Auckland	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:48 PM	Find...
133.	Tokyo, Hong Kong, Seoul, Sydney, Auckland	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:47 PM	Find...
134.	Hong Kong, Sydney, Wellington, Singapore, Tokyo	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:47 PM	Find...
135.	Honolulu, Osaka, Seoul, Auckland, Sydney	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:42 PM	Find...
136.	Hong Kong, Tokyo, Singapore, Beijing, Bangkok	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:35 PM	Find...
137.	Sydney Tokyo Kuala Lumpur Seoul Hong Kong	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:30 PM	Find...
138.	Kyoto, Seoul, Singapore, Hong Kong, Beijing	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:23 PM	Find...
139.	Tokyo/Yokohama, Singapore, Melbourne, Adelaide, KL	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:13 PM	Find...
140.	Sydney, Melbourne, Hong Kong, Tokyo, Honolulu,	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:08 PM	Find...
141.	Hong Kong, Tokyo, Shanghai,	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:07 PM	Find...
142.	Shanghai, Hongkong, Seoul, Kobe, Tokyo	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:05 PM	Find...
143.	Bangkok Kunming Singapore Bangalore	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:01 PM	Find...
144.	Auckland Melbourne Hong Kong	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:58 PM	Find...
145.	Sydney, Seoul, Singapore, Hong Kong, Bangkok	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:57 PM	Find...
146.	New Delhi, Beijing, Tokyo, Singapore, Seoul	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:53 PM	Find...

147.	Osaka, Hong Kong, Singapore, Taipei, Seoul	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:51 PM	Find...
148.	Tokyo, Singapore,	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:47 PM	Find...
149.	Singapour, Syndey, Manila, Perth, Aukland	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:46 PM	Find...
150.	Auckland, Sydney, Melbourne, Singapore, KL But it's still the wrong question. Meet the airport/venue criteria.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:46 PM	Find...
151.	no preference	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:41 PM	Find...
152.	Istanbul, Bangkok, Singapore, Shanghai, Yokohama	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:38 PM	Find...
153.	Tokyo, Hong Kong, Yokohama, Singapore, Taipei (maybe)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:35 PM	Find...
154.	TOKYO, OSAKA, SEOUL, SANGHAI, HONGKONG	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:33 PM	Find...
155.	Tokyo, Hong Kong, Singapore, Sydney, Dubai	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:31 PM	Find...
156.	Seoul, Anywhere in Japan, Xian, China, Melbourne, Cairns	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:30 PM	Find...
157.	Singapore, Bangkok, Shanghai, Hongkong, Tokio, Osaka, Dubai	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:28 PM	Find...
158.	Bangkok, Tel Aviv, Hong Kong, Singapore, Bangalore	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:26 PM	Find...
159.	Tokyo, Beijing, Hiroshima, Mumbai, Hong Kong	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:24 PM	Find...
160.	Singapore, Tokyo, Tel Aviv, Hong Kong, Bangkok	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:23 PM	Find...
161.	Yokohama, Hong Kong, Hiroshima, Osaka, anywhere in Australia	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:21 PM	Find...
162.	Sydney, Singapore, Bangalore, Shanghai, Tokyo	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:20 PM	Find...
163.	Sydney Melbourne Honolulu	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:17 PM	Find...
164.	Aukland, Sydney, Shanghei, Tokyo, Fiji	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:16 PM	Find...
165.	Seoul Hong Kong	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:15 PM	Find...
166.	Bangalore Hong Kong Canberra Wellington Kyoto	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:14 PM	Find...

167.	Kyoto, Seoul	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:11 PM	Find...
168.	Sydney, Melbourne, Perth, Auckland, Wellington	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:11 PM	Find...
169.	Sydney, Singapore Capital, Tokyo, Seoul	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM	Find...
170.	Tokyo area, Beijing, Singapore, Seoul, Mumbai	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM	Find...
171.	Cities in Australia, New Zealand, or Japan	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM	Find...
172.	Seoul Korea, Tokyo Japan, Hong Kong China	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:09 PM	Find...
173.	Seoul Hong Kong	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:08 PM	Find...
174.	Auckland, Wellington, Sydney, Taipei, Hong Kong	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:06 PM	Find...
175.	n/a	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:06 PM	Find...
176.	Sydney, Beijing, Tokyo, Delhi, Bangkok	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:03 PM	Find...
177.	1. Singapore City 2. Hyderabad 3. Dubai or Abu Dhabi 4. Melbourne 5. Wellington	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:03 PM	Find...
178.	I don't go to IETF meetings because of the cities they are in. I can go on vacation in my own time, thanks. The venue resources and facilities are far more important. No more meetings in conference centres, please!	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:01 PM	Find...
179.	Puket, Hanoi, Nagano, Singapur, Honk-Kong	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:00 PM	Find...
180.	Tokyo, Hong Kong, Beijing, Amman, Sydney	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:00 PM	Find...
181.	Sydney, Tokyo, Hong Kong, Taipei, Mumbai	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:57 PM	Find...
182.	Yokohama, Singapore, Bangkok, Beijing, ???	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:56 PM	Find...
183.	Sydney, Melbourne, Auckland, Christchurch, Brisbane	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:54 PM	Find...
184.	Honolulu	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:52 PM	Find...
185.	Singapore, Shanghai, Sydney, Melbourne, Kuala Lumpur	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:50 PM	Find...
186.	China, Japan,	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:49 PM	Find...
187.	Beijing, Bangkok, HongKong, New Delhi, Mumbai	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:48 PM	Find...
188.	Hong Kong, Singapore, Sydney, Melbourne,	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:45 PM	Find...

Tokyo

189.	Tokyo, Osaka, Kyoto	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:44 PM	Find...
190.	tokyo, hong kong, ho chi min city, shanghai, singapore	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:43 PM	Find...
191.	Seoul, Hong Kong, Tokyo	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:42 PM	Find...
192.	Hong Kong, Tokyo, Seoul, Singapore, Melbourne	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:42 PM	Find...
193.	Kyoto Kuala Lumpur Bangkok Darwin Perth	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:41 PM	Find...
194.	Guam, Perth, Melbourne, Auckland, Hong Kong, Singapore	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:41 PM	Find...
195.	Beijing Tokyo Kyoto Hong Kong Shangai	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:40 PM	Find...
196.	Seoul, Tokyo, Shanghai, Hong Kong, Singapor	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:39 PM	Find...
197.	Sydney, Singapore, Bangkok, Shanghai, Bangalore	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:38 PM	Find...
198.	Auckland Manila Ho_Chi_Ming and somewhere on Bali or Tahiti	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:37 PM	Find...
199.	Honolulu Sidney Singapore Auckland	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:37 PM	Find...
200.	Auckland, Bangkok, Bangalore, Hong Kong, Shanghai	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:36 PM	Find...
201.	Auckland, Hong Kong, Hiroshima, Manila, [anywhere the Nozomi goes in Japan]	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:36 PM	Find...
202.	Wellington!, Auckland, Honolulu, Sydney, Brisbane	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
203.	Auckland, Sydney, Brisbane, Phuket, Hong Kong	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
204.	Singapore Osaka Hawaii Bangkok	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...

	Kuala Lumpur		
205.	tokyo, hong kong, signapore, sydney	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
206.	Tokyo Singapore Hong Kong Hyderabad Sydney	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
207.	Tokyo Osaka Hawaii Bangkok Taipei Jakarta	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
208.	Melbourne, Auckland, New Delhi, Osaka, Bangkok	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
209.	Istanbul, New Delhi	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:32 PM	Find...
210.	Ho Chi Minh, Tokyo, Taipei, Bangkok, Pune	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:31 PM	Find...
211.	Tokyo, Hong Kong, Seoul, Delhi, Sydney	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:31 PM	Find...
212.	Hong Kong, Tokyo	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
213.	Syndey, Australia Christchurch, NZ Hong Kong Singapore	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
214.	Sydney/Melbourne, Hong Kong, Tokyo, Osaka, Seoul	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
215.	Tokyo, Shanghai, Hong Kong, Sydney, Delhi	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
216.	Somewhere in Australia, somewhere in New Zealand, Seoul, Hong Kong, Singapore	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
217.	I don't know.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:29 PM	Find...
218.	Doesn't matter	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
219.	Seoul, Tokyo, Hong Kong, Kuala Lumpur, Singapore	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
220.	sydney, anywhere in New Zealand, Tokoyo, hong kong	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
221.	Sydney, Singapore, Aukland, Tokyo, Seoul	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:27 PM	Find...
222.	Seoul, Shanghai, Taipei, Singapore, New Delhi	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...

223.	Honolulu, Kyoto, Bali, Taipei, Kuala Lumpur	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...
224.	Hong Kong, Tokyo area, (India), Sydney, Shanghai	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:26 PM	Find...
225.	Sydney, Tokyo, Osaka, Seoul, Honolulu	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:25 PM	Find...
226.	Tokyo Sydney Singapore Bankok Kathmandu	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:24 PM	Find...
227.	Tokyo, Sydney, Hong Kong,	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:22 PM	Find...
228.	Don't know.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:21 PM	Find...
229.	Singapore, Kuala-Lumpur, Tokyo, Cebu	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:20 PM	Find...
230.	I have no idea. I would prefer cities I know nothing about.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:18 PM	Find...
231.	where we haven't been yet.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:18 PM	Find...
232.	Singapore, KL	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:17 PM	Find...
233.	Bangkok, Singapore, Hong Kong, Osaka, Seoul	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:16 PM	Find...

250 responses per page 

answered question **233**

skipped question **114**

PAGE: ACTIVITIES AROUND MEETINGS

1. Before or after the Maastricht meeting, I did some sightseeing or visiting in the area:

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	45.2%	138
No	54.8%	167

answered question 305

skipped question 42

2. Having a meeting venue that offers nearby sightseeing opportunities is important to me:

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very unimportant	9.9%	32
Unimportant	11.2%	36
Neutral	39.1%	126
Important	32.3%	104
Very important	7.5%	24
	Comments Hide replies	40

- | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Well, yes for the summer meetings! | Sun, Sep 5, 2010 3:49 PM | Find... |
| 2. There to work. Big all-in hotel with good food and drink nearby is key. | Tue, Aug 31, 2010 7:20 PM | Find... |
| 3. Most big cities have plenty of sight seeing opportunities, even in December. | Tue, Aug 31, 2010 4:28 AM | Find... |
| 4. it is preferred that the location has something nice to offer; though one often cannot take advantage of it, and feels pity about leaving such nice and interesting place without time | Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:11 PM | Find... |
| 5. If the opportunity presents itself, I will take advantage of it. | Mon, Aug 30, 2010 5:07 PM | Find... |
| 6. If I have time, it is important, if not, that's not important. | Mon, Aug 30, 2010 4:11 PM | Find... |

7. A boring venue is ok, but I can live with an inconvenient one if it's interesting. Inconvient and boring is too much, though.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:10 AM	Find...
8. Neutral in the sense that I dislike to stay in pure commercial zones or locations with the meeting place and no other infrastructure.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 3:59 AM	Find...
9. It good if you can combine work with pleasure as if often the case with a long conference like IETF.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:58 PM	Find...
10. I live 60 miles from maastricht, otherwise it would have been important to have some sightseeing. Because i am interested and for secondary cities: what is the chance you will visit again?	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:39 AM	Find...
11. If I can it is fine, if not I do not care. I work there for working, not really for turism.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:40 PM	Find...
12. "Nice", but not important.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:23 PM	Find...
13. Sightseeing opportunity is not a must, but a convenient add on in some cases.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 12:11 PM	Find...
14. If you are going to travel halfway around the world, nice to be someplace to see the local culture and sights	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 8:46 AM	Find...
15. would be nice, but not important	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:46 AM	Find...
16. It is a nice to have, the possibility for combining the IETF with holiday, but it shouldn't weigh much in the decision of venue picking	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:10 AM	Find...
17. It makes the meetings more enjoyable and typically more worthwhile.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:49 PM	Find...
18. The primary criteria should be how well the location works for the IETF.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:29 PM	Find...
19. "Nice if you can get it", but not a priority.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:28 PM	Find...
20. When I do not attend the meeting, I would like to sightseeing.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:14 PM	Find...
21. Nearby sightseeing is always nice, but it is not more important than a venue that is easy to get to. Having to fly to Brussels and then change trains twice to get to Maastricht was not very convenient.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:55 PM	Find...
22. sightseeing == expensive to get to for me.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:47 PM	Find...
23. It is far more important to me that the venue be	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:45 PM	Find...

optimal for a business meeting that requires us to be at the venue for 12-14 hours a day. Sightseeing is purely a bonus and should NOT at all be a priority for a business meeting. My company should not have to pay to get me to a vacation destination. If I want to sightsee, then I can make travel plans before or after the meeting, when I can handle (and appreciate) the cultural differences. Having a meeting in a location where international train tickets can ONLY be purchased while we're in sessions and where there is 3 train hops to get to the venue is highly inefficient. Having the venue in a location that does not provide convenient access to food adds 1+ hours to an already overloaded day - for most of us that hour comes from our time to sleep which is typically in the 4-5 hour range for many of us.

24.	This is NOT a vacation!	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:44 PM	Find...
25.	That wasn't much of an issue in Maastricht for me: I've been to the Netherlands often enough.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:26 PM	Find...
26.	It makes the travel worthwhile. As I say elsewhere, most IETF content is uninteresting to most IETF attendees, and it helps to have other attractive features--either to absorb some of the unintentional free time or to justify the travel.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:18 PM	Find...
27.	Good selection of reasonably priced quality restaurants is a big plus. Maastricht had that though too far away from meeting to be viable for lunch.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:14 PM	Find...
28.	Important in the Northern Summer, during vacation season	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:12 PM	Find...
29.	This is a business meeting not a tour.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM	Find...
30.	More expensive travel might be offset by sightseeing opportunities	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:08 PM	Find...
31.	I can get quite cross about this. If folk would prefer to not come to an IETF meeting because of the sightseeing limitations, then their employers need to take a careful look at them.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:04 PM	Find...
32.	It is a good way to get away from the stress and the pressure of the busy tight meeting agenda	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:04 PM	Find...
33.	The important thing is being able to have effective meetings. We could be in the middle of a barren desert, as long as the internet connection is good,	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:56 PM	Find...

and there is plenty of food within a few minutes of the venue.

- 34. It is a lot of work traveling far, you may as well go to an interesting place. At least have some incentive to fly. Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:52 PM [Find...](#)
- 35. Nice to have nearby sightseeing especially if it is in summer or spring. Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:51 PM [Find...](#)
- 36. I prefer somewhere with sightseeing opportunities, but only if the area is accessible. Please minimize my travel time. Maastricht cost me two extra nights away from my family because it was so far from the airport and it was difficult to arrange flight schedules. Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:39 PM [Find...](#)
- 37. Anywhere you go there are sightseeing opportunities. Tastes in such things vary of course. Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:38 PM [Find...](#)
- 38. But only if the venue itself was good quality and cost effective Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM [Find...](#)
- 39. It is somewhat important, but limiting travel times is paramount. Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:33 PM [Find...](#)
- 40. Live near Maastricht, so no real sightseeing done this time. Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:21 PM [Find...](#)

50 responses per page

answered question	322
skipped question	25

3. During the Maastricht meeting, the choices available for relaxing away from the meeting were

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very Poor	7.3%	21
Poor	22.4%	64

Fine	42.0%	120
Good	18.9%	54
Very Good	9.4%	27
	Comments Hide replies	58

1. Evenings are one thing, but here, I'm looking for reasonable escape from the meeting venue during the day (lunch, or, to a lesser extent, breaks). Maastricht was bad for that.	Wed, Sep 15, 2010 9:36 PM	Find...
2. Distance to dinner a bit long.	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 6:58 AM	Find...
3. Haven't been there...	Tue, Aug 31, 2010 5:11 AM	Find...
4. small lively town with free bus service did provide good opportunities	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:11 PM	Find...
5. I went for a lovely bike ride into the country and went running along the canal a few mornings - it was great, a lovely city to visit!	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 5:07 PM	Find...
6. The city center was not far, even walking there was not very long.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 4:11 PM	Find...
7. Maastricht felt in the middle of nowhere.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 11:55 AM	Find...
8. What I hated about Maastricht is how the MECC is located in a no man's land.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:10 AM	Find...
9. did not have much time to explore the area	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 6:53 AM	Find...
10. The question of relaxing opportunities is more one of the schedule rather than venue.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 1:02 AM	Find...
11. The meeting venue was too far from the center of downtown.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 9:55 PM	Find...
12. I didn't mind walking to the center	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 6:10 PM	Find...
13. I prefer smaller cities and Maastricht was good enough in that respect in spite of the whiny vocal minority on the attendee mailing lists.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:58 PM	Find...
14. to me the important criteria for outside the IETF activities are sleeping and eating. There were insufficient close-by restaurant options in Maastricht.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 10:01 AM	Find...

15.	Getting to anything for food, drink or relaxation mostly took a 20min walk. I have no objections to 20min walks in principle, but I don't consider that especially useful for lunches or other short breaks.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 8:32 AM	Find...
16.	Didn't bother too much, but the meeting was unreasonably far from reasonable food options (the same held pretty much for Anaheim). It's wasting people's face-to-face time.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:56 AM	Find...
17.	The city center was not very close, the action was there. It was fine but it could have been better. The free bus helped a lot	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 7:40 PM	Find...
18.	Sorry, I don't know (no time for relaxing)	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:23 PM	Find...
19.	They were actually pretty good, but they were hard to discover.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 4:50 PM	Find...
20.	WAY too far from the city center.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 8:46 AM	Find...
21.	Travel by pre-paid bus made easy access to things to do.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 4:01 AM	Find...
22.	Not important. The meeting is to work.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:38 AM	Find...
23.	Not Applicable for me, I lived at 30 minutes by car ;-)	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:14 AM	Find...
24.	But I didn't went because I live nearby.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:10 AM	Find...
25.	Good opportunities but not convenient to the meeting.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:30 PM	Find...
26.	Very hard to run into other IETFers	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:29 PM	Find...
27.	Distance from everything was a pain, but it probably reduced the cost, so was fine with me.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:28 PM	Find...
28.	Once I figured out how to get to the center, it wasn't terrible to get there and there were some nice things there, but it was still a hike to get anywhere from the venue (and I nearly got lost once or twice)	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:57 PM	Find...
29.	But the main hotels were too far from the central city	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:55 PM	Find...
30.	We were lucky with the weather though.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:37 PM	Find...
31.	I didn't attend the Maastricht meeting.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:08 PM	Find...
32.	didn't attend	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:49 PM	Find...
33.	no idea.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:47 PM	Find...

<p>34. I really can't answer this because I have little time to relax doing the meeting. Thus, my objectives are to get work done, try to find food during lunch breaks and at dinner and try to get some sleep, with the priority being getting work done, but the latter things being basic human necessities. If a venue doesn't make it convenient to even get access to the necessities, it is woefully inadequate for a business meeting. My impression is that some of the differences in responses may be due to the number of sessions individuals need to attend. The work I follow requires that I cannot skip any sessions, I often have breakfast meetings, lunch meetings and meetings after the meetings. The priority for selecting a venue should be for folks that need to get work done.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:45 PM	Find...
<p>35. Very poor is an understatement - there WERE NONE!!!</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:44 PM	Find...
<p>36. While the public transit in Maastricht seemed to be fine, the information about was not. Generally in Europe I find the public transit information (both web and physical) to be well-organized, excellent and language insensitive. I did not find that to be the case in Maastricht. That was a significant handicap in terms of relaxing away from the meeting.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:34 PM	Find...
<p>37. I found myself staying in the venue, rather than trying to get out to talk to people outside</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:22 PM	Find...
<p>38. Loved walking in the old town.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:18 PM	Find...
<p>39. DIdn't attend.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:08 PM	Find...
<p>40. Getting to the city centre was sufficiently inconvenient for short break periods that I pretty much didn't bother.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:07 PM	Find...
<p>41. Meeting venue too far away from core part of city, but lucky bus service is free with frequent schedule</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:02 PM	Find...
<p>42. Distance from venue to center was problematic.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:57 PM	Find...
<p>43. But they were way, way too far away.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:56 PM	Find...
<p>44. Really like the cafeteria area where there are a lot of tables for people to have offline discussion. It is very important because a lot of conflicts are resolved during the offline discussion.</p>	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:52 PM	Find...

45.	The meeting venue was too far from the center of town, and once the town center was explored (2-3 days), you were pretty much out of things to do.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:46 PM	Find...
46.	Meeting was far from most restaurants, pubs	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:45 PM	Find...
47.	without the bus service, it would have been very inconvenient. Once I caught on to how the buses worked and could avoid walking both ways to center city, it was fine.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:44 PM	Find...
48.	I don't care	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:43 PM	Find...
49.	Transportation to town was difficult. MECC was too far away.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:39 PM	Find...
50.	I'd prefer being closer to the action, but thank you for arranging for bus passes.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:36 PM	Find...
51.	a bit far from the hotel/meeting venue though	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:35 PM	Find...
52.	the choices were good but it did take a bit too much time to get to my choices	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:34 PM	Find...
53.	I attended remotely, as my flight from Chicago was turned back due to mechanical difficulties.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:33 PM	Find...
54.	Why would I relax when attending a meeting? It's business travel, not a personal choice.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:30 PM	Find...
55.	Didn't attend Maastricht	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:29 PM	Find...
56.	There were good choices in town, but not convenient to the venue.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:28 PM	Find...
57.	i did not attend	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:22 PM	Find...
58.	City center at walkable distance, great places to relax after meetings.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:21 PM	Find...

100 responses per page 

answered question **286**

skipped question **61**

4. Having a venue that offers the opportunity to relax away from the meeting, during the meeting week, is

important to me.

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very unimportant	5.6%	18
Unimportant	5.6%	18
Neutral	36.3%	116
Important	42.5%	136
Very important	10.0%	32
	Comments Hide replies	27

1. It's important to be able to get away for an hour or so here and there during the day, usually for lunch. Wed, Sep 15, 2010 9:36 PM [Find...](#)
2. Again, during the summer meetings! Sun, Sep 5, 2010 3:49 PM [Find...](#)
3. Snooze in room! Tue, Aug 31, 2010 7:20 PM [Find...](#)
4. An isolated conference center with no walkable amenities is bad. Tue, Aug 31, 2010 2:48 PM [Find...](#)
5. Having affordable hotel venue is definitely a very good relaxing opportunity as the room is really close ! Tue, Aug 31, 2010 10:15 AM [Find...](#)
6. Really important to have "hallway discussions" in a nice non-work place. Mon, Aug 30, 2010 4:11 PM [Find...](#)
7. See statement on sightseeing. Mon, Aug 30, 2010 3:59 AM [Find...](#)
8. It is more important to have a chance to get away to get something done, but in general the hotel room does this job. Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:56 AM [Find...](#)
9. Diners, bof meetings should be properly facilitated by the city. Sun, Aug 29, 2010 5:39 AM [Find...](#)
10. If the opportunity is not immediately there (e.g., requires walking a significant distance), it could be helped with good public transportation or ny providing attendees a transportation (e.g., in Sat, Aug 28, 2010 12:11 PM [Find...](#)

Maastricht some hotels provided transportation to city center but not all (and none provided return transfer, I think).

11.	For side meetings.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:38 AM	Find...
12.	Can be nice, but for instance Anaheim did not offer any good places to relax away (imo) and it was a swell, productive meeting.	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:10 AM	Find...
13.	I like being able to meet with colleagues and go places in the evenings.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:49 PM	Find...
14.	Ability to exercise during the week is very important.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:29 PM	Find...
15.	this has to do with the REQUIREMENT to have many choices of restaurants & bars within 15 minutes walk. Having the arrangement for IETFers gaining free busing throughout Maastricht was unique, and worked well, once I got used to doing it (which took a few days).	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:18 PM	Find...
16.	Moderate value here.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:28 PM	Find...
17.	A major part of the IETF is social contact between participants, so it's important to have venues for such interactions.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:57 PM	Find...
18.	One needs to be able to easily get away from the venue once in a while.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:37 PM	Find...
19.	Having the opportunity to go out and eat dinner and socialise with other meeting participants during the week is important to me, not necessarily to relax on my own during the week. If I want to do that, I take time off before or after the meeting.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:55 PM	Find...
20.	What I need away from the meeting is food, a safe and clean place to sleep and Internet access. The more convenient those things are in terms of access from the venue, the better the meeting. If I have a chance to spend a bit of downtime with colleagues that's a a would be nice.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:45 PM	Find...
21.	I usually don't find the time to relax away...	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:29 PM	Find...
22.	As commented. Most content is uninteresting, so there's unintentionally scheduled free time.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:18 PM	Find...
23.	This is begining to bug me!	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:04 PM	Find...
24.	For small values of "relax", i.e. I would like to have a nice meal. Beyond that my needs are not very	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:57 PM	Find...

- demanding.

25. especially when time is at a premium - being able to walk out for a good lunch at a variety of places within 10 minutes of the venue is very important. Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:44 PM [Find...](#)

- 26.** I conduct a lot of business over dinner. Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:39 PM [Find...](#)

- 27.** for summer meetings important for the family that comes with attendees. Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:19 PM [Find...](#)

50 responses per page

answered question **320**

skipped question **27**

5. I consider having a social to be

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very unimportant	8.7%	28
Unimportant	13.4%	43
Neutral	28.7%	92
Important	36.4%	117
Very important	12.8%	41
	Comments Hide replies	32

- 1.** Maastricht was an excellent social. Paris was the best ever (and I've been to just about all of them). Oslo was also excellent. Sun, Sep 5, 2010 3:49 PM [Find...](#)

- 2.** ...but a good social can be a big plus Mon, Aug 30, 2010 7:11 PM [Find...](#)

3.	I was able to get some work done at the social - it was helpful to have more time to talk with people.	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 5:07 PM	Find...
4.	I would also attend a social offering limited alcoholic beverages and food availability or higher cost ...	Mon, Aug 30, 2010 5:06 AM	Find...
5.	Kind of like the socials and have probably attended the majority over the last 15+ years. But socials were often not particularly exciting (last Dallas IETF or the one in the mall of America to give two particularly bad examples). So, you think twice if it's worth going or if you rather meet the people you want to talk to elsewhere. Having no social is preferable over a 'desperate' one.	Sun, Aug 29, 2010 7:56 AM	Find...
6.	(If it is indeed a social, i.e. people can meet and talk.)	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 5:23 PM	Find...
7.	Nice, but not strictly necessary!	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:00 PM	Find...
8.	actually we should consider to have second social on Thursday evening or Friday (which might enhance attendance on Fridays)	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 6:46 AM	Find...
9.	Relax moment and good way to mingle with other IETF'ers who you usually would not meet that easy during the meeting	Sat, Aug 28, 2010 3:10 AM	Find...
10.	As long as it's not too crowded or too expensive! The Maastricht social was almost perfect.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 11:49 PM	Find...
11.	More and more, the social is becoming the primary event for networking.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:48 PM	Find...
12.	It can be hard to socialize at the socials (too loud, too difficult, too crowded).	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 8:29 PM	Find...
13.	It's not that big a deal, but one notices if they are not held - the character of the rest of the meeting is not as positive.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 7:28 PM	Find...
14.	The IETF-organized social is good for broader interactions, in addition to smaller group interactions through the week	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:57 PM	Find...
15.	Gives us a chance to get some feel for the local customs and such	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 5:37 PM	Find...
16.	depends upon whether or the social is conducive to meeting people I seldom talk to.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:47 PM	Find...
17.	The socials should have something special.I Skip the US socials which often only seem to offer lukewarm	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:41 PM	Find...

	pizza and luke warm beer		
18.	If it's good and reasonably priced (like maastricht).	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:26 PM	Find...
19.	I usually skip the social--I am usually pretty burnt out on socializing just with running into people at the meeting, which is really nice, but quite a lot.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:26 PM	Find...
20.	I have attended IETF on and off for 18 years and have never attended a social.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:14 PM	Find...
21.	Some Socials have been very good, well-planned and the right scale, others not so much...	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:12 PM	Find...
22.	Would rather save costs	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:10 PM	Find...
23.	Already spend too much time with the group	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 4:08 PM	Find...
24.	I could not possibly care any less than I do about the social.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:57 PM	Find...
25.	The interactions at the social are the grease that helps make technical conflicts actually move forward.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:56 PM	Find...
26.	I remember Seoul, Paris socials were exceptional and so was Maastricht's	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:51 PM	Find...
27.	both for local flavor (Hiroshima was particularly good on that point) and a real opportunity to hang out and talk. Some events were not conducive to conversation (e.g. House of Blues). Free beer is a major plus. but anything cheaper than the typical hotel bar price is nice...	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:44 PM	Find...
28.	It's OK, but it interferes with meeting time. Hey put the social on Friday night, then those that want a social can have it and those of us that need to get the work done aren't impacted unless we want to stay the extra night.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:43 PM	Find...
29.	Good place to network	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:39 PM	Find...
30.	Very nice to have. Not important.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:38 PM	Find...
31.	I ENJOY some of the socials, but they aren't necessary. I appreciated the Timbuk2 bags from Comcast in Philadelphia, and I appreciated the California Academy of Sciences evening. If there's not a good venue, skip the social.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:36 PM	Find...
32.	If it's good, that is.	Fri, Aug 27, 2010 3:21 PM	Find...

50 responses per page 

answered question 321

skipped question 26

PAGE: DEMOGRAPHICS

1. What area are you from?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Africa	0.0%	0
Asia	6.8%	22
Australia / New Zealand / Oceania	2.8%	9
Europe	45.2%	146
Latin America / Caribbean	0.0%	0
North America	44.3%	143
South America	0.9%	3

answered question 323

skipped question 24

2. Approximately how many IETF meetings have you attended?

	Response Percent	Response Count
1	6.5%	21
2-5	14.2%	46
6-10	18.6%	60
>10	60.7%	196
	answered question	323
	skipped question	24

