

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Nebraska

PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC

Plaintiff

v.

T-MOBILE USA, INC

Defendant

Civil Action No. 12-cv-124

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: Internet Engineering Task Force

(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)

Testimony: YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an organization, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachment:

See Schedule A. In lieu of a deposition party may sign a Fed. R. Evid. 902(11) Declaration.

Place: K&L Gates, 630 Hansen Way, Palo Alto, CA 94304

Date and Time: 2-4-14

01/28/2014 9:00 am

The deposition will be recorded by this method: Stenographic, audio, and/or visual means

Production: You, or your representatives, must also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and must permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material:

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached - Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date:

1/20/14

CLERK OF COURT

OR

Handwritten signature of attorney

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Attorney's signature

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) T-Mobile, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Michael T. Hilgers, 14301 FNB Parkway, Suite 100, Omaha, NE 68154; 402-218-2106

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

SCHEDULE A

1. The document titled “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS) draft-ietf-nasreq-radius-01.txt (c)” attached hereto as Exhibit A.
2. The author of “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS) draft-ietf-nasreq-radius-01.txt (c).”
3. The date that “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS) draft-ietf-nasreq-radius-01.txt (c)” was created.
4. The circumstances surrounding the creation of “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS) draft-ietf-nasreq-radius-01.txt (c).”
5. The accuracy of “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS) draft-ietf-nasreq-radius-01.txt (c).”
6. The regular business activity of Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF).
7. Whether it was the regular practice of Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) to create “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS) draft-ietf-nasreq-radius-01.txt (c).”
8. Whether “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS) draft-ietf-nasreq-radius-01.txt (c)” is kept as part of regularly conducted activity at Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF).
9. The date “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS) draft-ietf-nasreq-radius-01.txt (c)” became publicly available.
10. The document titled “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS): Network Working Group Request for Comments 2137” attached hereto as Exhibit B.

11. The author of “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADUIS): Network Working Group Request for Comments 2137.”

12. The date that “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADUIS): Network Working Group Request for Comments 2137” was created.

13. The circumstances surrounding the creation of “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADUIS): Network Working Group Request for Comments 2137.”

14. The accuracy of “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADUIS): Network Working Group Request for Comments 2137.”

15. Whether it was the regular practice of Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) to create “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADUIS): Network Working Group Request for Comments 2137.”

16. Whether “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADUIS): Network Working Group Request for Comments 2137” is kept as part of regularly conducted activity at Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF).

17. The date “Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADUIS): Network Working Group Request for Comments 2137” became publicly available.

18. The document titled “Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol” attached hereto as Exhibit C.

19. The author of “Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol.”

20. The date that “Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol” was created.

21. The circumstances surrounding the creation of “Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol.”

22. The accuracy of “Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol.”

23. Whether it was the regular practice of Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) to create “Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol.”

24. Whether “Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol” is kept as part of regularly conducted activity at Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF).

25. The date “Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol” became publicly available.