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## SLA – RFC Editor Time (RET)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier</th>
<th>PGTE</th>
<th>RFCs pub &lt;= 6 wks RET</th>
<th>PGTE</th>
<th>RFCs pub &lt;= 12 wks RET</th>
<th>PGTE</th>
<th>RFCs pub &lt;= 12 wks RET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tier 1</td>
<td>PGTE &lt; 1950</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>Tier 2</td>
<td>1950 &lt; PGTE &lt; 3072</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Tier 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tier 1
- PGTE < 1950
- 67% RFCs published within 6 weeks RET

### Tier 2
- 1950 < PGTE < 3072
- 50% RFCs published within 12 weeks RET

### Tier 3
- 3072 < PGTE
- Possibly renegotiate expected RET

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier</th>
<th>PGTE</th>
<th>RFCs pub &lt;= 6 wks RET</th>
<th>PGTE</th>
<th>RFCs pub &lt;= 12 wks RET</th>
<th>PGTE</th>
<th>RFCs pub &lt;= 12 wks RET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tier 1</td>
<td>PGTE &lt; 1950</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>Tier 2</td>
<td>1950 &lt; PGTE &lt; 3072</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Tier 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier</th>
<th>PGTE</th>
<th>RFCs pub &lt;= 6 wks RET</th>
<th>PGTE</th>
<th>RFCs pub &lt;= 12 wks RET</th>
<th>PGTE</th>
<th>RFCs pub &lt;= 12 wks RET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tier 1</td>
<td>PGTE &lt; 1950</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>Tier 2</td>
<td>1950 &lt; PGTE &lt; 3072</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Tier 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Disclaimer:
As we move to new SLA, we are in the process of making the PGTE calculation as accurate as possible. The PGTE has been updated for accuracy.
RFCs published from 2007 to 2016
Reported Technical Errata by Source (as of 11/7)

Errata by Source

- IAB (3)
- IND (3)
- art (52)
- gen (2)
- int (13)
- sec (38)
- tsv (25)
- ops (10)
Since IETF 96

- Publications: 89 RFCs (2484 pages; Jul – Oct)
- Stats project: completed testing and deployed code
  - Being used for reporting
  - Making minor adjustments to make more user-friendly to staff
- Format documents related to xml2rfc v3: moved C294 to AUTH48
Ongoing Activities

- Publishing RFCs: keep the docs moving
- Digital Signatures:
  - Continue to engage in review and discussion regarding operating procedures
  - Prepare to test & deploy
- xml2rfc v3: Continue to follow format developments and be ready to test tools and draft test procedures
  - IAOC has approved additional editor for Jul 2017 – Dec 2018.
  - Goal: help minimize pub delay while RPC learns v3 vocabulary, new tools, and tests defines process while simultaneously publishing RFCs produced using v2.