

IAOC Meeting
2013-07-18 -4 GMT / 10:00 EDT

Jari Arkko	[PRESENT]
Scott Bradner	[PRESENT]
Randy Bush	[PRESENT]
Chris Griffiths	[PRESENT]
Bob Hinden	[PRESENT, Chair]
Russ Housley	[PRESENT]
Ole Jacobsen	[ABSENT]
Ray Pelletier	[PRESENT, IAD]
Lynn St. Amour	[PRESENT]

Leslie Daigle	[GUEST]
Bernard Adoba	[GUEST]
Jorge Contreras	[GUEST]
Jewelley Dalrymple	[SCRIBE]

IAOC Meeting Agenda
=====

1. Minutes
2013-05-23
2013-06-06
2013-06-20
2. VAT Update
3. Comp Policy
4. Berlin Numbers
5. IAOC Berlin Schedule
6. Meetings Update
Buenos Aires
7. RPS Charter & Update
8. RPC/Publisher Selection Committee Update
9. AOB

Bob called the meeting to order at 10:02 AM EDT.

1. Minutes
=====

The 2013-05-23, 2013-06-06 and 2013-06-20 minutes are ready for adoption. There were minor modifications to the minutes.

Russ moved and Chris seconded a motion to approve the 2013-05-23, 2013-06-06 and 2013-06-20 minutes.

Without objection, the minutes were approved.

2. VAT Update

=====

Ray gave an update on the Value Added Tax (VAT).

- A. European VAT application is different throughout the EU
EC regulations implemented by member states
- B. VAT application to registration fees for Berlin
confirmed by BC&A, European Tax Specialists, Paris
Ralf Otto, independent tax consultancy, Berlin
- C. VAT ~ \$115K on registration fees based on budget
- D. VAT can be recovered on expenses
\$86K Estimate
\$23K Cost to Recover
\$63K Net

Will take 12-18 months to receive funds after Tax Close
for meeting.
- E. ISOC engaged BC&A on 16 July to advise on and recover
VAT for IETF and ISOC events in Europe.
- F. BC&A collecting VAT for Reg Fees through 30 June
About 8K EUR
- G. VAT on Sponsorships
BC&A will be advising on what and how and getting the
paperwork right. There may be a decision needed as to
the application of the VAT similar to that for Registration
Fees. General rule - companies can recover VAT.
- H. IETF 89 London
 - I. Is there a requirement to collect and pay VAT?
 - II. If yes, will the VAT be added to the Reg Fee, or
absorbed in the Reg Fee?
 - 1. This is a policy decision to be determined by the
IAOC before the adoption of the 2014 budget
 - III. If performance satisfactory for Berlin, BC&A to be
retained in London

The registrant's companies may be able to recover the VAT
and BC&A will suggest how to do so.

Most companies the IAOC is dealing with are familiar with VAT.
The Bits-N-Bites' sponsors do not at this point understand that
the VAT is applicable. If it's done the way of the registration
fees, the IAOC would be absorbing an additional \$60k USD. A
decision shouldn't be made on this call until the choices are

understood so that the right thing can be done.

ISOC has an interest in the results as well. There may be a difference in treatment between Sponsors and Exhibitors. BnB sponsors might be defined as Exhibitors. The IAOC will drill down further on that.

The Secretariat and ISOC will be sending data to BC&A, (first name, last name, country, company, amount of the fee, and VAT), so the tax authorities will be able to reconcile claims.

3. Comp Guidelines

=====

Ray reported that for the last 8 years registrations fee have been waived, or "comped" at a Chair's discretion. Usually these were case where individuals were needed at the meetings, but between jobs. On rare occasions, help with travel was also provided. No policies have been adopted.

The IAOC discussed the practice and concluded not to take action to change it, deeming it the responsibility and discretion of the Chairs.

There was discussion whether a formal policy would be useful or needed. It was felt that it would be overly complex and still not cover some circumstances; therefore, the guidelines as written by Jari were deemed to be sufficient.

4. Berlin Numbers

=====

Ray reported on the number for the meeting coming up:

A. Numbers

I.	Registered	1,319
II.	Paid	1,019
III.	Trend	Highest registered at this point since IETF 60 in Aug 2004
IV.	1st Timers	311/203 (reg/paid)
V.	Gender data	1,319 registered
	Declined	232 17.6%
	Female	142 10.8%
	Male	956 72.5%

B. Countries (reg/paid)

Ray noted the excellent numbers from Germany.

I.	US	430/362
II.	Germany	153/115
III.	China	135/73
IV.	Japan	71/65
V.	France	68/55
VI.	Great Britain	45/39
VII.	Netherlands	35/31
VIII.	Finland	29/27

C. Bits-N-Bites

The BnB sponsors are pretty close to what the goal was. The IAOC is confident Drew will be up to the task. There are a lot of repeat tables, which is a good sign.

Another view is the IAOC is undercharging or budgeting too optimistically. The target setting can be adjusted.

Companies are declining tables because they are not able to recruit staff from the table. In the past, the IAOC was very reluctant to step over this line. Perhaps this will be discussed for Vancouver.

5. IAOC Berlin Schedule

=====

Ray passed out the IAOC schedule for the meeting.

87th IETF - BERLIN

IAOC/IAD Office: GLIENECKE

IETF Meeting Agenda: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/87/agenda.html>

Saturday

0930 - 1800 Code Sprint - KOEPENICK 1/2

Sunday

1500 - 1650 IAOC Overview Session

1600 - 1700 Newcomers' Meet and Greet (open to Newcomers and WG chairs only) Hugos South

1700 - 1900 Welcome Reception - Pavilion

Monday

1130 - 1300 IETF Protocols Registry Oversight Committee (IPROC) (Russ, Ray, Bob) Location: TBD

1720 - 1740 IAOC Office Hours - GLIENECKE
1740 - 1940 Technical Plenary - Potsdam 1/3
Technical Topic I: Opus Codec

Tuesday

1900 - Social German Museum of Technology
<https://www.ietf.org/meeting/87/social.html>

Wednesday

0730 - 0900 IAOC & Trust Breakfast Meeting - GLIENECKE
1130 - 1300 RFC Editor Streams Lunch (Russ, Bob, Ole, Ray)
- Room TBD
1720 - 1740 IAOC Office Hours - Regents Boardroom
1740 - 2010 Administrative Plenary - POTSDAM 1/3

Thursday

1700 - Operations Review Wrap Up Meeting - GLIENECKE
1900 - 2100 Bits-N-Bites PAVILLION

Friday

Saturday

ISOC Board of Trustees Meeting
1125 - 1140 IAOC & IETF Trust Updates - B. Hinden & C.
Griffiths

PURPOSE:

- A. Review Strategic Developments and key directions
- B. Statutory reports to be sent ahead of time and there will be a short period for questions only.

1145 - 1230 IETF and IAB Updates - J Arkko & R Housley

PURPOSE: Review Strategic Developments and key directions.

6. Meetings Update - Buenos Aires

=====

Ray gave some background to this point.

May 23 - Request for Community Feedback was sent out.

Jun 20 - Conclusions on South America Meeting from community feedback on list and survey was sent out. There wasn't any push back from the list.

It was noted that ISOC is planning to hold a series of events and programs in South America, which should help participation.

The IAOC reviewed the Venue Selection Criteria for a venue in Buenos Aires.

Scott moved and Randy seconded a motion for the IAOC to approve Buenos Aires as the site for <confidential> </confidential> and request that the Internet Society negotiate agreements to effect that selection.

Jari Arkko [YES]
Scott Bradner [YES]
Randy Bush [YES]
Chris Griffiths [YES]
Bob Hinden [YES]
Russ Housley [YES]
Lynn St. Amour [YES]

The motion passed.

7. RPS Charter & Update =====

Bernard presented the Remote Participation System committee draft charter and an update on the committees activities.

The charter:

Remote Participation Services Committee Charter

Background:

On February 5, 2013 the IETF Chair summarized the state of IETF Remote Participation Services and solicited feedback on potential improvements, in a message to the ietf-announce mailing list: <https://www.ietf.org/ibin/c5i?mid=6&rid=49&gid=0&k1=934&k2=11647&tid=1364656027>

Section 4 of that message noted that:

RPS technologies are not yet mature enough to create a production service for the IETF, but these technologies are developing rapidly. The IETF will be conducting more experiments in order to achieve these improvements as early as possible. To this end, the IAOC is soliciting suggestions for experiments.

Remote Participation Services Subcommittee Roles and Responsibilities:

The IAOC Remote Participation Services Committee provides input to the IAOC on the evolution of IETF Remote Participation Services, including potential remote participation service experiments.

Specific responsibilities include:

- * Solicitation of feedback from IETF participants on their experience with IETF Remote Participation Services, including individuals with disabilities.
- * Pilot testing of IETF remote participation services technology (typically initially within the I*)
- * Review of potential remote participation experiments.
- * Input on operational and contractual aspects as requested by the IAOC.

Reporting:

The RPS subcommittee meets approximately every 4-6 weeks in order to review the status of pilot tests and ongoing experiments.

The mailing list for this committee is: iaoc-rps@ietf.org

Members:

Bernard Aboba, Chair
Randy Bush
Chris Griffiths
Dan York
Bob Hinden
Ray Pelletier

RESOLUTION:

Scott moved and Bob seconded a motion to approve the RPS Charter.

The motion passed without objection.

Bernard continued:

Update on IETF Experiments

WebEx: Will contact Joe Hildebrand at IETF 87 relating to accessibility feedback.

Jitsi: Testing of 2.3 nightly builds continues, but

currently not stable enough for use at IETF 87. Also, major accessibility issues noted.

MeetEcho: two experiments proposed for IETF 87
- Test of OPUS codec via redesigned WebRTC interface, during tech plenary on OPUS.

There was feedback from disabled IETF Participants

Solicitation sent to ietf-announce.

Responses:

- Michael Richardson (complained about WebEx usability and audio quality)
- Dave Crocker (asked that "experts" be consulted)
- Mark Rejhon, author of XEP-0301 confirmed compatibility of ejabberd (IETF XMPP server) with XEP-0301 for Instant messaging & Presence
- Christian Vogler, Assistant Professor, Gallaudet - expressed concern whether IETF RPS tools met section 255/508 update requirements including HD

Feedback from Sam Hartman

- "I strongly recommend that the IETF maintain ways of accessing RPS that support standardized protocols using participant-supplied software. The accessibility story for that approach seems to be much better."
- Noted poor support for screen reader

On Java

"In principal, Linus does support Java accessibility in the browser..."

Bernard said the work will continue at IETF 87.

8. RPC/Publisher Selection Committee Update

=====

Ray reported on the status of the committee activities.

- A. Committee established by IAOC on 23 May to decide whether RFC Production Center contract and RFC Publisher contracts should be extended or whether the contract should be put out to bid.
- B. RSE chairs, IAD and two members of IAOC and RSOC, which are Nevil, John, Bob, Russ

- C. We are expecting communications from the RSE to the IAOC in the next two weeks with an extension decision and possible proposed changes to the SOW.
- D. SOW changes
 - I. Old SOW based on RFC and community input
 - II. New one based on RFC 6635
 - III. Cleans up redundancies in RPC and Publisher, clarifies roles
 - IV. Inserts RSE in appropriate locations
 - V. Miscellaneous changes to statement of work
- E. Goal is to negotiate agreements with budget figures by September.
- F. Next Steps
 - I. Receive RSE communication
 - II. Request community input on SOW changes
 - III. Update SOW with RSE based on community feedback
 - IV. IAOC approve negotiation with AMS for extension, if recommended and SOW changes
 - V. Negotiate extension and SOW changes
 - VI. IAOC approve RPC and Publisher budget in September

9. AOB

=====

There was no additional business discussed.

Bob adjourned the IAOC meeting at 10:56 AM EDT.