IAOC Meeting

2013-09-19 -4 GMT / 10:00 EDT

Scott Bradner [PRESENT]
Randy Bush Randy Bush [PRESENT]
Chris Griffiths [PRESENT, Chair]

Bob Hinden [PRESENT] Russ Housley [PRESENT] Ole Jacobsen [PRESENT] Ray Pelletier [PRESENT, IAD]

Lynn St. Amour [ABSENT]

Leslie Daigle [GUEST] Jewellee Dalrymple [SCRIBE]

IAOC Meeting Agenda

1. Operations

Minutes 2013-09-12

Committee Community Representation Longer IAOC Meeting in Vancouver

2. Finance

2014-2016 Budget

3. Meetings

Vancouver Update

Buenos Aires

Mission Critical Transition Policy - Oct 3

- 5. Legal
- 6. Remote Access
- 7. AOB

IETF 96

Asia Site Visit

Chris called the meeting to order at 10:03 AM EDT.

1. Operations

+ Minutes

The 2013-09-12 minutes are not ready for adoption. Adoption will be taken up in October.

+ Committee Community Representation

Ray presented the subject of adding community members to IAOC Committees.

Purpose: Improve transparency, add diversity and motivate an interest in the IAOC.

Possible Committees:

- A. Finance
- B. Meetings
- C. Tools
- D. Technology Management

E. Legal

The group discussed the idea. There shouldn't be a number or percentage of membership for all committees — it varies. It is very committee specific. It's up to the committee Chairs to do this. The appointment would be for a set period. There shouldn't be a prohibition for the Legal Committee. You'd want to appoint expertise to the committee, not just community representation. However, the more selective, the more you may be accused of non-transparency and non-diversity. It's a good thing to ask the community for additional members, but the IAOC shouldn't commit to any particular number. The IAOC needs future members too.

The committees will discuss and make recommendations to the ${\tt IAOC}$.

+ Longer IAOC Meeting in Vancouver

Ray said that the IAOC had indicated that it may want an extended time in Vancouver to consider matters that could benefit from face to face time.

Do we want to hold an extended, 2-4 hour, meeting in Vancouver? Do we have any idea what would be on the Agenda? Perhaps Committee Community Representation, 2013 Goals Review, and 2014 Goals Draft. When would we hold the meeting, before, during or after the IETF meeting?

Some folks have already made their plane reservations so the Saturday's won't work. Knowing about it more in advance can make it more feasible. A date and time can't be chosen until the agenda is out. An evening meeting might possibly work. It's generally better if the IAOC makes itself available to the community during the week and not be inward focused. Thursday evening (6-10 pm) seems to be the only open opportunity, but there's no agenda to drive a meeting. Ray will set up the Wiki for the meeting. There will be a space there for people to note when they're arriving and departing.

2. Finance

========

+ 2014-2016 Budget

Ray presented the proposed 2014 Budget and 2015 - 2016 Advice for the IAOC to adopt. The 2014 - 2016 Budget and Advice is recommended by the Finance Committee.

The 2014 Budget is \$5.8M.

I. Expenses: \$5.8M \$700K (14%) higher than 2013 YEF

II. Reasons:

- a. Meeting costs 10% higher (\$181K)
- b. G&A 140% higher (\$175K)
- c. IT Maintenance 114% higher (\$80K)
- d. RFC Services 9% higher (\$78K)
- e. Secretariat Svcs 4% higher (\$74K)

III Revenues: \$4m \$800K (26%) higher than 2013 YEF

IV Reasons:

- a. In-Kind \$260K
- b. Meeting Sponsors \$218K
- c. Registration \$112K
- V. Tools Development: \$250K \$74K higher than 2013 YEF
- VI. ISOC Contribution:
 - a. Excluding Development: \$1.9M -\$114K (6%) lower than 2013 YEF

The 2014 budget is \$5.8M. The meeting costs are higher; G&A is higher to more appropriately reflect the value of services being provided by ISOC. IT Maintenance is higher as it's recognizing the in-kind valuations of Henrik's time and Jim Martin's time.

The Secretariat and RFC Production Center renewals are for two years, with percentage increases in year one and prices held steady the second year.

In regards to revenue, the IAOC is recognizing WebEx in-kind services for the first time. Registration revenue is higher because of an expected increase in attendance for London, Toronto and Honolulu meetings over 2013. The bottom line to ISOC is an expected lower direct contribution than the 2013 YEF. That's good news.

The Budget Advice for 2015 is \$6.2M.

- I. Expenses: \$360K (6%) higher than 2014 Budget
- II. Reasons:
 - a. Meeting costs 13% higher (\$250K)
 - b. IT Maintenance 13% higher (\$20K)
 - c. G&A 5% higher (\$15K)
- III. 2015 Revenues: \$3.9M
- IV. Revenues: -\$54K (-1%) lower than 2014 Budget
- V. Reasons:
 - a. Registration -\$29K (-1%) lower than 2014 Budget
 - o. Commissions -\$20K (-12%) lower than 2014 Budget
- VI. Tools Development: \$200K \$50K lower than 2014 Budget
- VII. ISOC Contribution:
 - a. Excluding Development: \$2.3M \$410K (22%) higher than 2014 Budget

2015 is a \$6.2M budget. The expenses are \$360K higher than the 2014 budget. Meeting costs are higher, because of meeting space costs. The IT Maintenance costs have been increased as more enhancements and changes are expected.

G&A has gone up 5%. The revenues are slightly down from the 2014 budget because we are expecting a lower turnout in Dallas, Prague and Yokohama. Tools Development will go down. The ISOC contribution is expected to be \$410K higher because of an increase in expenses and a decrease in revenue.

The Budget Advice for 2016 is \$6.7M

- I. Expenses: \$550K (9%) higher than 2015 Budget
- II. Reasons:
 - a. Meeting costs 18% higher (\$390K)
 - b. Secretariat 4% higher (\$75K)
 - c. RFC Services 4% higher (\$39K)
- III. Revenues: \$4M \$165K (4%) higher than 2015 Budget
- IV. Reasons:
 - a. Mtg Sponsor \$200K (22%) higher than 2015 Budget
 - b. In-Kind \$50K (19%) higher than 2015 Budget
 - c. Registrations -\$85K (4%) lower than 2015 Budget
- V. Tools Development: \$200K Same as 2015 Budget
- VI ISOC Contribution:
 - a. Excluding Development: \$2.7M \$386K (17%) higher than 2015 Budget
 - b. Including Development: \$2.5M \$386K (16%) higher than 2015 Budget

2016 has a higher budget because of meeting costs. Looking at Buenos Aires, Europe and an Asian venue in the fall meeting. The meeting space costs will be considerably higher.

Secretariat and RFC Services may be 4% higher. The total of all revenues are expected to be \$165K higher. Registration fees would have to change significantly to have a meaningful effect on the bottom line. For example figure 3,300 paid attendees in the year. If the registration fees were increased \$50, that would only add \$165,000 USD in revenues.

The 2016 revenues are slightly higher because of an increase in attendance. In-Kind is going to be higher. Registrations are going down because 2015 is going to be a pretty good year. We don't know what the impact will be with Buenos Aires being one of the possible meeting locations. Tools Development will stay the same. The impact to ISOC is a significant increase from the preceding year, almost \$400K.

IEEE has a different fee structure. Jari dealt with a complaint about the difference in fees between the IEEE and the IETF. You have to be a member of the IEEE to attend, but membership is cheap. Why is IEEE able to fund their central

activities without that? Historically it's because they sold their standards. The bottom line is they're taking the IEEE standards association memberships that people pay to subsidize their meetings. They have more members paying the fee than people attending the meeting, but that may not be true of the association members.

RESOLUTION:

The IAOC hereby adopts the IETF 2014-2016 Budget and Advice and requests the Internet Society to include and approve this Budget within its budget approval process.

Scott moved and Russ seconded a motion to adopt the IETF 2014-2016 Budget and Advice and to request that the Internet Society include and approve this Budget within its budget approval process.

Jari Arkko	[YES]
Scott Bradner	[YES]
Randy Bush	[YES]
Chris Griffiths	[YES]
Bob Hinden	[YES]
Russ Housley	[YES]
Ole Jacobson	[YES]

The motion passed.

Scott Bradner left the meeting at 10:43 am.

Meetings

+ Vancouver Update

The budget number is 1,115. The meeting is 45 days out. A significant percentage of registrations are received when the agenda comes out. The IETF is trending with Prague and IETF 84. Ray will send out the graph. The welcome reception sponsor is the Hyatt. For Bits-N-Bites, ISOC is going to have a table. Drew is soliciting more sponsorship.

+ Buenos Aires

Laura has heard back from <confidential> </confidential> and things are still very expensive. There's reluctance to contract with the fluctuation in the currency. We are closing in on something, but it's going to take some work by other folks and not just the <confidential> </confidential> folks.

4. Tools

=======

+ Mission Critical Transition Policy

Russ gave an update. The policy is still in work. It will come up at a future call.

The Datatracker work is running on an old release of Django. We're stubbing toes on things that have been fixed in later releases.

We will solicit a proposal from the IDIQ contractors and have the Technology Management Committee review them and make a recommendation to the IAOC.

6. Remote Access

N/A

7. AOB

+ IETF 96

This is the summer of 2016. We're waiting for information in regards to room rates, etc. We're waiting for numbers to support a recommendation from the Meetings Committee to the IAOC.

+ Asia Site Visit

Hong Kong, Bangkok and Macau were visited. A trip report is expected soon.

Chris adjourned the IAOC meeting at 10:52 AM EDT.